

# FINAL THESIS REPORT

## **COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA** haley mcclernon|structural option



ADVISOR: KEVIN PARFITT | APRIL 4, 2012



#### FINAL THESIS REPORT | haley mcclernon

## University of Notre Dame, South Eend, IN general information

occupant type arena size 203,000sf total levels 3 dates of construction may 2010-october2011 project delivery design-build

E. Like

#### mechanical

- -Utilizes ammonia chiller for ice generation
- -One large AHU to serve main arena and second to serve community arena with both an enthalpy wheel for heat recovery and a desiccant wheel for ddehu midification.
- -Club level suites served by individual fan coil units -General spaces on the event level(locker rooms, class rooms etc.) served by an array of AHU's, some with enthalpy wheels for heat recovery.

#### structure

-foundation of the structure comprised of a combination of mat foundations and typical spread footings -Steel framing utlized throughout superstructure with 5" slab on grade and 6" concrete floor slabs throughout. -Precast concrete stadia supported by W21 rakers and long span construction accomplished by barrel vaulted trusses.

project team owner University of Notre Dame architect Rossetti design builder Barton Malow structural engineer SDI, Inc. MEP engineer Peter Basso Associates LEED Heapy Engineering code FP&C

#### architecture

Classic architecture and vision with the functionality of a state of the art facility, the CFIA features an elegant cast stone facade and interior gothic vernacular. The event level contains two full size ice arenas: one standard and one olympic, surrounded by more than 5000 spectator seats throughout the concourse and club levels. In addition, the facility contains impressive locker rooms, classrooms, student athlete food and study areas, offices, conference rooms, and a media center.



## FINAL THESIS REPORT | h a l e y m c c l e r n o n



#### CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Acknowledgements	5
Building Introduction	6
Existing Structural Overview	8
Foundations	8
Roof System	9
Floor Construction	10
Main Arena	10
Olympic Arena	11
Lateral System	11
Structural Materials	12
Design Codes	14
Gravity Loads	15
Dead and Live Loads	15
Snow Loads	16
Lateral Loads	17
Wind Loads	17
Seismic Design	22
Roof Structure	23
Problem Statement	25
Proposed Solution	26
Breadth Studies	27
Strucutral Depth: Table top Truss Design	28
Preface	28
Background	29
Truss Location	
APRIL 4, 2012   COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA   SOUTH BEND, INDIANA	2



### FINAL THESIS REPORT | h a l e y m c c l e r n o n

Truss Analysis	35
Loads	35
Design	35
Column Connections	42
Supplemental Framing Members	44
Lateral Design	46
Cost Comparison	52
Architectural Breadth	56
Construction Management Breadth	60
Conclusion	62
References	63
Appendix A	64
Wind Load Calculations	64
Seismic Calculations	70
Braced Frame Checks	74
Appendix B	76
Truss A Calculations	76
Truss B Calculations	77
Truss C Calculations	79
Truss D Calculations	80
Appendix C	83
Joist Selection	83
Appendix D	89
Appendix E	93
Appendix F	94



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Compton Family Ice Arena is 200,000 square foot complex located on the University of Notre Dame's campus in South Bend, Indiana. It is a three story space comprised of a main event level, a concourse level, and a club level housing two ice rinks, locker rooms, learning and office spaces, and a grand entrance foyer. A steel framing system supports a lightweight composite floor system and lateral loads are resisted by braced frames pinned to mat foundations.

This report opens with an overview of the existing building summarizing the design criteria and confirming structural adequacy. Analysis reveals a logical and appropriate layout characterized by cost effective design thus leading to an investigation into the long span roof design of the arena. Research then led to the consideration of a roof system popularized by structural engineering firm Walter P. Moore for use in many of their arena design projects. This system, deemed the table top truss, replaces traditional long span design with a unique configuration of box trusses carrying load out to four super columns to accomplish roof support at significantly smaller spans.

Guided by existing buildings utilizing the same system, and adapted model is designed to service the Compton Family Ice Arena while remaining as minimally invasive to the current structure as possible. The analysis includes redistribution of loads and the introduction of prominent super columns, and ultimately requires a re-assessment of the lateral system for adjustments in roof height and column layout. Geometry and arrangement of the truss system is manipulated and iterated in order to find the most efficient and effective layout and framing members are optimized for the most economic design.

In addition, the impacts of the proposal stretch beyond just structural verification having influences across all phases of design. Thus, two additional studies are performed to address architectural and construction management impacts as a result of the table top truss.

The architectural investigation looks to accomplish both functional and aesthetically pleasing design of the seating bowl with the incorporation of the new columns at corner sections of the club level. In addition, it addresses changes in floor plans due to column shifts at certain points throughout the building. In all cases of architectural coordination the structure is found to be nominally disruptive to the function of the building. Furthermore, the seating at the upper club level sections is redistributed and the arena gains a bold and exaggerated aesthetic appeal.

The erection procedure for the system is also affected as the new design calls for a specific and marginally unconventional process. The introduction of shoring towers and added effects of additional bolting and welding at truss connections calls for an assessment of construction schedule and cost and is found to add little to no construction time but rather create changes to crane procedure and layout.

The following report addresses and details each of these design phases and provides all necessary supplemental material in the appendices thereafter.



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

## I would like to extend my gratitude to the following companies and individuals for their support and consultation in the completion of this report:

The University of Notre Dame for granting project and owner permission and offering continued assistance in providing documentation and information. In particular, I would like to thank Craig Tiller for his continued support.

SDI Structures and most notably Andy Greco for his assistance in providing valuable information and insight on the existing structure of the Arena.

Dennis Wittry at Walter P. Moore for his guidance in the proposed design of this thesis.

The AE faculty for their continued support and assistance with this thesis and over the past four years.

My classmates for their help and support in the completion of this thesis.



BUILDING OCCUPANT NAME: Notre Dame University **OCCUPANCY OR FUNCTION TYPE:** Arena **SIZE**: 203,000 SF **TOTAL LEVELS:** 3 NOTRE DAME OWNER UNIVERSITY Notre Dame, IN 46556 ROSSETTI ARCHITECT Two Towne Square, Suite 200 Southfield, Michigan 48076 DESIGN BUILDER BARTON MALOW 26500 American Drive Southfield, MI 48034 STRUCTURAL SDIINC. 275 East Liberty ENGINEER Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103 PETER BASSO MEP ENGINEER ASSOCIATES 5145 Livernois, Suite 100 Troy, MI 48098 LEED HEAPY ENGINEERING 1400 West Dorothy Lane Dayton OH 45409 CODE FP&C One Ward Parkway, suite 200 Kansas City, MO 64112 AUDIO/ VIDEO ACOUSTIC

APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA



D I M E N S I O N S 15505 Wright Brothers Drive Addison, Texas 75001

[Type a quote from the document or the summary of an interesting point. You can position the text box anywhere in the document. Use the Drawing Tools tab to change the formatting of the pull quote text box.]

Situated on the University of Notre Dame's Campus in South Bend, Indiana, The Compton Family Ice Arena has just opened its doors as the home stadium of the Fighting Irish. Inspired by famous gothic architecture and in staying true to the style of Notre Dame's Campus, the stadium features an elegant cast stone façade and interior gothic vernacular. The sophistication required by the University standard as interpreted by the vision of Rossetti Architects produces a classic building

APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARI



BULE

FIGURE 2: CONCOURSE LEVEL FLOOR LAYOUT



FIGURE 3: AERIAL RENDINERING FROM EAST SIDE OF ARENA



with all the functionality of a state of the art arena.

Featuring a full size collegiate arena as well as an Olympic sized arena, a full concessions layout, and more than 5000 spectator seats, the new space is not only magnificent in appearance but truly versatile in meeting the needs of the program and community. Adding to amenities will be locker rooms, lounges, classrooms, and study areas, as well as offices, conference areas and media space necessary to facilitate a division one program.

As mandated by the university in conjunction with their sustainability goals across campus, the building was designed with great concern and adherence to LEED requirements. Upon official evaluation it will hopefully acquire LEED silver rating and include a number of state of the art sustainability features.

Cost of Construction for the project is approximately \$50 million dollars and at the end of the physical process that began in May of 2010, the University and community will have over 200,000 square feet of recreational and multi-use space.

#### **EXISTING STRUCTURAL OVERVIEW**

The Compton Family ice Arena is a three level complex supported by a primarily steel frame structure resting on concrete piers. The long span construction necessary for the open atmosphere required of an arena is accomplished through upwards of 15' deep barrel trusses across the ice surface and a supporting framework surrounding the central focus. The incredible load induced by the precast risers characteristic of the bowl structure is addressed with sizable rakers fanning the event level as well as precast concrete walls below the stadia. The lateral system as will be discussed is comprised of concentric braced frames throughout the building.

#### FOUNDATIONS

Earth Exploration Inc. was contracted to investigate subsurface conditions to gain a geotechnical perspective with regard to foundations. What they found was primarily granular type soil extending 75ft with the exception of a particular location of cohesive soil at 22-32 ft. below surface. Despite these weak spots though, the site was deemed adequate to carry the loads of the facility of this nature as long as typical low bearing pressures were maintained. In this case, proposed spread footings were approved without the need of any deep foundation system.





FIGURE 4: TYPICAL DETAIL AT CONCRETE PIER

In following, the ice arena utilizes a combination of mat foundations, grade beams, and typical footings, with step footings typical around the perimeter of the building. The mat foundations, at 18" and 23" thicknesses, require #5 bars at 12" o.c. each way at both the top and bottom as well as additional #5 bars centered under the columns at 23" mats (See Figure 1). The grade beams primarily span the perimeter of the ice at a minimum thickness of 12" and require (2) #9 bars through the entire footing. The remaining footings vary in thickness from 18" to 42" and require typical bottom reinforcement in both directions. A typical detail at a concrete pier can be seen in Figure 4.

#### **ROOF SYSTEM**

The profile of the Compton Family ice Arena is distinguished by 4 different roof levels as can be seen in Figure 5. In blue is the Olympic Ice roof level sharing an elevation with the offices and conference rooms also shown in blue on the north side of the Arena. These two sections extend 32 ft. above grade. The area shown in green has a roof level of 48 ft. and the yellow area represents the curved roof that reaches a maximum height of 63ft. All sections but the yellow are flat roofs utilizing 1-1/2" 18gage type B wide rib metal roof deck with a vapor retarder, two layers of rigid roof insulation and a single ply roofing membrane. The main arena sloped roof, however, demands 7 ½" 18gage type N wide rib metal rood deck with the same roof.





#### FLOOR CONSTRUCTION

At grade, typical floor construction calls for all interior slabs to be 5" slab on grade with 6x6 W1.4xW1.4 WWF on a 10mm vapor barrier, on compacted granular fill. At both the concourse and club levels the floor support consists of 3" 18 gage composite metal deck with 3 ¼" light weight concrete above the deck and 6x6 W2.9xW2.9 WWF. Also required are 3/4 " diameter by 5" long headed studs on all steel beams supporting concrete slabs.

All metal deck was designed to be continuous over three spans minimum with the requirement of at least 2" bearing on steel supports. The framing of the arena can be broken in to two sections separated by the building expansion joint between column lines 5 and 6 (refer to plan views in appendices). The first is the main arena on the east wing and the second the Olympic sized arena on the west end.

#### MAIN ARENA

While the central ring on the arena is comprised of rakers based at slab on grade supporting the risers, the surrounding portions of the structure experience fairly regular framing with typical bay sizing. W21s and W18s framing into W24 and W33 girders



create roughly 13'x32' bays on the north, south and west sides. Along the east side you find W12s framing in to W21s to make up roughly 12'x29' bays.

#### OLYMPIC ARENA

The Olympic rink has no concourse level and extends only to its roof level at the concurrent of the main arena's club level. This roof structure present at this location will be discussed in following sections.

#### LATERAL SYSTEM

Steel braced frames are used to resist lateral loads placed on the structure. The west end of the building uses primarily X bracing with C12s. The remaining braced frames are a combination of concentric and eccentric chevron bracing with HSS members at either 8x8x3/8 or 12x8x3/8. An example can be seen in Figure 7 below. The columns connecting these braced frames range in size from W10 to W12 and require additional threaded anchor bolts embedded at least 20" into concrete with heavy hex nuts at the embedded end. The locations of each of these frames can be found in the Figure 6.







steel brace frame BF-7



### STRUCTURAL MATERIALS

Concrete					
Usage	Weight (PCF)	Strength(PSI)			
foundations	150	3500			
interior slab	150	3500			
interior slab	110	3500			
exterior slab	150	3500			
columns	150	4000			
piers	150	4000			
walls	150	4000			
Ma	isonry				
Usage	Standard	Strength			
CMU	ASTM C90 & C145	f' <sub>m</sub> =2000			
Mortar Typical	ASTM C270	-			
Grout	ASTM C476	f' <sub>c</sub> =3000			
Steel					
Usage	Standard	Grade			
W-Shaped Structural Steel	ASTM A992	-			
Channels, Angles, Plates	ASTM A36	-			
HSS Round	ASTM A500	-			
HSS Rectangular, Square	ASTM A500	-			
Structural Steel Pipes	ASTM A53	-			
Structural Steel Bolts	ASTM A325	-			
Washers	ASTM F436	-			
Nuts	ASTM A536	-			
Steel Roof Deck/ Comsposite Floor Decl	ASTM A653-94	33, G-60 Galvanized			
Anchor Bolts	ASTM F1554	-			
Headed Steel Studs	ASTM A108	1010-1020			
S	oils				
Usage	Strength				
Soils Supporting Foundations	5000psf min allow	vable bearing capacity			

Figure 8: Building Materials Used



#### DESIGN CODES

Sheets S001 and LS101 indicate that the Building was designed to comply with the following:

- 2006 International Building Code (IBC) with local amendments
- 2006 international Mechanical Code (IMC) with local amendments
- 2006 International Plumbing Code (IPC) with local amendments
- 2006 International Fire Code (IFC) with local amendments
- 2005 National Electric Code with local amendments
- 2003 ASME A17.1 Elevator Safety Code
- American Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG)
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and other Structures (ASCE7-10)
- Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-08)
- Specifications for Structural Concrete for Buildings (ACI 301-05)
- Masonry Construction for Buildings (ACI 530)
- Technical Notes of Brick Construction (BIA)
- Specification for Structural Steal Buildings (AISC)

\*\*This list also reflects the codes used for analysis in this technical report



#### GRAVITY LOADS

A major component of this technical report was the calculation of dead, live, and snow loads acting on the building. Below is a summary of the gravity checks performed and load cases found. Supporting Calculations can be found in Appendix A of this report.

#### DEAD AND LIVE LOADS

An actual summary of dead and live loads was not provided for the analysis done on the building but the following tables provide the values used in this analysis as calculated by code or relevant assumptions. In calculating the overall building weight, a number of elements were taken into consideration including slabs, steel beams and columns, façade, stadia seating, and roofing. What resulted was the following breakdown by floor and an overall building weight of 47880k.

Building Weights by Floor (psf)					
261.89					
176.09					
234.06					
72.70					

Live Loads	
Occupancy	Uniform psf
Stadiums/Arenas 1st floor	100
Stadiums/ArenasUpper floor	60
Retail Stores	100
Catwalks for maintenance	40
Roof	20

Dead Loads						
Material	Load (psf)					
Slab	46					
Façade	60					
Superimposed	15					



#### SNOW LOADS

The roof snow loads were calculated in accordance with chapter 7 of ASCE 7-05. The resulting uniform load as found from the maps outlined in chapter 7 was found to be 42 psf. In addition to this load, the snow drift on the lower roof of the Olympic stadium was calculated as shown in the figure 13 below. Full calculations for this analysis can be found in Appendix A.





#### LATERAL LOADS

#### WIND LOADS

ASCE 7-10 was used to determine wind pressures on the Arena in both North-South and East-West directions to show forces transferred to the Main Wind-Force Resisting System. Assumptions were made to simplify the shape of the building and the roof was taken to be flat due to the small magnitude of the slope angle. In addition, the roof elevation was set to one value at 48ft. For this analysis, the red box shown in figure 14 represents the shape used to analyze wind loads. As you will see in figure, the wind pressures for windward, leeward, sidewall and internal pressures were all calculated using an excel spreadsheet and then used to find story forces at each level. The results for both north-south and east-west directions can be seen below.



#### APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA



Wind Pressures (East-West Direction)									
				Internal Pre	Internal Pressure(psf)		Net Pressure(psf)		
Туре	Level	Distances(ft)	Wind Pressure (psf)	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)		
	Event Level	0 11.72		4.06	-4.06	15.77	7.66		
	Concourse Level	16	12.27	4.06	-4.06	16.32	8.21		
	Club level	32.3	13.73	4.06	-4.06	17.79	9.67		
Windward Walls	Roof Level	48	14.89	4.06	-4.06	18.94	10.83		
Leeward Walls	ALL	ALL	-5.99	4.06	-4.06	-1.93	-10.05		
Side Walls	ALL	ALL	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.36	-17.47		
		0-24	-24.91	4.06	-4.06	-20.85	-28.96		
		24-48	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47		
		48-96	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47		
Roof		>96	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47		





Wind Pressures (East-West Direction)								
		Trib	Below	Trib Above				
Floor Level	Elevation	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Story Force(k)	Story Shear (k)	Overturning moment(k-ft)
Event Level	0.00		0.00	8.00	1908.00	23.41	211.66	0.00
Concourse Level	16.00	8.00	1908.00	8.15	1943.78	50.09	188.26	1506.06
Club level	32.30	8.15	1943.78	15.70	3744.45	82.43	138.17	1126.05
Roof Level	48.00	15.70	3744.45		0.00	55.74	55.74	875.11
	Total Base Shear: 211.66						211.66	
	Total Overturning Moment 3507.22						3507.22	





Wind Pressures (North-South Direction)								
		Trib	Below	Trib A	Trib Above			
Floor Level	Elevation	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Story Force(k)	Story Shear (k)	Overturning moment(k-ft)
Event Level	0.00		0.00	8.00	3578.40	43.90	397.01	0.00
Concourse Level	16.00	8.00	3579.20	8.15	3645.50	93.96	353.12	2824.93
Club level	32.30	8.15	3646.31	15.70	7022.61	154.60	259.16	2112.15
Roof Level	48.00	15.70	7024.18		0.00	104.56	104.56	1641.61
	Total Base Shear: 397.01						397.01	
	Total Overturning Moment 6578.69						6578.69	



6578k-ft





Wind Pressures (North-South Direction)							
				Internal Pre	essure(psf)	Net Press	ure(psf)
Туре	Level	Distances(ft)	Wind Pressure (psf)	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)
	Event Level	0 11.72		4.06	-4.06	15.77	7.66
	Concourse Level	16	12.27	4.06	-4.06	16.32	8.21
	Club level	32.3	13.73	4.06	-4.06	17.79	9.67
Windward Walls	Roof Level	48	14.89	4.06	-4.06	18.94	10.83
Leeward Walls	ALL	ALL	-9.58	4.06	-4.06	-5.52	-13.64
Side Walls	ALL	ALL	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47
		0-24	-16.32	4.06	-4.06	-12.27	-20.38
		24-48	-16.32	4.06	-4.06	-12.27	-20.38
		48-96	-9.18	4.06	-4.06	-5.12	-13.23
Roof		>96	-5.59	4.06	-4.06	-1.54	-9.65



21



#### SEISMIC DESIGN

Seismic load calculations were performed in accordance with chapters 11 and 12 of ASCE 7-05 as outlined by the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure. For use of this method the building footprint was again simplified to a rectangular form similar to that used in the wind load calculation. Because the same lateral bracing system is used in both the north south and east west directions, the resulting forces were equivalent.

It was here that the building floor weights were taken in to account and as can be seen in the charts below, the exceedingly heavy nature of the structure plays a large part in the dominance of the seismic forces. With a soil classification, D, and the combination of heavy building weight and low roof height, it is unsurprising that seismic forces outweigh wind load by as much as 6 times in the east-west direction and 2.5 in the north-south direction.

	SeismicForces (East-West and North-South Directions)						
Level	Story Weight, wx(k)	Story Height, hx(ft)	wxhxk	Cvx	Story Force(k) Fx=CvxV	Story Shear (k)	Overturning Moment(k-ft)
Event Level	29000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	970.70	0
Concourse Level	11798.00	16.00	188768.00	0.21	201.91	970.70	15531.2
Club level	5790.76	32.30	187041.55	0.43	413.52	768.79	24832.05912
Roof Level	1291.00	48.00	61968.00	0.37	355.28	355.28	17053.2576
					Т	otal Base Shear:	970.70
					Total Overt	urning Moment	57416.52





#### **ROOF STRUCTURE**

The current high roof framing consists of eight barrel trusses spanning across the 156 ft. open bowl at 36 feet on centers. The curved trusses are comprised of W36x210 bottom chords and W14x146 top chords with W8x35 vertical and diagonal elements. Between each truss spans a curved W12x16 shape and east west bracing utilizes W21x44's at approximately every twelve feet. The trusses frame into W14x90 columns at both ends of the span. A typical truss can be seen in image below



Figure 9: Current High Roof Plan with barrel trusses highlighted

APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA







Figure 10: Typical barrel truss detail

A complete framing system take off and cost estimate can be found within the structural depth of this thesis as it will later be compared to alternative systems for economy.



#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

As confirmed by the preceding analysis, the Compton Family Ice Arena has been built to meet sufficient strength and serviceability requirements as well as impressively match and enhance the landscape of the University of Notre Dame campus while maximizing functionality. It was designed under the University budget with great emphasis on cost control yielding nearly the most economical design possible.

In searching for the most practical and effective redesign, it was natural to rule out concrete design and as proven by the floor re-designs in technical report three, unlikely to find a more efficient floor system. O great interest to the author of this report though and of particular relevance to this building was an investigation of the long span design of the arena.

Of large consideration in an Olympic scale arena project like this is effectively and efficiently addressing the long spans necessary to maintain the open bowl of the arena. The existing structure is defined by barrel trusses spanning 157 ft. in the North-South direction over the 252 ft. length of the main arena. At a maximum, the distance between bottom and top chord is 15 ft. creating a sloped roof over the length of the main arena comprised of W14 and W36 sized chords.

While this design creates an aesthetically adequate structure satisfactory to carry the roof loads and maintain structural stability and serviceability, there are a considerable number of possibilities in designing a roof like this based on further limiting criteria such as cost, constructability, and schedule impact. While these were all considered in the design of the



Compton Family Ice Arena, it appears that the final design was based largely on economy thus ruling out a number of possibilities leading to advantages in aesthetic, constructability, usability and efficiency.

So while there is no true "problem" as far as the structure of the Compton Family Ice Arena is concerned, the basis for this report is an exploration into long span alternatives for the anticipated improvement of the comprehensive design.

#### PROPOSED SOLUTION

In addressing long span design there were a number of options for ideal configurations. Considered in this case were two of particular interest. The first of which was an alternative to the original design using glue laminated wood framing for hybrid design of the long span steel trusses. The second was an investigation into a trend in Stadia design popularized by lead engineers at Walter P. Moore known as the table top truss system. Championed for its span reduction capabilities, coordination advantages and serviceability, it has been implemented in a number of projects across the country including the Reed Arena at Texas A&M University and the Toyota Center in Houston, Texas.

The design calls for central rectangular shaped box truss supported by four truss legs spanning to the outside of the arena bowl. The entire system then comes down on eight columns which effectively support the entire roof load. A representation of this system can be seen in Figure 11.





Figure 11: Table Top Truss Formation Loosely adapted from Reed Arena in Houston, Texas

The Goals of this redesign are to decrease span lengths thus decreasing steel usage while maintaining strength and serviceability requirements and explore the possibility of the table top truss on a slightly smaller scale structure. It will require significant attention to the design and seating requirements of the arena as it will displace existing seating and obstruct sight lines if not properly considered.

#### **BREADTH STUDIES**

In maintaining the integrated nature of the Architectural Engineering curriculum, this report has been enhanced by two breadths aimed at supplementing the structural redesign.

With such large scale change comes architectural and aesthetic alterations to both the roof and dome structure. The newly sized dome will be visible from the exterior, as presumed, and thus must not throw off the balance and movement of the overall structure. In addition,



the box trusses will bring the columns within the bowl of the arena requiring a re-sculpting of the seating layout with attention to site lines and visibility. It will change the overall look of the interior and reworking of the layout to incorporate an equal number of seats so as not to compromise to economy of the building.

In addition, the unique nature of the table top truss requires a specific erection procedure not often utilized in projects of this scale. The cost and feasibility of utilizing shoring towers for erection is analyzed and the effect on the construction schedule assessed.

#### STRUCUTRAL DEPTH: TABLE TOP TRUSS DESIGN

#### PREFACE

In re-designing the roof structure of the Compton Family Ice Arena, significant changes were required throughout the building. For the purposes of the analysis, only the eastern portion containing of the facility containing the main arena was considered. The existing structure contains an expansion joint at this juncture making this a practical approach. The Image below show the building used within the scope of this thesis as separated between column lines six and seven. A larger representation of this and other typical floor plans can also be found in appendix C.



Figure 12: Foundation plan highlighting the portion of the complex focused on in this thesis



#### BACKGROUND

The table top truss utilizes a rectangular system of box trusses pulled in over the main ice sheet. Central loads are then distributed to super columns at the corners of the bowl by four leg trusses extending radially outward from the corners of the rectangle. The system strives to substantially shorten spans without obstructing the necessary clear span thus reducing necessary steel weight and ultimately achieving cost savings. While the economic advantages are incredibly attractive, this system strives to do more in working to the greater good of the entire building through coordination among all design factions.

When approaching arena design or stadia design of any sort it is import to assess the usage of the building in accordance with the structural and functional design. While the roof system primarily supports the roof live and dead loads it is also responsible for supporting the cat walk necessary for lighting and maintenance as well as loads from rigging beams and substantially sized scoreboards.

Striving to make each of these systems work in harmony with one another, the table top truss aligns the major functions of the space creating a central grid mapped by the rectangle of the table top truss. The box formation of the trusses allows easy access to the catwalks which in turn act as the frame of the central rigging grid necessary for all lighting staging and sound equipment erection for events within the arena. In the case of this re-design, these loads account for almost 100,000 pounds of additional dead load on the trusses plus associated live loads. Aligning the system not only simplified analysis but added long term value.



Figure 13: (Above) Schematic showing alignment among table top truss, cat walk and rigging grid.



Figure 14: (Below) Existing architectural plans at concourse (left) and club (right) levels with superimposed truss. Drawn to Scale.



#### TRUSS LOCATION

The trusses are designed to maintain the fairly simple grid of the existing structure, align with the catwalk and rigging plans, and shorten spans as much as possible. The image in figure 15 shows the box truss in plan view superimposed over the existing low roof plan. As made visible, the trusses fit naturally and efficiently into the space and create an entirely new visual experience at the roof level. With the exception of two column shifts discussed here-after, the remainder of the roof framing is not only unchanged but incorporated into the new load path.

The core of the table top truss is comprised of eight individual trusses framing the inner and outer perimeters of the configuration. Trusses A and C span the inside taking loads from the central space while trusses B and D are removed 9' -2" from A and C respectively and designed to carry the loads from the perimeter.



Figure 15: The eight trusses of the "Table Top Truss" overlaying the existing framing grid at the high roof level. (3D model at left) as well as existing low roof (Plan View at Right) Accompanying three dimensional representation. (Images extracted from ETABS)





Figure 16: Comparison of span lengths between Proposed and existing systems. Truss spans color coded and called out in pan view.

This configuration allowed the designer to shorten the existing long span by almost 65'-0" decreasing the distance from 156 ft. to 91'-8" as can be seen in Figure 16. While the longest truss in the table top, Truss B, extends 188'-0", this design calls for only two trusses as this length as opposed to the existing eight trusses currently in place.

The most important factor effecting location though was the ultimate column placement at the four corners of the structure. These columns interrupt the bowl seating at the club level requiring seat relocation and sight line analysis (further information provided in the Architectural Breadth of this paper) as well as structural coordination. The eight trusses



distribute their load to the four legs of table top to then be carried to the outside of the bowl where the loads are taken by eight super columns. Figure 17 shows the location of the entire roof structure superimposed over the initial structural plan at each level. It highlights the interaction between the existing structure and new roof design so as to highlight the logical integration of the two. The super columns have been highlighted at each level showing their unobstructed path to the ground level. This structure was designed particularly to clear the

concourse level so as to avoid losing excessive seating. Additionally, this allowed for the bowl design to remain undisturbed in the design process. Provided in Figure 18 are typical corner sections at the concourse and club levels showing the exact location of the newly introduced super-columns as they line up with the existing structure.



Figure 17: Table Top Truss Superimposed over structural plans at concourse (LEFT) and club (RIGHT) levels.

While much of the surrounding structure was left untouched, there were adjustments necessary to accommodate the changes. In order to maintain the symmetry of the structure

necessary for stability, the columns at grid lines F and Y were pulled in by fourteen feet as seen in Figure 19. This change moved the columns from one side of a hallway to the other thus

requiring very minimal architectural adaptation. In addition, the columns at the exterior of the building were found to adequately carry the increased loads.







Figure 18: (Above) Typical corner column impact on surrounding structure at Club (Top) and Concourse (Bottom) levels.

Figure 19: (Left) Depiction of column shifts necessary to accommodate roof structure.

APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA



The East and West ends of the roof structure were designed to line up exactly with the columns at grid lines 10 and 25.5 and thus seamlessly fit into the existing structural layout. These column lines were restricted by surrounding code required egress thus posing a greater challenge if altered.

#### TRUSS ANALYSIS

#### LOADS

Loads for the table top truss structure were calculated in accordance with the initial design loads. Each truss was analyzed under a number of combinations at which point it was determined that 1.2D + 1.6L would be the controlling load case for the design. The results of each analysis can be found in appendix A justifying this conclusion. The member forces were calculated by hand using the joint method for truss analysis and altered per load case through utilization of an excel table. Each truss was then further checked for force and deflection using a RISA2D model. A summary of the loads can be found in Figure 20.

Loads
Load (PSF)
(Per IBC2009 & ASCE7-10)
20PSF
l Loads
Load (PSF)
4.46
2
4.5
0.7
2
7

Figure 20: Summary of Loads used for Gravity Analysis

#### DESIGN


Once loads were calculated the members were analyzed and designed to comply. All trusses are Pratt trusses as is typical in this type of structure. The design process was multi step beginning with a hand selection of appropriate section choices based on force and deflection calculations acquired in analysis. Appendix B contains all calculations used to define sections chosen to fulfill minimum sizing requirements based on the AISC Steel Construction Manual. The trusses were then re-modeled in SAP with the assigned sections and run to utilize the programs optimization capabilities. In the final steps of the process, all checks were taken into account and typical member sizes were chosen for each truss so as to develop efficient and economic trusses. All trusses were designed with W14 chords, and 2L shapes for diagonals and posts.

A break-down of this procedure is shown below for each truss to represent the complete process by which design sets were chosen. Information includes final truss dimensions, chord and bracing choices, and deflection and optimization models.



TRUSS A





# FINAL THESIS REPORT | h a l e y m c c l e r n o n



TRUSS B







TRUSS C





APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA





Tal	ole 23: Material L	ist By Section	Property	Table 23: Material List By Section Property										
Section	Quantity	Total Length	Total Length	Total Weight										
Section	Quantity	(in)	(ft)	(K)										
W14X43	8	1320	110.000	4.716										
W14X53	1	288	24.000	1.274										
W14X61	2	576	48.000	2.924										
W14X74	10	1896	158.000	11.721										
W14X90	4	1152	96.000	8.657										
2L4X3X1/4X3/8LLBB	2	724.828	60.402	0.695										
2L7X4X1/2X3/8LLBB	2	616.584	51.382	1.836										
2L7X4X3/8X3/8LLBB	2	724.828	60.402	1.644										
2L7X4X7/16X3/8LLBB	2	616.584	51.382	1.621										

Final Design Take-Offs for Truss D





APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA



The leg trusses are responsible for carrying the load to super columns at the exterior of the frame. Connecting directly to the trusses through a combination of bolting and welding, a rigid connection is created between the framing elements. While the design of this connection was beyond the scope of this thesis, engineers at Walter P. Moore lended their expertise in suggesting a suitable connection.

To achieve necessary strength, full penetration welds must be created at the flanges of the connection between Trusses A and C as well as Trusses B and D. The leg trusses frame in at this connection as well requiring both full penetration welds and bolting at every location. This includes a connection to Truss B, Truss D and the intersection of Trusses A and B. Additional



Figure 21: Typical Connection for Knuckle Joint as Provided by Walter P. Moore Engineers



plates ensure that full penetration welds cover the entire thickness of all flanges being connected. A typical detail as provided by Walter P. Moore can be seen in figure 21.

The sizing of the leg trusses was conducted in the same manner as the eight primary trusses. To carry the combined loading of the emerging trusses, the chords of the leg trusses required W27's at the top chord and typical 2L8x8x1 diagonals. A complete material list can be found in the material take-off conducted for cost analysis later in this section.

## COLUMN CONNECTIONS

The connections between the leg trusses and the columns are critical to the system and achieved through the use of pot bearings. These connections allow for the movement of the trusses without compromising strength of connection. These bearings are capable of transmitting forces while absorbing deformations and rotations. A larger depiction of a pot bearing can be found in Appendix E.

This type of bearing can take exceedingly large vertical loads ranging from 5,000-30,000 kN making it a desirable approach to this system.

As can be seen in Figure, The legs of the table top come down on 10" pipes to the pot bearing where the load is then transferred to the columns. Three dimensional representations of this schematic can be seen on the following page. In addition, the image below shows the basic geometry of the members.



Figure 22: Extruded SAP model highlighting structural geometry





Figure 23: Typical connection at corner column locations.



#### SUPPLEMENTAL FRAMING MEMBERS

The infill steel spanning both the inside and outside of the table top truss is comprised of conventional deep long span joists. Joists were found to be more efficient than beams in this case due to the long spans and lack of restrictions or depth requirements. Joist loads were calculated using the same loads specified above in Figure 20 and sized for necessary spans using the Vulcraft Steel Joist and Joist Girder manual. All hand calculations can be found in appendix C.

The interior joists were designed so as to distribute load evenly to each side of the rectangular box truss. For this reason, center joists span in North-South direction framing into Truss A, while the outer joists are turned 90° to frame into truss C. A number of layouts were investigated to determine the most efficient spacing before the arrangement in figure 23 was decided most efficient. 60DLH16 joists span in the long direction across the eighty eight foot center section at 5'-6" O.C. 26LH13 joists, also at 5'-6" O.C, frame into truss C across the two forty foot outside sections. To carry the interior point loads from the 26LH13 joists as well as the standard roof loads, joists girders were designed to span the 91'-8" on either side of the 60DLH16 grouping. While it is typical practice to design and special order a joist girder of this size and specificity, a 100G10N19F joist girder was chosen from the Vulcraft Steel Joist and Joist Girder manual in order to better estimate final costs.

The joists selected to span from outside trusses to the surrounding columns were 44LH17 joists. These were sized in the same manner as the previous joists and calculations can be found in appendix C.



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA



## LATERAL DESIGN

The lateral force resisting system had to be adjusted to account for the new roof height as well as the column shifts made to accommodate the roof system. Column lines were pulled inward at grid lines F and Y thus creating a new shape for the roof structure and inherently adjusting the roof diaphragm created by the decking spanning this section. So as to adequately absorb the lateral loads incurred, the braced frames at these gird lines were also shifted inward.

In order to assess to capacity of the system with the given adjustments, Wind pressures were re-calculated (see Appendix A) and applied to an adjusted model created in ETABS. The new model accounted for frame shifts, diaphragm changes and height adjustments but left original framing in place.

Load cases were applied in compliance with ASCE7-05 pictures below to find the controlling load case.



Figure 25: Load Cases defined by ASCE7-05



	<b>Total Force X-Direction</b>	<b>Total Force Y Direction</b>	Total Force
	Load (	Case 1	Load Case 3
ROOF	73.16	111.85	137.25
CLUB	110.52	171.75	210.75
CONCOURSE	72.19	115	140.25
	Load (	Case 2	Load Case 4
ROOF	54	83.25	103.1
CLUB	82.5	128.25	158.2
CONCOURSE	54	86.25	105.7

Figure 26: Maximum force calculations for each of the four defined load cases

The controlling load case was then decided upon as load case three because it produced the greatest forces of the four cases. Once this was decided, columns beams and braces were checked for combined capacity. Axial force, bending in the X direction and Bending in the Y direction were taken from the Etabs Analysis and checked against member capacity. A sample of this calculation can be seen in Figure 26 below and full calculations can be found in Appendix A. Any interaction capacity resulting in a value greater than one required redesign to carry the new loads.

	Lateral Column Checks											
Section	Р	bx	by	Р	Мх	My	Capacity Check					
w10x45	1(J)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	57.15	2.82	74.31	0.94			
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	20.76	0.54	11.04	0.16			
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.14	0.12	17.40	0.22			
w10x45	1(H)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	57.23	3.89	82.73	1.05			
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	20.83	0.62	12.36	0.17			
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.15	0.19	19.11	0.24			

Figure 27: Sample calculation used in determining column capacity at braced frames.

It was found that the columns failed at the base of many of the braced frames. These columns were upsized to account for this new load and reanalyzed for sufficiency. Columns at braced frames 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 failed as shown in Figure 28. A typical change is shown at braced frame seven. The member in red indicates the over stressed original designation and the member label in black shows the adjusted size.

ې م	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	9	Ŷ	<u> </u>	@?@	9	9 (	p (	<u> </u>	<u>م</u>	φ	• •	· •	() ()
ŏ- -							<mark>-</mark>	nitial Loc	ation	ofbra	ced fra	ame			
								djusted	Locat	ion					
≎–– &–⊟												1	2	10	
					7									10	Ŧ
õ-															
•															
<u>~</u>  -															
0- -															
8-															
ol															
84					8									11	
						q					1	3			
<u>_</u> _	> ×														

Figure 28: (Above) Shifted Locations of braced frames. Figure 29: (Below) Plan view of lateral system with inadequate frames shown in red. Figure 30: (Right) Typical braced frame with upsized columns to account for additional lateral load.





APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA

48



A complete summary of column changes can be seen in Figure 30. In addition, extended calculations can be found in Appendix A.

Adjusted Capacity	0.99	0.74	0.96	0.96	0.72	0.74	0.82	0.77	
My	75.89	56.44	73.47	73.47	54.68	56.09	88.49	82.73	
Mx	0.94	1.08	1.56	1.56	1.17	1.63	1.91	3.89	
Р	89.42	66.50	89.77	89.77	67.56	67.56	71.25	57.23	
bу	11.70	11.70	11.70	11.70	11.70	11.70	8.38	8.38	
хq	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	4.43	4.43	
d	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27	3.27	2.43	2.43	
Member	w 10x 45	w 10x45	w 10x45	w 10x45	w 10x 45	w 10x45	w 10x 49	w 10x 49	
		-							
		:0	DTC	I3H!	ÐIS	EDE	Я		
Initial Capacity	1.38	1.03	1.35	1.35 E	1.01	1.03	1.12 œ	1.05	
My Initial Capacity	75.89 1.38	56.44 1.03 C	73.47 1.35 H	74.03 1.35 🗄	54.68 1.01	56.09 1.03	88.49 1.12 <sup>EC</sup>	82.73 1.05	
Mx My Capacity	0.94 75.89 1.38	1.08 56.44 1.03 .	1.56 73.47 1.35	1.03 74.03 1.35	1.17 54.68 1.01	1.63 56.09 1.03	1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>EC</sup>	3.89 82.73 1.05	
P Mx My Initial Capacity	89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03 C	89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>EE</sup>	57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	
by P Mx My Initial Capacity	16.90 89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	16.90 66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03 <sub>5</sub>	16.90 89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	16.90 84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	16.90 67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	16.90 67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	11.70 71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>22</sup>	11.70 57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	
bx by P Mx My Capacity	7.89 16.90 89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	7.89 16.90 66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03	7.89 16.90 89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	7.89 16.90 84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	7.89 16.90 67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	7.89 16.90 67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	5.19 11.70 71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>EC</sup>	5.19 11.70 57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	
p bx by P Mx My Capacity	4.68 7.89 16.90 89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	4.68 7.89 16.90 66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03 .	4.68 7.89 16.90 89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	4.68 7.89 16.90 84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	3.27 5.19 11.70 71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>62</sup>	3.27 5.19 11.70 57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	
KL p bx by P Mx My Initial Capacity	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	16 4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	16 3.27 5.19 11.70 71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>22</sup>	16 3.27 5.19 11.70 57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	
Level KL p bx by P Mx My Initial	1(V) 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 89.42 0.94 75.89 1.38	1(Y) 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 66.50 1.08 56.44 1.03	1(F) 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 89.77 1.56 73.47 1.35	1(J) 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 84.03 1.03 74.03 1.35	1 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.17 54.68 1.01	1 16 4.68 7.89 16.90 67.56 1.63 56.09 1.03	1(X) 16 3.27 5.19 11.70 71.25 1.91 88.49 1.12 <sup>EC</sup>	1(H) 16 3.27 5.19 11.70 57.23 3.89 82.73 1.05	





A similar analysis was performed as a check for bracing members to confirm axial capacity. All members were HSS8x8x3/16 except for braced frames 12 and 13 which utilized HSS12x8x3/16 shapes. A comparison showed that all tension members adequately carried their adjusted loads but the compression members fell just short at multiple locations at the concourse and club levels. Rather than resize each member individually, it was decided to upsize HSS8x8x3/16 braces to HSS8x8x1/4 members at the club and concourse level for braced frames 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11. A sample calculation can be seen in Figure 31 as well as complete analysis in Appendix A.

		Bracing Mer	nber Checks			
Member	Section	Axial Load	KL(in)	KL(ft)	1.6P	φPn
D32	HSS8x8x.375	-44.12	240	20	-70.592	431
D33	HSS8x8x.376	66.04	308.914	25.74283	105.664	151
D34	HSS8x8x.377	-40.67	273.805	22.81708	-65.072	431
D35	HSS8x8x.378	41.94	282.136	23.51133	67.104	134
D36	HSS8x8x.379	-21.21	399.891	33.32425	-33.936	431
D37	HSS8x8x.380	20.98	396.011	33.00092	33.568	73.8
D14	HSS8x8x.375	-56.64	247.386	20.6155	-90.624	431
D15	HSS8x8x.376	76.67	343.641	28.63675	122.672	105
D16	HSS8x8x.377	-36.61	390.427	32.53558	-58.576	431
D17	HSS8x8x.378	41.08	436.807	36.40058	65.728	65.9
D18	HSS8x8x.379	43.49	297.692	24.80767	69.584	128
D19	HSS8x8x.380	-43.57	297.692	24.80767	-69.712	431

#### Figure 32: Sample calculations used to determine adequacy of existing braced members.

Once all members were redesigned to carry the lateral loads, the model was updated and run once again to verify period and drift requirements. The new system was found to be adequate for supporting lateral loads. The results can be seen below in figure 32.





Wind Story Displacement E-W Direction										
Floor	Dis	Displacement (in)								
FIOU	Story Height (in)	Story Drift Ratio	Story Drift	Displacement (in)						
Concourse	192	0.000274	0.052608	0.48						
Club	392	0.000256	0.100352	0.98						
Roof	740	0.000196	0.14504	1.85						

	Wind Story DisplacementN-S Direction										
Floor	Dis	Allowable									
Floor	Story Height(in)	Story Drift Ratio	Story Drift	Displacement (in)							
Concourse	192	0.000712	0.136704	0.48							
Club	392	0.000769	0.301448	0.98							
Roof	740	0.000779	0.57646	1.85							

Figure 33: Wind Story Displacements taken from ETABS analysis.

\*\*Wind was found to be controlling in technical report three and the same held true for the new building design. An adjusted building weight was calculated though to account for the new design and incorporated into the seismic analysis. These calculations can be found in Appendix A.



#### COST COMPARISON

As an economy comparison between the existing and re-designed roof structure, steel take-offs were taken from both high roof framing plans and priced based on the RS Means Cost Works.

		Higl	n Roof Framing	System Tak	ce-Off & Cost Estimate		-			
	Structural Member	Quantity Wei	ght/ft.(PLF) Le	ength (ft)	Total Weight (lb)	Total Weight (tons)	Cost/ft.	Cost		
S151	W12x14	30	14	13	5460	2.73	\$25.21	\$9,833.07		
S151	W12x26	12	26	13	4056	2.028	\$41.06	\$6,405.36		
S151	W12x26	6	26	17.417	2717.052	1.358526	\$41.06	\$4,290.85		
S151	W21x44	4	44	25.583	4502.608	2.251304	\$65.86	\$6,739.59		
S151	W12x26	4	26	25.583	2660.632	1.330316	\$41.06	\$4,201.75		
S151	W18x40	3	40	38.667	4640.04	2.32002	\$61.15	\$7,093.46		
S151	W24x55	3	55	38.667	6380.055	3.1900275	\$80.03	\$9,283.56		
S151	W18x35	3	35	36	3780	1.89	\$54.65	\$5,902.20		
S151	W24x55	3	55	36	5940	2.97	\$80.03	\$8,643.24		
S151	W12x14	1	14	14.75	206.5	0.10325	\$25.21	\$371.85		
S151	W16x26	1	26	24.833	645.658	0.322829	\$40.27	\$1,000.02		
S151	L4x4x3/8	12		15.647			\$40.80	\$7,660.77		
S151	W24x55	1	55	38.417	2112.935	1.0564675	\$80.03	\$3,074.51		
S152	W24x55	1	55	38.417	2112.935	1.0564675	\$80.03	\$3,074.51		
S152	W16x26	1	26	24.833	645.658	0.322829	\$40.27	\$1,000.02		
S152	W12x14	1	14	14.75	206.5	0.10325	\$25.21	\$371.85	s	
S152	W12x14	30	14	13	5460	2.73	\$25.21	\$9,831.90	JPF	
S152	W12x26	12	26	13	4056	2.028	\$41.06	\$6,405.36	Ē	
S152	W12x26	5	26	17.417	2264.21	1.132105	\$41.06	\$3,575.71	MER	
S152	W21x44	3	44	25.583	3376.956	1.688478	\$65.86	\$5,054.69	UTA	
S152	W12x26	2	26	25.583	1330.316	0.665158	\$41.06	\$2,100.88	RY	
S152	W18x40	2	40	38.667	3093.36	1.54668	\$61.15	\$4,728.97	FRA	
S152	W24x55	3	55	38.667	6380.055	3.1900275	\$80.03	\$9,283.56	Ĩ	
S152	W18x35	2	35	36	2520	1.26	\$54.65	\$3,934.80	NG	
S152	L4x4x3/8	12		15.647			\$40.80	\$7,660.77	S	
\$152	W24x55	3	55	36	5940	2.97	\$80.03	\$8,643.24	SB	
S153	W12x14	23	14	13	4186	2.093	\$25.21	\$7,537.79	ERS	
S153	W12x26	13	26	13	4394	2.197	\$41.06	\$6,939.14	•	
S153	W21x44	3	44	25.583	3376.956	1.688478	\$65.86	\$5,054.69		
\$153	W12x26	2	26	25.583	1330.316	0.665158	\$41.06	\$2,100.88		
\$153	W18x40	2	40	38.667	3093.36	1.54668	\$61.15	\$4,728.97		
\$153	W24x55	3	55	38.667	6380.055	3.1900275	\$80.03	\$9,283.56		
\$153	W18x35	4	35	36	5040	2.52	\$54.65	\$7,869.60		
\$153	L4x4x3/8	12		18.238	44000	5.04	\$40.80	\$8,929.32		
\$153	W24x55	6	55	36	11880	5.94	\$80.03	\$17,286.48		
5154	W12X14	23	14	13	4186	2.093	\$25.21	\$7,537.79		
\$154	W12x26	13	26	13	4394	2.197	\$41.06	\$6,939.14		
5154	W12x26	2	26	25.583	1330.316	0.665158	\$41.06	\$2,100.88		
5154	W21x44	4	44	25.583	4502.608	2.251304	\$65.86	\$6,739.59		
5154	VV18X4U	3	40	38.66/	4640.04	2.32002	\$61.15	\$7,093.46		
5154	W24X55	3	55	38.66/	6380.055	3.1900275	\$80.03	\$9,283.56		
5154	W18X35	6	35	36	/560	3.78	\$54.65	\$11,804.40		
5154	vv24X55	б	55	36	11880	5.94	\$80.03	\$17,286.48		40000
S154	L4x4x3/8	12		18.238			\$40.80	\$8,929.32	TOTAL	Ş287,61

1.55



*INCLUD	ICLUDES OVERHEAD & PROFIT IN ESTIMATE				TOTAL WEIGHT (TONS)	298.356402	Т	ONS	GRAND TOTAL	\$906,163.90
									TOTAL	\$99,213.32
									QUANTITY	1
S306 - B	W36x210	1	210	65	13650	6.825	\$285.85	\$18,580.25	PER TRUSS	\$99,213.32
S306 - B	W36x210	2	210	45.5	19110	9.555	\$285.85	\$26,012.35	TOP & BUTTONICHORD	
S306 - T	W14x176	1	176	159.708	28108.608	14.054304	\$243.05	\$38,817.03		
S306 - D	W8x35	2	35	19.375	1356.25	0.678125	\$56.98	\$2,207.98		5)
S306 - D	W8x35	2	35	18.51	1295.7	0.64785	\$56.98	\$2,109.40		ENE
S306 - D	W8x35	2	35	17.146	1200.22	0.60011	\$56.98	\$1.953.96	DIAGONALS	ss (
5306 - D	W8x35	2	35	15 417	903.44 1079.19	0.48272	\$56.98	\$1,371.74		TRU
S306 - D	W0A35	2	35	13 702	065 1/	0.2304925	\$56.98	\$041.05 \$1 571 74		Ë
5306 - V	W0X33	2	35	14.3/5	1006.25	0.503125	\$56.98	\$1,050.18 \$9/1.65		RR
5306 - V	VV8X35	2	35	13.16/	921.69	0.460845	\$56.98	\$1,500.51	VERTICALS	BA
5306 - V	W8X35	2	35	11.177	/82.39	0.391195	\$56.98	\$1,2/3.73	VEDTICALC	
S306 - V	W8x35	2	35	8.333	583.31	0.291655	\$56.98	\$949.63		
									TOTAL	\$519,339.03
									QUANTITY	7
S307 - B	W14x120	1	120	65	7800	3.9	\$167.99	\$10,919.35	PER TRUSS	\$74,191.29
S307 - B	W14x120	2	120	45.5	10920	5.46	\$167.99	\$15,287.09		
S307 - T	W14x145	1	145	159.708	23157.66	11.57883	\$201.50	\$32,181.16	TOP & BOTTOM CHORD	
S307 - D	W8x35	2	35	19.375	1356.25	0.678125	\$56.98	\$2,207.98		AL)
S307 - D	W8x35	2	35	18.51	1295.7	0.64785	\$56.98	\$2,109.40		PIC
S307 - D	W8x35	2	35	17.146	1200.22	0.60011	\$56.98	\$1,953.96	DIAGONALS	(17
S307 - D	W8x35	2	35	15.417	1079.19	0.539595	\$56.98	\$1,756.92		SSI
S307 - D	W8x35	2	35	13.792	965.44	0.48272	\$56.98	\$1,571.74		R
S307 - V	W8x35	1	35	14.771	516.985	0.2584925	\$56.98	\$841.65		Ë
S307 - V	W8x35	2	35	14.375	1006.25	0.503125	\$56.98	\$1.638.18	VENTIONED	RR
S307 - V	W8x35	2	35	13 167	921.69	0.351155	\$56.98	\$1 500 51	VERTICALS	B,
5307 - V	W8x35	2	35	11 177	782.31	0.291055	\$56.98	\$1 272 72		
\$307 - V	W/8x35	2	35	8 333	583 31	0 291655	\$56.98	\$9/9 63		

# Figure 34: High roof framing system take-off and analysis



			Proposed I	ligh Roof Fra	aming Take-Off and	d Cost Estimate				
Zone	Structural Member	Quantity	Weight/ft(PLF)	Length (ft)	Total Weight (lb)	Total Weight (tons)	Cost/ft.	Cost		
S151	60DLH18	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46	\$49.12	\$15,759.33		
S151	. 28LH13	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43	\$23.90	\$3,871.80		
S151	. 44LH17	24	47	41.67	47000	23.5	\$37.37	\$37,370.00		
S151	. W24x84	6	84	38.67	19488	9.744	\$119.23	\$27,661.36		
S151	W24x84	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745	\$119.23	\$3,050.30		
S151	W24x84	1	84	24.83	2086	1.043	\$119.23	\$2,960.88		
S151	W24x84	1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135	\$119.23	\$4,580.42		
S151	w18x35	3	35	16.67	1750	0.875	\$54.65	\$2,732.50		
S152	60DLH18	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46	\$49.12	\$15,759.33		
S152	. 28LH13	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43	\$23.90	\$3,871.80		10
S152	44LH17	24	47	41.67	47000	23.5	\$37.37	\$37,370.00		UP CP
S152	W24x84	6	84	38.67	19488	9.744	\$119.23	\$27,661.36		Р.E.
S152	W24x84	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745	\$119.23	\$3,050.30		Ξ.
S152	W24x84	1	84	24.83	2086	1.043	\$119.23	\$2,960.88		Z T
S152	W24x84	1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135	\$119.23	\$4,580.42		
S152	W18x35	3	35	16.67	1750	0.875	\$54.65	\$2,732.50		FRA
S153	60DLH18	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46	\$49.12	\$15,759.33		ŝ
S153	28LH13	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43	\$23.90	\$3,871.80		Z G
S153	44LH17	24	47	41.67	47000	23.5	\$37.37	\$37,370.00		₹ }
S153	W24x84	6	84	38.67	19488	9.744	\$119.23	\$27,661.36		TE
S153	W24x84	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745	\$119.23	\$3,050.30		RIA
S153	W24x84	1	84	24.83	2086	1.043	\$119.23	\$2,960.88		2
S153	W24x84	1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135	\$119.23	\$4.580.42		
S153	w18x35	3	35	16.67	1750	0.875	\$54.65	\$2,732.50		
S154	60DLH18	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46	\$49.12	\$15,759.33		
S154	28LH13	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43	\$23.90	\$3,871.80		
S154	, 44LH17	24	47	41.67	47000	23.5	\$37.37	\$37,370.00		
S154	W24x84	6	84	38.67	19488	9.744	\$119.23	\$27,661.36		
S154	W24x84	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745	\$119.23	\$3,050.30		
S154	W24x84	1	84	24.83	2086	1.043	\$119.23	\$2,960.88		
S154	W24x84	1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135	\$119.23	\$4,580.42		
6454	1 14/10:25	2	25	46.67	1750	0.075	ĆE A CE	¢2,722,50	Total	\$201 0/6 27
5154	W18X35		35	16.67	1750	0.875	\$54.65	\$2,732.50	Total	3391,940.37
D	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	4	1.7	25.7	791.56	0.39578	\$ 10.66	\$1,095.85	-	
D	2L2X2X3/8	4	4.7	30.2	567.76	0.28388	\$ 10.66	\$1,287.73	1	russ D
D	2L6X6X5/8	9	24.2	24	5227.2	2.6136	\$ 16.86	\$3,641.76		
D	w14x109	2	90	110	19800	9.9	\$127.78	\$28,111.60	PER TRUSS	\$34,136.94
									QUANTITY	2
	`								TOTAL	\$68,273.87
С	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	2	3.9	24.43	190.554	0.095277	\$ 10.66	\$520.85		
С	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	2	3.9	33.18	258.804	0.129402	\$ 10.66	\$707.40		
С	2L2X2X3/8	2	3.9	30.2	235.56	0.11778	\$ 10.66	\$643.86	Т	russ C
С	2L6x6x1/2	4	19.6	24	1881.6	0.9408	\$ 16.86	\$1,618.56		
С	2L5x5x5/8	3	20	24	1440	0.72	\$ 16.86	\$1,213.92		
С	W14x109	2	84	91.67	15400.56	7.70028	\$127.78	\$23,427.19	PER TRUSS	\$28,131.77
									QUANTITY	2
									TOTAL	\$56,263.55



В	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	2	9.8	25.7	503.72	0.25186	\$ 10.66	\$547.92		
В	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	2	9.8	32.7	640.92	0.32046	\$ 10.66	\$697.16		
В	2L2-1/2X2X3/8	2	9.8	32.7	640.92	0.32046	\$ 10.66	\$697.16		
В	2L2X2X3/8	2	2.4	32.7	156.96	0.07848	\$ 10.66	\$697.16	т	ruce D
В	2L4X3X5/8	4	11.1	25.7	1141.08	0.57054	\$ 10.66	\$1,095.85	1	IUSS D
В	2L6X6X1	6	37.4	24	5385.6	2.6928	\$ 16.86	\$2,427.84		
В	2L6X6X1/2	3	19.6	24	1411.2	0.7056	\$ 16.86	\$1,213.92		
В	2L8X8X1/2	4	26.4	24	2534.4	1.2672	\$ 16.86	\$1,618.56		
В	W14x211	2	146	188	54896	27.448	\$195.78	\$73,613.28	PER TRUSS	\$82,608.86
									QUANTITY	2
									TOTAL	¢165 217 72
								4	TOTAL	\$105,217.75
A	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	24.43	903.91	0.451955	\$ 10.66	\$520.85		
A	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	27.66	1023.42	0.51171	\$ 10.66	\$589.71		
A	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	32.67	1208.79	0.604395	\$ 10.66	\$696.52		
A	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	2	9.8	32.67	640.332	0.320166	\$ 10.66	\$696.52	Т	russ A
A	2L2-1/2X1-1/2X1/4	2	9.8	32.67	640.332	0.320166	\$ 10.66	\$696.52		
A	2L6X6X1/2	3	19.6	24	1411.2	0.7056	\$ 16.86	\$1,213.92		
A	2L8X8X1/2	8	26.4	24	5068.8	2.5344	Ş 16.86	\$3,237.12		
A	W14x211	2	217	169.67	73636.78	36.81839	\$195.78	\$66,435.99	PER TRUSS	\$74,087.16
									QUANTITY	2
									TOTAL	\$74,087.16
	W14X145	4	145	9.167	5316.86	2.65843	\$188.55	\$6,913.75		T
	W14X22	16	22	9.167	3226.784	1.613392	\$81.59	\$11,966.97		OP Q
	W14X26	4	26	9.167	953.368	0.476684	\$81.59	\$2,991.74	HORIZONTALS	H
	W14X68	4	68	9.167	2493.424	1.246712	\$111.65	\$4,093.98		ORD
	W14X61	6	61	9.167	3355.122	1.677561	\$98.30	\$5,406.70		BR
	2L8X8X1X3/8	12	32.7	23.9	9378.36	4.68918	\$16.86	\$4,835.45	DIACONALC	ACI
	2L8X8X1X3/8	8	32.7	12.9	3374.64	1.68732	\$16.86	\$1,739.95	DIAGONALS	NG
	2L8X4X1/2X3/8	8	28.4	20.4	4634.88	2.31744	\$16.86	\$2,751.55		\$40,700.09
	2L5X5X5/16X3/4	24	16.2	9.167	3564.1296	1.7820648	\$16.86	\$3,709.33	HORIZONTALS	
	2L5X5X5/16X3/4	16	16.2	23.9	6194.88	3.09744	\$16.86	\$6,447.26		BOT CH 3RA
	2L5X5X5/16X3/4	4	16.2	28.9	1872.72	0.93636	\$16.86	\$1,949.02	DIAGONALS	
	2L5X5X5/16X3/4	4	16.2	20.42	1323.216	0.661608	\$16.86	\$1.377.12		s∘≤
	2L5X5X5/16X3/4	4	16.2	12.9	835.92	0.41796	\$16.86	\$869.98	TOTAL	\$55.052.81
	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	24.43	903.91	0.451955	\$ 10.66	\$520.85	-	1,
	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	27.66	1023.42	0.51171	\$ 10.66	\$589.71		
	2L4x4x3/4	2	18.5	32.67	1208.79	0.604395	\$ 10.66	\$696.52		
	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	2	9.8	32.67	640.332	0.320166	\$ 10.66	\$696.52	Le	g Truss
	2 2-1/2X1-1/2X1/4	2	9.8	32.67	640 332	0 320166	\$ 10.66	\$696.52		8
	2L6X6X1/2	3	19.6	24	1411.2	0.7056	\$ 16.86	\$1,213,92		
	2L8X8X1/2	8	26.4	24	5068.8	2.5344	\$ 16.86	\$3,237,12		
	W27x217	2	217	149.42	64848.28	32.42414	\$127.78	\$38,185.78	PER TRUSS	\$45.836.95
	==-	-							QUANTITY	4
									TOTAL	\$183 347 79
ГГ								TOTAL	\$070 926 FF	
*INCLUDES OVERHEAD & PROFIT IN ESTIMATE			i otal Weight (lb)	358.9	3 Ions		GRAND TOTAL	22.020,27		

Figure 35: Proposed high roof framing system take-off and analysis



## ARCHITECTURAL BREADTH

In the redesign of the structural roof concept, a number of changes took place that require architectural iterations in order to maintain the functions of the building. Of primary concern is the new presence of the super columns at the corners of the seating bowl. As designed, the columns have minimal interference with the structure of the building but do in fact alter important aesthetics. In the case of the bowl seating, seats had to be removed at the corner section of the club level seating to make room for the columns thus impacting the calculated capacity of the arena.

While cost considerations for game day revenue would be an issue if seats were not relocated, the primary issue is the type of seating in obstructed locations. The corner spaces of the club level housed a majority of the handicap seating in the arena, a category regulated by code not revenue.



Figure 36: (Right) Table top roof structure superimposed over existing architectural drawing at club level. Images scaled and aligned for exact location.





A worst case scenario has been assumed in seating loss and cumulative seat losses can be seen in figure 36 below. A total of seventy five seats in addition to eleven handicap seats were

	Locoation	Typical Seat	Handicap Seat
	Northeast Corner	16	2
	South East Corner	17	3
	South West Corner	21	3
	North West Corner	21	3
이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이	TOTAL	75	11

Figure 37: Largest possible area effected by super columns. Table 36: Seating loss totals broken down by section.

displaced by the super columns. According to the 2006 International Building Code, the total required number of handicap seats is "6 plus one for each 150 between 500-5000." This cumulates to a code requirement of 30 handicap seats throughout the arena. With 45 handicap seats on the concourse level and an additional six remaining on the club level, the arena still complies with the code even in the absence of the 11 displaced seats. A visual comparison of the existing vs. proposed arena can be found on the next page in figures 37 & 38.

CAPACITY OF SEATING IN ASSEMBLY AREAS	MINIMUM REQUIRED NUMBER OF WHEELCHAIR SPACES
4 to 25	1
26 to 50	2
51 to 100	4
101 to 300	5
301 to 500	6
501 to 5,000	6, plus 1 for each 150, or fraction thereof, between 501 through 5,000
5,001 and over	36 plus 1 for each 200, or fraction thereof, over 5,000

## TABLE 1108.2.2.1 ACCESSIBLE WHEELCHAIR SPACES

Figure 38: IBC Regulation for handicap seating. IBC Table 1108.2.2.1





Figure 39: (ABOVE) Interior rendering with adjusted table top truss in place. Figure 40: (BELOW) Interior rendering showing existing roof structure







Figure 41: Aerial Rending displaying adjusted roof shape Figure



Figure 42: Aerial view of existing roof structure



## CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT BREADTH

The construction of the table top truss system differs from that of the conventional long span truss and requires a specialized erection procedure. The suggested method is to first erect the legs of the table top truss. Each leg would rest on its respective corner column and a shoring tower at the location of the connection. Once in place, the sides of the rectangular interior could be placed one by one. The introduction of the shoring towers would obviously add cost to the procedure but in looking into the existing construction sequence it seems that the trade is nominal.

The current erection called for two cranes on site during the erection of the trusses. With the shoring towers in place, one crane would be capable of making each pick with a guide line. Given the existing schedule with a truss erection period of 68 days (See schedule below), the comparative cost breakdown shows savings in the alternate approach.

PHR0T1-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #14	2	11-Sep-12	12-Sep-12
PHR0T2-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #17	2	13-Sep-12	14-Sep-12
PHR0T8-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #18	2	17-Sep-12	18-Sep-12
PHR0T7-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #21	2	19-Sep-12	20-Sep-12
PHR0T6-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #22	2	21-Sep-12	24-Sep-12
PHR0T3-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #23	2	25-Sep-12	26-Sep-12
PHR0T4-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #24	2	27-Sep-12	28-Sep-12
PHR0T5-8P4D1	Build-up Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #25	2	01-Oct-12	02-Oct-12
PHR0T1-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #14	1	13-Sep-12	13-Sep-12
PHR0T2-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #17	1	21-Sep-12	21-Sep-12
PHR0T8-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #18	1	09-Oct-12	09-Oct-12
PHR0T7-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #21	1	17-Oct-12	17-Oct-12
PHR0T6-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #22	1	24-Oct-12	24-Oct-12
PHR0T3-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #23	1	01-Nov-12	01-Nov-12
PHR0T4-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #24	1	09-Nov-12	09-Nov-12
PHR0T5-8P4D2	Erect Roof Trusses - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #25	1	16-Nov-12	16-Nov-12
PHR0T1-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #17	5	24-Sep-12	28-Sep-12
PHR0T2-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #19 & #20	5	10-Oct-12	16-Oct-12
PHR0T7-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #21	4	18-Oct-12	23-Oct-12
PHR0T6-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #22	5	25-Oct-12	31-Oct-12
PHR0T3-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #23	5	02-Nov-12	08-Nov-12
PHR0T4-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #24	4	12-Nov-12	15-Nov-12
PHR0T5-8P4D4	Truss Infill & Plumb/Bolt/Weld - Arena High Roof Truss Seq #25	5	19-Nov-12	27-Nov-12

#### Figure 43: Typical Truss Erection Schedule



	Exis	ting		
	Truss Weigh	t: 25.79 Tons		
Crane	Quantity	Cost per Day	# Days	Total Cost
25 Ton	2	\$1,650.00	68	\$224,400.00
	Table T	op Truss		
	Truss Weigh	ts: 33.66Tons		
Crane	Quantity	Cost per Day	# Days	Total Cost
40 Ton	1	\$1,900.00	68	\$129,200.00
	Sho	oring		
	Truss He	eight:37'		
Equipment	Quantity/Tower	# of Towers	Cost	
Tower 5'x7'x10'	1	4	\$1,500.00	\$6,000.00
5' added sections	6	4	\$228.00	\$5,472.00
			TOTAL:	\$140,672.00

Figure 44: Cost Breakdown taken From RS Means Building Construction Cost Data

\*\*See Appendix F for CostWorks References



#### CONCLUSION

Analysis and design led to the effective implementation of the table top truss system in the Compton Family Ice Arena. Working in conjunction with the existing structure, the new design was able to fit nearly seamlessly into the layout of the building without disrupting critical structural elements. It was able to adopt the existing lateral load resisting system comprised of braced frames with only minimal changes and in the end lead to a comprehensive and effective design.

In addition, the system coordination and comprehensive design established by the table top truss allowed for increased functionality in the building and ease of management. The architectural impacts brought a positive and dramatic presence to the interior space while remaining minimally invasive to the seating capacity requirements of the space.

As prefaced in the introduction though, the primary focus of the system was to effectively shorten spans as to recover economic value on the system. While the spans were in fact shortened by nearly 60', the cost analysis shows that the table top truss system is still a more expensive alternative to the traditional long span trusses in the existing structure. It is believed by the author of this report that further iteration could be made in reducing costs, primarily by adjusting the depth and dimensions of the box trussing, but for all intents and purposes it has been concluded that the Compton Family Ice Arena is comparatively too small to benefit from a system like this. The capacity of the system in comparison to the load demand suggests that the strength of the table top truss exceeds the needs of this space thus adding cost to an underutilized system.



#### REFERENCES

Balboni, B., ed. (2011). RS Means Square Foot Costs 2011, 32nd ed., RS Means Company, Kingston, Ma 2011

Steel Construction Manual 13th edition. Chicago, Illinois: American Institute of Steel Construction, 2005.

American Society of Civil Engineers, "ASCE7-10: Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures" ASCE, Reston, VA, 2010

2006 International Building Code

Geschwinder, L. (2008) Unified Design of Steel Structures, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ

Griffis, P.E., Lawrence G., and Douglas G. Ashcraft, P.E., S.E. ""Table Top Truss" Supports Arena Roof." *Modern Steel Construction* Oct. 1998. Web

Ambrose, James. Design of Building Trusses. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1994. Print



#### APPENDIX A

## WIND LOAD CALCULATIONS



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA









General	Wind Load Design Crite	eria
Design Wind Speed	90 mph	ASCE7-05, Fig6-1C
Directionality Factor	0.85	ASCE7-05, Fig6-4
Importance Factor	1.15	ASCE7-05, Tbl.6-1
Exposure Category	С	ASCE7-05,Sec. 6.5.6.3
Topographic Factor	1	ASCE7-05, Sec. 6.5.7.1
Internal Pressure Coefficient	0.18	ASCE7-05, Fig6-5

Vel	ocity Pressure Coeficients(K	z) and Velocity Pressure(qz	2)
Level	Elevation	Kz	qz
Event Level	0	0.85	17.23
Concourse Level	16	0.89	18.04
Club Level	32.67	0.996	20.19
Roof Level	61.67	1.08	21.89

Extern	nal pressure Coefficient(Cp)	
Description	North-South Wind	East-West Wind
L/B	0.533	1.875
Windward Walls	0.8	0.8
Leeward Walls	-0.5	-0.3125
Side Walls	-0.7	-0.7
h/L	0.2327	0.124
Roof: 0-h/2	-0.852	-1.3
Roof: h/2-h	-0.852	-0.7
Roof: h-2h	-0.479	-0.7
Roof: >2h	-0.292	-0.7

1	ssure(psf)	(-)(Gcpi)	7.66	8.21	9.67	10.83	5.52	- 17.47	- 20.38	- 20.38	- 13.23	-9.65			ng moment(k-ft)	0.00	3188.89	2311.39	1621.89	453.72	7122.16
	Net Pre	(+)(Gcpi)	15.77	16.32	17.79	18.94	13.64	-9.35	-12.27	- 12.27	-5.12	-1.54			) Overturnii					2	IT
-		icpi)	90	90	06	06	90	90	06	90	90	06			Story Shear (k	453.72	398.61	283.61	111.85	otal Base Shear	urning Momen
ction)	nal Pressure(psf)	9)(-)	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	-4.	ction)		story Force(k)	55.11	115.00	171.75	111.85	Tc	Total Overt
North-South Dire	Inter	(+)(Gcpi)	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	4.06	rth-South Dire	bove	Area(ft2) 5	2522.40	2569.70	4571.85	0.00		
Wind Pressures (		d Pressure (psf)	11.72	12.27	13.73	14.89	9.58	-13.41	-16.32	-16.32	-9.18	-5.59	story Forces (No	IribA	Height(Ft)	8.00	8.15	14.50			
-		t) Wine												elow	Area(ft2)	0.00	2522.40	2569.70	4571.85		
		Distances(fi	0	16	32.3	61.3	ALL	ALL	0-24	24-48	48-96	96<		Irib B	Height(Ft)		8.00	8.15	14.50		
		Level	Event Level	Concourse Level	Club level	Roof Level	ALL	ALL							Elevation	0.00	16.00	32.30	48.00		
		Type		0		Windward Walls	Leeward Walls	Side Walls				Roof			Floor Level	Event Level	concourse Leve	Club level	Roof Level		

# FINAL THESIS REPORT | h a l e y m c c l e r n o n





				Internal Pr	essure(psf)	Net Pres	sure(psf)	
Type	Level	Distances(ft)	)ind Pressure (p	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)	(+)(Gcpi)	(-)(Gcpi)	
	Event Level	0	11.72	4.06	-4.06	15.77	7.66	
0	Concourse Level	16	12.27	4.06	-4.06	16.32	8.21	
	Club level	32.3	13.73	4.06	-4.06	17.79	9.67	
Windward Walls	Roof Level	48	14.89	4.06	-4.06	18.94	10.83	
Leeward Walls	ALL	ALL	5.99	4.06	-4.06	10.05	1.93	
Side Walls	ALL	ALL	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.36	-17.47	
		0-24	-24.91	4.06	-4.06	-20.85	-28.96	
		24-48	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47	
		48-96	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47	
Roof		>96<	-13.41	4.06	-4.06	-9.35	-17.47	

			Story	r Forces (East-	West Directio	(u		
		Trib E	3el ow	Trib /	Above			
Floor Level	Elevation	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Height(Ft)	Area(ft2)	Story Force(k)	Story Shear (k)	Overturning moment(k-ft)
Event Level	0.00		0.00	8.00	1908.00	33.78	289.66	0.00
Concourse Level	16.00	8.00	1908.00	8.15	1943.78	73.16	255.88	2047.05
Club level	32.30	8.15	1943.78	14.50	3458.25	110.52	182.72	1489.15
Roof Level	48.00	14.50	3458.25		0.00	72.19	72.19	1046.81
						T	otal Base Shear:	289.66
						Total Over	turning Moment	4583.02





## SEISMIC CALCULATIONS

seismic design - location south bend, indiana (46556) thermal plunge 5309 -Sps=.127 -Sol = .088 - occupancy category = II (table 1-1) -per table 11.6-1-> Sos 6.167-> seismue design categ. A -pertable 11.6-2- +. 007 = Soi -. 133- + seismic designicates B design category B controls - pergeotechnical report - soil site class D - per structural dwgs (vef. SBII) cor design does not indicate any specal considerations or influence zones. per ASCE7-05 table 12.2-1, building trame assumed orbinany steel concentrically braced frames w/vesponse mod. factor 3.25 Lo no special seismic considerations required for braced from conx. Beismuc use group II Ie=1.25 importance factor D Soil classification 5.=.055 Fv= 2.4 I sec. spectral vesp. 55=10%g Fa=1.0 501=.088  $S_1 = 6% g$   $S_{ms} = FaSs = 1.0(.1)$ B - seismic design categ. vespense mod. factor = . 1 R=3.25 5m1-2.4(.06) long period transition = . 144 TL=12 fundament period .IN=.3

Illina's



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA
SEISMIC DESIGN VERTICLE DIST. OF SEISMIC FORCES : FX=CVXV CVX= WXhx K=2. (FOR T= 547) CROOF: 2303(48)2 (11798)(16)\*+(579)(32.67)\*+(2303)(48)\* = 5306112 14507802 = .366 CCLUB : 5791 (32.67)2 19507802 = .426 CCONC = 11796(16)2 14507302 - 208 FRCOF = . 366 (970.74)= 355.34 Felue= . 426(9707)=413.5K FONC = . 208 (970.7 K) = 201.9 K



\*\*Adjusted building weight for new roof weight

	(k-ft)																				
	ent			2	ъ					New Ro	of Loads										
	E o		1.2	591	450	70	.60	Quantity	Weight/ft(PLF)	Length (ft)	Total Weight (lb)	Total Weight (tons)									
	Σg	0	553	32.0	4	70.	307	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46									
	nin		-	248	219	0,	62	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43									
	Itur							24	47	41.67	47000	23.5									
	)vei							6	84	38.67	19488	9.744									
	0					:L	Ч	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745									
	ar (k	_	_	~	_	hea	mei	1	84	24.83	2086	1.043									
	hea	0.70	0.7	8.7	5.2	e S	Mo	1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135									
	ry S	97	97	76	35	Bas	ng l	3	35	16.67	1750	0.875									
	Sto					otal	Irni	7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46									
	ž				ĺ	ĭ	erti	4	30	40.50	4860	2.43									
ons)	Ű						8	24	47	41.67	47000	23.5									
g	E (	_	1	2	8		otal	6	84	38.67	19488	9.744									
Dire	ce(k	0.0	01.9	13.5	52.2		Ē	1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745									
lth	For		5	4	m	Ϋ́											1	84	24.83	2086	1.043
Sol	Ż									1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135							
÷	Sto							3	35	16.67	1750	0.875									
N		0	_	~				7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46									
and	×	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3			4	30	40.50	4860	2.43									
est	S							24	47	41.67	47000	23.5									
Š		_	8	.55	2			6	84	38.67	19488	9.744									
ast	Xk	0.0	768	041	741			1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745									
i) se	NX	-	188	187	6			1	84	24.83	2086	1.043									
orce	£							1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135									
icF	hx(					:		3	35	16.67	1750	0.875									
ism	ht,	8	8	30	5			7	59	45.83	18929.17	9.46									
Se	leig	ö	16.	32.	61.			4	30	40.50	4860	2.43									
	Ž							24	47	41.67	47000	23.5									
	Sto							6	84	38.67	19488	9.744									
	(k)							1	84	25.58	2149	1.0745									
	Ň	0	_					1	84	24.83	2086	1.043									
	ght,	0.0	8.0	0.76	8			1	84	38.42	3227	1.6135									
	Vei	06	179	579(	129.			3	35	16.67	1750	0.875									
	2	2	-	- /				4	7.7	25.7	791.56	0.39578									
	Sto							4	4.7	30.2	567.76	0.28388									
			lə/					9	24.2	24	5227.2	2.6136									
	_	svel	Le	ve	<u>Vel</u>			2	90	110	19800	9.9									
	eve	nt Le	ırse	a P	Ĩ Le						TOTAL	212.17									
	-	Ever	Concol	Clui	Roo						·										



## BRACED FRAME CHECKS

Lateral Column Checks										
Section	Level	KL	Р	bx	by	Р	Мx	My	Capacity Check	
w10x45	1(J)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	57.15	2.82	74.31	0.94	
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	20.76	0.54	11.04	0.16	
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.14	0.12	17.40	0.22	В
w10x45	1(H)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	57.23	3.89	82.73	1.05	-7
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	20.83	0.62	12.36	0.17	
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.15	0.19	19.11	0.24	
w10x45	1(X)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	71.25	1.91	88.49	1.12	
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	33.14	0.26	54.69	0.68	
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.09	0.07	24.37	0.30	В
w10x45	1(T)	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	70.13	1.14	72.40	0.93	₽8
w10x45	2	16	3.27	5.19	11.70	33.07	0.27	23.19	0.31	
w10x45	3	29	10.70	7.82	11.70	0.18	0.00	26.89	0.33	
w10x33	1	16	4.68	7.89	16.90	67.56	1.63	56.09	1.03	
w10x33	2	16	4.68	7.89	16.90	36.44	0.31	16.01	0.31	
w10x33	3	29	15.70	14.50	16.90	13.86	0.09	9.22	0.19	m
w10x33	1	16	4.68	7.89	16.90	67.56	1.17	54.68	1.01	F9
w10x33	2	16	4.68	7.89	16.90	36.49	0.41	15.47	0.31	
w10x33	3	29	15.70	14.50	16.90	13.85	0.01	10.32	0.20	
w10x33	1(1)	16	4 68	7 89	16.90	84.03	1.03	74.03	1 35	
w10x33	2	16	4.68	7.89	16.90	48 39	-0.34	21 37	0.41	
w10x33	3	29	15 70	14 50	16.90	18 51	0.05	12 76	0.25	в
w10x33	1(F)	16	4 68	7 89	16.90	89 77	1 56	73 47	1 35	F10
w10x33	2	16	4 68	7.89	16.90	48.47	-0.21	20.73	0.40	0
w10x33	3	29	15 70	14 50	16.90	18 49	0.13	13 28	0.40	
w10x33	1(Y)	16	4 68	7 89	16.90	66 50	1.08	56.44	1.03	
w10x33	2	16	4.00	7.89	16.90	35 59	0.21	17 93	0.34	
w10x33	2	29	15 70	14 50	16.90	13 57	0.21	9 55	0.54	в
w10x33	1(1/)	16	4 68	7 89	16.90	89.42	0.00	75.89	1 38	F11
w10x33	2	16	4.00	7.89	16.90	47 56	0.34	73.83	0.47	-
w10x33	3	29	15 70	14 50	16.90	18 20	0.01	12 40	0.47	
w12x58	1	16	2 00	3 13	7 29	43.97	1 43	86.05	0.68	
w12x58	2	16	2.00	3.13	7 29	19 04	0.28	40 72	0.32	
w12x58	2	20	5 3/	4 36	7 29	0.08	0.20	13 52	0.52	
W10x49	1	16	2 43	4.30	8 38	2 90	1 78	59.29	0.10	
W10x49	2	16	2.43	4.43	8 38	5 26	0.21	45 95	0.31	BF
W10x49	3	29	6 16	5.96	8 38	0.07	0.02	12.83	0.33	12
w10x33	1	16	4 68	7 89	16.90	37.80	1.02	36.83	0.11	
w10x33	2	16	4.68	7.05	16.90	13 57	0.09	12 23	0.07	
w10x33	2	20	15 70	14 50	16.90	0.04	0.05	2 88	0.25	
W10x35	1(10)	16	2 27	5 10	11 70	26 16	12 77	2.00	0.00	
W10x45	2(13)	16	2.27	5.19	11.70	15 95	1 02	12 /1	0.51	
W10x45	2	20	10.70	7.82	11.70	10.05	0.20	7 10	0.17	
W10x45	J 1/21)	16	2 27	5 10	11.70	0.05	12 /0	12 04	0.10	
₩10x4J	-(2-1) 2	16	3.27	5 10	11 70	0.09	1 52	42.04 27 17	0.20	BF
₩10x43	2	20	3.27 10 70	7 97	11 70	0.00	0.14	12 26	0.35	13
₩10x43	ی 1(۲۲۱	29 16	2 77	7.02 5.10	11 70	36 15	13 50	34 20	0.10	
₩10x4J	-( <i>22)</i> 2	16	3.27	5 10	11 70	16 20	2 00	12 17	0.51	
	2 2	20	3.27 10 70	7 07	11 70	U UV TO'2A	2.00 0 70	2 OE	0.10	
VV 1UX 40	3	29	10.10	1.02	11.70	0.04	0.20	0.05	0.11	



Bracing Member Checks								
Member	Section	Axial Load	KL(in)	KL(ft)	1.6P	φPn		
D20R	HSS8x8x.375	21.45	367.462	30.62183	34.32	153		
D20CL	HSS8x8x.376	41.86	232.215	19.35125	66.976	298		
D20CO	HSS8x8x.377	66.01	225.362	18.78017	105.616	310		
D21R	HSS8x8x.378	-21.43	367.462	30.62183	-34.288	431		
D21CL	HSS8x8x.379	-41.77	232.215	19.35125	-66.832	431		
D21CO	HSS8x8x.380	-65.91	225.362	18.78017	-105.456	431		
D22R	HSS8x8x.375	21.45	367.462	30.62183	34.32	153		
D22CL	HSS8x8x.376	41.86	232.215	19.35125	66.976	298		
D22CO	HSS8x8x.377	66.01	225.362	18.78017	105.616	310		
D23R	HSS8x8x.378	-21.43	367.462	30.62183	-34.288	431		
D23CL	HSS8x8x.379	-41.77	232.215	19.35125	-66.832	431		
D23CO	HSS8x8x.380	-65.91	225.362	18.78017	-105.456	431		
D32	HSS8x8x.375	-44.12	240	20	-70.592	431		
D33	HSS8x8x.376	66.04	308.914	25.74283	105.664	151		
D34	HSS8x8x.377	-40.67	273.805	22.81708	-65.072	431		
D35	HSS8x8x.378	41.94	282.136	23.51133	67.104	134		
D36	HSS8x8x.379	-21.21	399.891	33.32425	-33.936	431		
D37	HSS8x8x.380	20.98	396.011	33.00092	33.568	73.8		
D14	HSS8x8x.375	-56.64	247.386	20.6155	-90.624	431		
D15	HSS8x8x.376	76.67	343.641	28.63675	122.672	105		
D16	HSS8x8x.377	-36.61	390.427	32.53558	-58.576	431		
D17	HSS8x8x.378	41.08	436.807	36.40058	65.728	65.9		
D18	HSS8x8x.379	43.49	297.692	24.80767	69.584	128		
D19	HSS8x8x.380	-43.57	297.692	24.80767	-69.712	431		



**APPENDIX B** 



	Member Forces (Tensile)							TABLE: Joint Disp	placements	
Member	Length(in)	Length(ft)	Axial(K)	Minimum 2	L Shape	Axial Capacity	loint	111 (in)	112(in)	112/in)
15	293.21	24.43	-233.14	2l4x4x	3/4	353.00	Joint	0.00	02(11)	0.00
16	331.92	27.66	-261.04	2l4x4x3	3/4	353.00	<b>P</b> 1	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	392.05	32.67	-274.30	2l4x4x	3/4	353.00	2	0.44	0.00	-0.10
18	392.05	32.67	-104.14	2L2-1/2X2-1	1/2X1/2	146.00	11	0.19	0.00	-3.62
19	392.05	32.67	-34.71	2L2-1/2X1-1	1/2X1/4	60.00	12	0.19	0.00	-3.64
20	392.05	32.67	-34.71	2L2-1/2X1-2	1/2X1/4 1/2X1/2	60.00	21	0.37	0.00	0.00
21	392.05	32.07	-104.14	2LZ-1/2AZ 2l/1x/1x2	1/2/1/2	252.00	22	-0.07	0.00	-0.10
22	332.05	27.66	-261 04	214×4×. 214×4×.	3/4 3/4	353.00	23	0.44	0.00	-0.60
24	293.21	24.43	-233.14	2 4x4x	3/4	353.00	24	0.00	0.00	-0.50
34	55	4.58	0.00		-, -		25	0.42	0.00	-1 31
35	165	13.75	-43.73				- 25	0.42	0.00	1.51
36	266	22.17	-173.50				20	0.01	0.00	-1.21
37	266	22.17	-359.61			<u>к</u>	2/	0.35	0.00	-2.26
38	266	22.17	-430.26		en	ġe,	28	0.04	0.00	-2.17
39	266	22.17	-430.26		rdm		29	0.27	0.00	-3.05
40	266	22.17	-359.61		CUO.		30	0.11	0.00	-3.00
41	266	22.17	-173.50				31	0.10	0.00	-3.05
42	165	13.75	-43.73				32	0.27	0.00	-3.02
43	55	4.58	0.00				33	0.02	0.00	-2.27
		M	ember Forces	(Compressive	2)		34	0.34	0.00	-2.18
Member	Length	Length	(ft) 👻	Axial(K)	Minimum 2L Sh	ape 🔽 Axial Capaci 👻	35	-0.05	0.00	-1.32
4	288		24.00	229.62	2L8X8X1/	2 241	36	0.37	0.00	-1.21
5	288	2	24.00	229.62	2L8X8X1/	2 241	37	-0.07	0.00	-0.60
6	288	2	24.00	229.00	2L8X8X1/	2 241	38	0.37	0.00	-0.50
7	288	2	24.00	226.50	2L8X8X1/2	2 241				
8	288	2	24.00	201.50	2L8X8X1/2	2 241				
9	288	2	24.00	76.50	2L6X6X1/2	2 108				
10	288	2	24.00	51.00	2L6X6X1/2	2 108				
11	288	2	24.00	76.50	2L6X6X1/2	2 108				
12	288	2	24.00	201.50	2L8X8X1/	2 241				
13	288	2	24.00	226.50	2L8X8X1/	2 241				
14	288	2	24.00	229.00	2L8X8X1/2	2 241				
26	266	ž	22.17	359.61						
27	266	2	22.17	430.26						
28	266	2	22.17	453.81		4				
29	266	2	22.17	453.81		hers				
30	266	2	22.17	430.26		Men				
31	266	4	22.1/	559.01 172.50	m.	NO.				
32	165	1	13.75 1 EQ	1/3.50	Q.					
210	55		4.JO 1 58	45.75 113.72						
24A 25A	35 165	1	4.50	43.73 173 50						
25A	201		13.73	1/3.30						





		Membe	r Forces (	Tensile)	
Memb 斗	Length(i 💌	Length(ft) 💌	Axial(	Minimum 2L Shar 🔻	Axial Capacity 🔻
38	308.29	25.69	-218.21	2L4X3X5/8	252.00
37	308.29	25.69	-204.83	2L4X3X5/8	252.00
36	308.29	25.69	-170.04	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	178
35	392.05	32.67	-162.33	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	178
34	392.05	32.67	-97.40	2L2-1/2X2X3/8	100.00
33	392.05	32.67	-32.47	2L2X2X3/8	88.1
32	392.05	32.67	-32.47	2L2X2X3/8	88.1
31	392.05	32.67	-97.40	2L2-1/2X2X3/8	100.00
30	392.05	32.67	-162.33	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	178
29	308.29	25.69	-170.04	2L3-1/2X2-1/2-1/2	178
28	308.29	25.69	-204.83	2L4X3X5/8	252.00
27	308.29	25.69	-218.21	2L4X3X5/8	252.00
12	110.00	9.17	0.00		
11	110.00	9.17	-77.86		
10	110.00	9.17	-150.94		
9	266.00	22.17	-211.62		
8	266.00	22.17	-321.76		selfs
7	266.00	22.17	-387.84	Ne	nu
6	266.00	22.17	-387.84	rdn.	
5	266.00	22.17	-321.76	cho.	
4	266.00	22.17	-211.62		
3	110.00	9.17	-150.94		
2	110.00	9.17	-77.86		
1	110.00	9.17	0.00		

	TABLE: Joint D	Displaceme	ents
Joint	х	Y	z
1	0	0	0
13	0.44	0.00	-3.75
14	0.44	0.00	-3.78
25	0.88	0.00	0.00
28	0.27	0.00	-3.38
31	0.13	0.00	-2.69
34	0.04	0.00	-1.78
37	0.01	0.00	-1.20
40	0.00	0.00	-0.60
43	0.61	0.00	-3.38
46	0.74	0.00	-2.69
49	0.84	0.00	-1.78
52	0.86	0.00	-1.20
55	0.88	0.00	-0.60
344	0.53	0.00	-3.43
387	0.75	0.00	-0.12
390	0.12	0.00	-0.12
391	0.34	0.00	-3.43
392	0.25	0.00	-2.76
393	0.17	0.00	-1.86
394	0.15	0.00	-1.31
395	0.13	0.00	-0.72
396	0.75	0.00	-0.72
397	0.73	0.00	-1.31
398	0.71	0.00	-1.86
399	0.63	0.00	-2.76
400	0.54	0.00	-3.43



	Member Forces (Compressive)								
Membe 🗐	Length(in) 🔻	Length(ft) 💌	Axial(K)	Minimum 2L Shap	Axial Capacity 🛛 💌				
13	288	24.00	209.55	2L8X8X1/2	241				
14	288	24.00	209.55	2L8X8X1/2	241				
15	110	9.17	77.86						
16	110	9.17	150.94						
17	110	9.17	211.62						
18	266	22.17	321.76						
19	266	22.17	387.84		Jer <sup>5</sup>				
20	266	22.17	409.87		emb				
21	266	22.17	409.87	rd N	~				
22	266	22.17	387.84	Cho.					
23	266	22.17	321.76						
24	110	9.17	211.62						
25	110	9.17	150.94						
26	110	9.17	77.86						
39	288	24.00	203.85	2L8X8X1/2	241				
40	288	24.00	191.35	2L6X6X1	193				
41	288	24.00	158.85	2L6X6X1	193				
42	288	24.00	119.25	2L6X6X1	193				
43	288	24.00	71.55	2L6X6X1/2	108				
44	288	24.00	47.70	2L6X6X1/2	108				
45	288	24.00	71.55	2L6X6X1/2	108				
46	288	24.00	119.25	2L6X6X1	193				
47	288	24.00	158.85	2L6X6X1	193				
48	288	24.00	191.35	2L6X6X1	193				
49	288	24.00	203.85	2L8X8X1/2	241				



TRUSS C CALCULATIONS



Member Forces(Tensile)							
Member	Length(in)	Length(ft)	Axial(K)	Minimum 2L Shape	Axial Capacity		
1	55.00	4.58	0.00				
2	275.00	22.92	-18.94		Jet 5		
3	220.00	18.33	-79.71	, et	,nb		
4	220.00	18.33	-79.71	rd W.			
5	275.00	22.92	-18.94	CHO.			
6	55.00	4.58	0.00				
15	293.21	24.43	-100.94	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146		
16	398.21	33.18	-88.01	2L2-1/2X2X3/8	100.00		
17	362.41	30.20	-25.61	2L2X2X3/8	88.1		
18	362.41	30.20	-25.61	2L2X2X3/8	88.1		
19	398.21	33.18	-88.01	2L2-1/2X2X3/8	100.00		
20	293.21	24.43	-100.94	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146		

		Member Force	es (Compressiv	ve)	
Member 🖵	Length(in) 💌	Length(ft) 🔻	Axial(K) 💌	Minimum 2L Shape	Axial Capacit
7	288	24.00	101.75	2L6X6X1/2	108
9	288	24.00	18.94		
10	55	4.58	79.71		ers
11	275	22.92	95.26	Nem	5
12	220	18.33	95.26	rdn.	
13	220	18.33	79.71	Cho	
14	275	22.92	18.94		
21	55	4.58	99.15	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	116
22	288	24.00	63.65	2L5X5X5/8	73.5
23	288	24.00	40.70	2L5X5X5/8	73.5
24	288	24.00	63.65	2L5X5X5/8	73.5
25	288	24.00	99.15	2L6X6X1/2	108

TABLE: Joint Displacements								
Joint	х	Y	Z					
1	0	0	0					
2	-1.17E-14	0	-0.075895					
3	2.332E-14	0	0					
4	1.167E-14	0	-0.075895					
5	0.369836	0	-1.120431					
6	0.414979	0	-0.371635					
7	-1.054E-14	0	-0.45108					
8	1.167E-15	0	-0.371635					
9	-4.694E-15	0	-1.182041					
10	7.002E-15	0	-1.120431					
11	4.655E-15	0	-1.182041					
12	1.05E-14	0	-0.45108					
186	-0.20749	0	-1.557879					
196	-0.20749	0	-1.577476					



### TRUSS D CALCULATIONS



Member Forces(Compressive)										
Membe <b></b> ₊†	Length(in) 🚽	Length(ft) 👻	Axial(K 🔻	Minimum 2L Shape	<ul> <li>Axial Capacity</li> </ul>					
1	288	24.00	119.36	2L6X6X5/8	131					
10	288	24.00	119.36	2L6X6X5/8	131					
11	110	9.17	43.38							
12	110	9.17	82.33							
13	220	18.33	131.72		well's					
14	220	18.33	148.18		emb					
15	220	18.33	148.18	6	r.					
16	220	18.33	131.72	Cho.						
17	110	9.17	82.33							
18	110	9.17	43.38							
19	288	24.00	113.58	2L6X6X5/8	131					
20	288	24.00	101.98	2L6X6X5/8	131					
21	288	24.00	64.65	2L5X5X5/8	73.5					
22	288	24.00	43.10	2L5X5X5/8	73.5					
23	288	24.00	64.65	2L5X5X5/8	73.5					
24	288	24.00	101.98	2L6X6X5/8	131					
25	288	24.00	113.58	2L6X6X5/8	131					

	TABLE: Joint Displacements							
	Joint	х	Y	Z				
	1	0	0	0				
۳.	57	0.47	0.00	0.00				
	370	0.24	0.00	-1.80				
٢.	371	0.24	0.00	-1.84				
	372	0.10	0.00	-1.47				
۳.	376	0.00	0.00	-0.46				
٢.	378	0.37	0.00	-1.47				
٢.	382	0.47	0.00	-0.46				
٢.	387	0.43	0.00	-0.08				
۳.	413	0.04	0.00	-0.08				
٢.	465	0.02	0.00	-0.91				
٢.	466	0.45	0.00	-0.91				
٢.	467	0.42	0.00	-0.54				
	468	0.40	0.00	-0.98				
	469	0.32	0.00	-1.53				
	470	0.15	0.00	-1.53				
	471	0.07	0.00	-0.99				
٢.	472	0.05	0.00	-0.54				

		Member Ford	es(Tensile)		
Member 🗐	Length(in) 🔻	Length(ft) 🔻	Axial(K) 🔻	Minimum 2L Shape 🔻	Axial Capacity 🔻
2	110.00	9.17	0.00		
3	110.00	9.17	-43.38		
4	220.00	18.33	-82.33		et s
5	220.00	18.33	-131.72	, et	n <sup>D</sup>
6	220.00	18.33	-131.72	rd N.	
7	220.00	18.33	-82.33	Ch0.	
8	110.00	9.17	-43.38		
9	110.00	9.17	0.00		
26	308.29	25.69	-121.58	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146
27	308.29	25.69	-109.17	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146
28	362.41	30.20	-81.35	2L2X2X3/8	88.1
29	362.41	30.20	-27.12	2L2X2X3/8	88.1
31	362.41	30.20	-81.35	2L2X2X3/8	88.1
32	308.29	25.69	-109.17	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146
33	308.29	25.69	-121.58	2L2-1/2X2-1/2X1/2	146
33A	362.41	30.20	-27.12	2L2X2X3/8	88.1











### APPENDIX C

### JOIST SELECTION



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA

E-WINT. JOISTS · ASSUME S'SPACING CLEAR SPAN: 568" = 47.3" = 48" LIVE LOAD = 30PSF(9')= 270 PLF USE 28LHB TRUSS 2/180)= 47(12)/180-3.13m AL= 1.15(27/12) 40(12)) 364(29000) I= 26.767 (266) (48 +67)3 (10-6) = 820.8 AL= 3.116 - 3.13 >316 VOKAY USE 28LHI3 JOISTS = 30PLF (26m deep)

II franti



		Based	STAND on a 50	ARD LO/ ksi Maxir	AD TA	BLE L	ONG Streng	SPAN gth - L	STEE	L JO	ISTS, m in P	LRFD	DLH s per	-SERI	IES Ir Foo	t (plf)				
Joist Designation	Approx. Wt in Lbs. Per	Depth in	SAFE	LOAD*						CLI	EAR S	PAN I		AR FI	EET					
	Linear Pt	inches	Bet	veen									44.0		115	116	117	118	119	120
400 N 1412	(Anois cray)	60	70-99	100-104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	372	366	360	354	348	342
COLOCITIE		00	00000	40000	155	433	420	418	411	400	142	138	134	131	128	124	121	118	115	113
BODUH13	35	60	56700	56700	537	526 197	517	508	499	490	483	474	466	459 158	451 154	444	436 147	429 143	423 139	415
60DLH14	40	60	63000	63000	597 216	586 210	574	564 199	555 193	544 189	534 183	525 178	516 173	507 170	498 185	490 161	481 156	474	465 149	457
BODLH15	43	60	73950	73950	700	687 248	675 242	863 235	651 228	640 223	628 216	618 210	607 205	597 200	588 194	577 190	568 185	559 180	550 175	541
60DLH16	46	60	81300	81300	769	756	741	727	714	702	690 241	676 235	666 228	654 223	642 217	631 211	621 206	610 201	600 196	589 190
BODLH17	52	60	93450	93450	885	868	853	837	822	807	793	778	765	751	739	726	714	702	690	679
BOOLHTR	59	60	107850		1021	1002	984	966	948	931	915	898	883	867	852	838	823 266	810 259	796 252	783
A LON LUND			75-99	100-112	113	114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128
Deputric	31	04	45000	45000	396	388	382	376	370	364	358	352	346	342	336	116	114	111	109	106
64DLH13	34	64	54600	54600	481	472	465	457	450	442	436	429	421	415	409	403	396 137	390 134	385	379
64DLH14	40	64	62550	62550	550	540	531	523 184	514	505	498	489	481	474	466	459	451	444	438 140	430
64DLH15	43	64	71700	71700	631	621	610	600 217	591	580	571	562	553	544	537 182	528	520 173	511 170	504 165	496
64DLH16	46	64	80700	80700	711	699	687	675	664	652	642	631	621	610	601 203	.591 198	582	573 189	564 184	555
640LH17	52	64	93000	93000	619	804	790	275	763	751	738	726	714 243	702	691 231	681 226	669 220	658 215	648 210	639 205
64DLH18	5.9	64	107400	107400	945 337	928	912	897 311	880 304	867 296	852 288	838 282	823 274	810 267	798	784	772 249	760	748	736
		1	80-99	100-120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136
68DLH13	37	68	52500	52500	432	420	418	412	406	400	394 149	388 145	382 142	378 138	372 135	366 133	361 130	355 127	351 124	346
680LH14	40	68	60450	60450	498	179	483	475	468	462	454	448	441	435 148	429 145	421	415	409 135	403 133	399 130
68DLP115	44	68	67860	67800	206	201	196	191	187	182	178	498	490	483	475	468	462 155	454 152	448 148	441
68CCPITE	49	60	00400	00400	242	236	230	225	219	214	209	204	582 199	573	564 190	556 186	547 182	.540 178	531 174	523
68DLH17	55	03	50500	104850	275	268	262	256	249	244	238	232	658 228	649 222	640 217	630 212	621 208	612 203	604 198	<b>595</b> 194
68DLH18	61	00	104850	104850	-311	304	297	289	283	276	269	263	762 257	751 251	739 246	729 240	718 234	708 230	697 225	688 219
ERCLPH19	67	60	120/30	120150	353	344	336	328	320	313	305	298	874 291	861 285	278	835	822 286	810 260	<b>798</b> 254	787
2000 1014	41	20	84-99	50000	454	130	3.41	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144
		14	62250	67350	171	187	163	159	155	152	149	146	143	139	393 136	388 133	382	378 128	372	367
Torn Land	44	72	27850	77850	191	187	183	178	174	171	167	163	160	156	152	150	436	429 143	423	418
THE LOUIS		72	87800	87600	225	219	214	209	205	200	195	191	188	183	179	175	171	169	493	487
	-	-			256		245	239	233	228	224	218	213	254	205	200	100	101	556	549
720LHIB	50	72	102600	102600	792	780	768	757	745	735	724	718	705	694	685	675	666	657	848	630



	Annen Ma	Ba	STANDA sed on a 50 ks	RD LO	AD TA	ABLE F	OR LC	INGSP	AN ST	EEL J	OISTS	, LH-S ds per	ERIES Linear	Foot	(pif)				
Joist	in Lbs. Per	Depth	SAFELOAD* in Lbs.							1	CLEAR	SPAN	IN FEF	т					
Designation	Linear Ft. (Joists only)	inches	Between	20									40	40		AE	40	47	5
24LH03	11	24	17250	513	34 508	504	484	460	<u>38</u> 439	418	40	41	366	351	336	322	310	298	28
24LH04	12	24	21150	235	226	218	204	188	175	162	152	141	132	124	116	109	102	96	90
0411107			21150	288	265	568 246	540 227	514 210	490	468	169	427	409	138	130	122	114	107	10
24LH05	13	24	22650	673	669	660	628	598	570	544	520	496	475	456	436	420	403	387	37
24LH06	16	24	30450	906	868	832	795	756	720	685	655	625	598	571	546	522	501	480	48
24LH07	17	24	33450	411	382	356	331	306	284	263	245	228	211	197	184	172	161	152	14
241 H08	10	-	00100	452	421	393	367	343	320	297	276	257	239	223	208	195	182	171	16
246100	18	24	35700	1060	1015	973 416	933	895	858	817	780	745	712	682 238	652 222	625 208	600 196	576 184	55-
24LH09	21	24	42000	1248	1212	1177	1146	1096	1044	994	948	903	861	822	786	751	720	690	66
24LH10	23	24	44400	1323	1284	1248	460	424	393 1152	363	337	313	955	912	873	834	799	766	73
24LH11	25	24	46800	596	559	528	500	474	439	406	378	351	328	304	285	266	249	234	220
			40000	624	588	555	525	498	472	449	418	388	361	337	315	294	276	259	24
28LH05	13	28	33-40 21000	41 505	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	355	51	330	53 319	309	298	28
281 H06	16	00	07000	219	205	192	180	169	159	150	142	133	126	119	113	107	102	97	92
LOLINGO	10	28	27900	672 289	643 270	618 253	592 238	568 223	546 209	525 197	505 186	486	469	451	436 148	421	406	393	3/3
28LH07	17	28	31500	757	726	696	667	640	615	591	568	547	528	508	490	474	457	442	427
28LH08	18	28	33750	810	775	744	712	684	657	630	604	580	556	535	516	496	478	462	44
28LH09	21	28	41550	348	325 958	305 918	285 879	268 844	252 810	236	222 748	209	196	185	175	165	156 601	148	140
28LH10	23	28	45450	428	400	375	351	329	309	291	274	258	243	228	216	204	193	183	173
LOLITIC	2.0	20	40400	466	439	414	388	937 364	342	864 322	303	799 285	769 269	255	241	690 228	215	643 204	193
28LH11	25	28	48750	1170 498	1143 475	1104 448	1066	1023	982 373	943 351	907	873	841	810	781	753	727	702	679
28LH12	27	28	53550	1285	1255	1227	1200	1173	1149	1105	1063	1023	984	948	913	880	849	819	790
28LH13	30	28	55800	1342	1311	1281	470	454	435 1198	408 1173	383 1149	361 1126	340 1083	321 1041	303 1002	285 964	270 930	256 897	243 865
			38-46 47-48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	84
32LH06	14	32	25050 25050	507	489	472	456	441	426	412	399	385	373	363	351	340	330	321	312
32LH07	16	32	28200 28200	568	549	529	511	493	477	462	447	432	418	125 406	119 393	114 381	108 370	104 360	99 349
321 H08	17	32	30600 30600	235	223	211	200	189	179	170	162	154	146	140	133	127	121	116	111
0011100		00	00000 00400	255	242	229	216	205	194	184	175	167	159	151	144	137	131	388	120
32LH09	21	32	38400 38400	319	302	285	694 270	670 256	648 243	627 230	606 219	586 208	568 198	550 189	534 180	517	502	487	472
32LH10	21	32	42450 42450	856	825	796	768	742	717	693	667	645	624	603	583	564	546	529	513
32LH11	24	32	46500 46500	937	903	870	840	811	783	757	732	709	687	664	643	180 624	604	169 585	162
32LH12	27	32	54600 54600	385	363 1068	343 1032	325 996	308 961	292 928	277 897	263 867	251 838	239 811	227	216	206	196	187	179
0011110	20	20	00000 00000	450	428	406	384	364	345	327	311	295	281	267	255	243	232	221	211
32LH13	30	32	60900 60900	500	480	461	444	420	397	376	999 354	964 336	931 319	900	871 288	843 275	816	790	766
32LH14	33	32	62700 62700	1264	1239	1215	1192	1170	1149	1107	1069	1032	997	964	933	903	874	846	820
32LH15	35	32	64800 64800	1305	1279	1255	1231	1207	1186	1164	1144	1125	1087	1051	1017	290 984	952	264 924	251 895
			42-46 47-56	532	511	492 59	60	454 61	438 62	422 63	<u>407</u> 64	<u>393</u> 65	374	355	338	322	306	292	279
36LH07	16	36	25200 25200	438	424	411	399	387	376	366	355	345	336	327	318	310	301	294	286
36LH08	18	36	27750 27750	481	466	453	439	426	414	402	128 390	122 379	117 369	112 358	107	103	99	95	91
261 H09	21	36	35550 35550	194	185	176	168	160	153	146	140	134	128	123	118	113	109	104	100
OULING			00000 00000	247	235	224	214	204	195	186	179	171	163	459	445	433	423	412	400
36LH10	21	36	39150 39150	681 273	660 260	639 248	619 236	601 225	583	567 206	550	535	520	507	492	480	466	454	442
36LH11	23	36	42750 42750	742	720	697	676	657	637	618	601	583	567	552	537	522	508	495	483
36LH12	25	36	51150 51150	889	862	835	810	784	762	739	717	205 696	196 675	188	180	173	166	159	153
36LH13	30	36	60150 60150	354	338	322	307	292	279	267	255	243	232	222	213	204	195	187	179
		00	60100 001	415	395	376	359	342	327	312	298	285	273	262	251	240	231	694	676
				No. of Concession, name	the second se	the second se										And in case of the local division of the loc	and the second s	of the local division in which the local division is not the local division of the local division is not the local division of the l	of the local division of the local divisiono
36LH14	36	36	66300 66300	1152	1132	1093	1059	1024	991	961	931	903	876	850	826	802	780	757	738



		Re	end on	STAND/	ARD L	OAD T	ABLE	FOR L	ONGS	PAN S	TEEL .	OISTS	S, LH-S	SERIES	Foot (	plf)				
Joist	Approx. Wt	Depth	SAFE	ELOAD"	maxi	incant i	Tiere o	uengu											-	
Designation	in Lbs. Per	in	in	Lbs.							CL	EAR S	PAN IN	FEET						
1000	Linear FL	inches	A7.50	ween 60.64	65	86	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
401,008	(Joists Only) 16	40	24900	24900	381	370	361	351	342	333	325	316	309	301	294	288	280	274	267	261
						144	138	132	127	122	117	112	108	104	100	97	93	90	86	83
401.1409	21	40	32700	32700	498	484	4/2	173	166	436	153	147	403	136	131	126	122	118	113	109
40LH10	21	40	36000	36000	550	535	520	507	493	481	469	457	445	435	424	414	403	393	382	373
40LH11	22	40	39300	39300	216	207	198	190	183	523	510	498	484	472	462	450	439	429	418	409
					234	224	215	207	198	190	183	176	169	163	157	151	145	140	135	130
40LH12	25	40	47850	47850	729	708	688	670	652	636	619	603	205	573 197	189	182	532	169	163	495
40LH13	30	40	56400	56400	859	835	813	792	771	750	730	712	694	676	660	643	628	613	598	585
40LH14	35	40	64500	64500	334	320	307	295	283	271	260	250	241	231	753	735	717	699	682	185
			04500		383	367	351	336	323	309	297	285	273	263	252	243	233		216	209
40LH15	36	40	72150	72150	1101	1068	1036	1006	978	949	924	898	874	850	828	807	258	766	239	729
40LH16	42	40	79500	79500	1212	1194	1176	1158	1141	1126	1095	1065	1036	1009	982	957	933	909	886	864
			52.50	60.72	489	455	441	428	416	404	387	371	356	342	329	316	304	292	282	271
44LH09	19	44	30000	30000	408	397	388	379	370	363	354	346	339	331	324	316	310	303	297	291
44LH10	21	44	22150	00150	158			141	136		127		118	114	110	106	103	99	96	93
HENTO	21	44	33150	33150	450	439	429	418	408	399	390	381	373	364	357	349	342	334	327	321
44LH11	22	44	35850	35850	487	475	465	453	442	433	423	414	403	396	387	378	370	363	354	348
44LH12	25	44	44400	44400	603	181	574	168	162	534	151	146	140	136	131	127	123	119	115	111
441.6412	30					224		207	200	192	185	179	172	166	160	155	149	144	139	134
4467113	30	44	52650	52650	275	699	681	666	649	634	619	606	592	579	565	553	541	529	519	507
44LH14	31	44	60600	60600	823	801	780	759	739	721	703	685	669	654	637	622	609	594	580	568
44LH15	36	44	70500	70500	958	302 934	291 912	279	268	259 847	249	240	231	223	215	207	200	193	187	181
							339	326	314	303	292	281	271	261	252	243	234	227	219	211
44LH16	42	44	81300	81300	1105	1078	1051	1026	1002	978	955	933	912	891	870	852	832	814	796	780
44LH17	47	44	87300	87300	1185	1170	1153	1138	1125	1098	1072	1048	1024	1000	978	957	936	915	895	876
			56-59	60-80	81	82	83	84	405	390	376	363	351	338	327	316	305	295	285	276
48LH10	21	48	30000	30000	369	361	354	346	339	331	325	318	312	306	300	294	288	282	30	30
48LH11	22	48	32550	32550	141	136	132	127	123	119	116	112	108	105	102	99	96	93	90	87
					152	147	142	137	133	129	125	120	337	330	324	318	312	306	300	294
48LH12	25	48	41100	41100	504	493	483	472	462	451	442	433	424	415	408	399	391	384	376	369
48LH13	29	48	49200	49200	603	589	576	564	552	540	529	517	147	142	138	133	129	126	122	118
48LH14	32	48	58050	58050	228	221	213	206	199	193	187	180	175	170	164	159	154	459	450	441
					269	260	251	243	234	227	624	610	598	585	574	562	550	540	529	519
48LH15	36	48	66750	66750	817	799	781	765	748	732	717	702	687	672	658	645	181	176	171	165
48LH16	42	48	76950	76950	943	922	901	882	269	260	252	244	236	228	221	214	208	201	195	189
		Contraction of the		and the second second				220	0.00		950	010	192	111	760	745	730	715	700	000



Girde	Joist	Girder				a harrow			Jo	oist G	irder	Wei	ght -	Pou	nds F	Per Li	near	Foot	-	-				
(ft)	(ft)	(in)			N.E.		12.23	1			Lo	bad c	on Ea	ich P	anei	Point					-	SUNDAR .	UNNO'	-
		LRFD	6K	7.5K	9K	10.5K	12K	13.5K	15K	16.5K	18K	21K	24K	27K	30K	37.5K	45K	52.5K	BUK	75K	BUK	TUNK	30%	100
		ASD	4K	5K	6K	7K	8K	9K	10K	11K	12K	14K	16K	18K	20K	25K	30K	225	257	SUA	CON			
	1010	RA	56	57	58	62	64	67	76	70	90	1013	106	129	1.31	1152	180	204	228					
	10.00	108	58	80	59	61	63	68	70	73	77	03	96	111	111	139	170	188	209	258				
	10.00	120	60	60	62	64	66	67	68	71	74	85	99	108	113	139	15/	100	EU I	ETTE	Ser.			
1	-	84	50	54	58	66	70	75	89	92	101	112	129	138	159	187	221	257						
	12N@	96	50	54	57	61	68	70	80	84	96	106	116	123	137	179	205	228	271					
	8.33	108	52	54	58	62	65	72	74	79	89	101	110	121	128	164	193	221	246	299				
		120	54	57	60	62	66	69	77	79	86	92	107	117	126	151	178	206	239	200		-	-	-
1.		84	55	60	71	76	83	96	110	112	119	139	161	184	199	235	288	0.04						
	16N@	96	56	60	67	75	79	88	102	105	119	128	145	168	191	218	200	301						
100	6.25	108	58	63	67	72	81	87	93	106	111	125	136	15/	180	204	231	232	202					
	-	120	60	65	68	74	79	90	93	98	110	11/	134	147	203	200	240	210		1	-			
	1710	84	57	65	73	01	92	103	112	114	123	145	177	179	198	256	285							
	5.88	108	64	67	72	76	86	96	108	113	123	135	158	172	182	231	264	308						
	0.00	120	67	68	73	80	85	90	99	112	119	133	143	167	178	214	250	281	330	1				-
		84	67	77	87	105	115	122	132	148	159	193	208	226	246		0.00							
	20N@	96	67	73	82	95	111	120	126	135	152	177	199	211	227	279								
1	5.00	108	66	72	79	91	101	116	125	130	131	162	184	197	207	267	316							
	1000	120	71	75	82	88	96	106	120	123	136	149	170	193	205	246	289	332	all ba	A	-		-	-
B	earing D	epth					7 1/2	! in.			-			-		1	_	-	10 10.	-				-



#### APPENDIX D

#### TYPICAL FLOOR PLANS



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA







APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA





91



APRIL 4, 2012 | COMPTON FAMILY ICE ARENA | SOUTH BEND, INDIANA

92

Il ana p



#### APPENDIX E





APPENDIX F

1 5/	00 - Protection Equipment			and the second		and a state of the	2010 B	are Costs		Total
1 34	107 - Flotection Equipment		Daily	Labor-	11-2	Material	Labor	Equipment	Total	Incl O&P
EAL	00 60 Safety Nets	Crew	Dutput	Hours	Unit	1 59			1.59	1.1
00	Polyoronylene 6" mesh				5.r.	74			.74	
00	Small mesh debris nets, 1/4" & 3/4" mesh, stock sizes					2.05			2.05	2.
20	Combined 4" mesh and 1/4" mesh, stock sizes					50			.50	
00	Monthly rental, 4" mesh, stock sizes, 1st month	1000				.50			.25	
320	2nd month rental					1.15			1.15	1.
340	Maximum rental/year	.08. AME			*	1.13	NAME OF	Conservation of	The second	
1 5	4 16 - Temporary Hoists		1984							-
1 54	16.50 Weekly Forklift Crew							A Martine Contraction of the		
010	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW						2 1 5 0	0.400	1.050	5 100
010	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity	A-3P	.20	40	Week		1,650	2,400	4,050	5,100
010 0100 01 !	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 – Temporary Cranes	A-3P	.20	40	Week		1,650	2,400	4,050	5,100
010 0100 01 !	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 – Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews	A-3P	.20	40	Week		1,650	2,400	4,050	5,100
010 100 01 ! 01 5	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 – Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal	A-3P	.20	40	Week		1,650	2,400	4,050	5,100
010 100 01 ! 01 5 0010 0100	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 – Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane	A-3P A-3H	.20	40	Week		1,650 355	2,400	4,050	5,100
010 100 01 ! 01 5 0010 0100 0200	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton	A-3P A-3H A-3I	.20 1 1	40 8 8	Week		1,650 355 355	2,400 870 1,025	4,050 1,225 1,380	5,100 1,500 1,650
010 100 01 2 01 5 0010 0100 0200 0300	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton 40-ton	4-3P 4-3H 4-3I 4-3J	.20 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8	Week Day		1,650 355 355 355	2,400 870 1,025 1,250	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900
010 100 01 ! 01 5 010 0100 0200 0300 0400	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton 40-ton 55-ton	43P 43H 43I 43I 43J 43K	.20 1 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8 8 16	Week		1,650 355 355 355 660	2,400 870 1,025 1,250 1,775	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605 2,435	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900 2,950
010 100 01 ! 01 5 0010 0100 0200 0300 0400 0500	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton 40-ton 55-ton 80-ton	43P 43H 43I 43J 43J 43K 43L	.20 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8 16 16	Week Day		1,650 355 355 355 660 660	2,400 870 1,025 1,250 1,775 2,225	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605 2,435 2,885	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900 2,950 3,425
010 100 01 : 01 5 010 0100 0200 0200 0300 0400 0500 0600	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton 40-ton 55-ton 80-ton 100-ton	4-3P 4-3H 4-3I 4-3J 4-3J 4-3K 4-3L 4-3K	.20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8 16 16 16	Week Day		1,650 355 355 355 660 660 660	2,400 870 1,025 1,250 1,775 2,225 2,425	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605 2,435 2,885 3,085	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900 2,950 3,425 3,675
010 0100 0115 0010 0100 0200 0200 0300 0400 0500 0600 01	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity 54 19 - Temporary Cranes 4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal 12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane 25-ton 40-ton 55-ton 80-ton 100-ton 54 19.60 Monthly Tower Crane Crew	43P 43H 43I 43J 43J 43K 43L 43M	.20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8 16 16 16 16	Week		1,650 355 355 355 660 660 660	2,400 870 1,025 1,250 1,775 2,225 2,425	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605 2,435 2,885 3,085	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900 2,950 3,425 3,675
0010 0100 011 5 001 5 0010 0100 0200 0300 0400 0500 0600 01 01	WEEKLY FORKLIFT CREW         All-terrain forklift, 45' lift, 35' reach, 9000 lb. capacity         54 19 - Temporary Cranes         4 19.50 Daily Crane Crews         DAILY CRANE CREWS for small jobs, portal to portal         12-ton truck-mounted hydraulic crane         25-ton         40-ton         55-ton         80-ton         100-ton         54 19.60 Monthly Tower Crane Crew         0         0         MONTHLY TOWER CRANE CREW, excludes concrete footing	43P 43H 43I 43J 43J 43K 43L 43M	.20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	40 8 8 8 8 16 16 16 16	Day		1,650 355 355 355 660 660 660	2,400 870 1,025 1,250 1,775 2,225 2,425	4,050 1,225 1,380 1,605 2,435 2,885 3,085	5,100 1,500 1,650 1,900 2,950 3,425 3,675



# FINAL THESIS REPORT | h a l e y m c c l e r n o n

6600	Labor only to erect & distributile		1000	135.77	11	43			11.30
6610	Materials only, rent/mo								
01 54	23.75 Scaffolding Specialties								
0010	SCAFFOLDING SPECIALTIES								
1200	Sidewalk bridge, heavy duty steel posts & beams, including								
1210	parapet protection & waterproofing					21.50	66 50	98	137
1220	8' to 10' wide, 2 posts	3 Carp	15	1.600	L.t.	10 50	99.50	148	208
1230	3 posts	"	10	2.400	"	40.00	11.50		
1500	Sidewalk bridge using tubular steel					5.40	22	27.60	40
1510	scaffold frames, including planking	3 Carp	45	.533	L.t.	00.0	22		
1600	For 2 uses per month, deduct from all above					100%			
1700	For 1 use every 2 months, add to all above					100%		145	160
1900	Catwalks, 20" wide, no guardrails, 7' span, buy				to.	202		203	223
2000	10' span, buy					203		75	82 50
3720	Putlog, standard, 8' span, with hangers, buy					15		10	11
3730	Rent per month					10		10	124
3750	12' span, buy					113		115	124
3755	Rent per month					15		15	206
3760	Trussed type, 16' span, buy					260		260	200
3770	Rent per month					20		20	11
3790	) 22' span, buy					310		310	340
379	Rent per month					30		30	33
3800	Rolling ladders with handrails, 30" wide, buy, 2 step					237		237	261
400	) 7 step					125		725	800
405	10 step					1,000		1,000	1,100
410	0 Rolling towers, buy, 5' wide, 7' long, 10' high					1,350		1,350	1,500
420	0 For 5' high added sections, to buy, add				*	207		207	228
430	0 Complete ind. wheels, rollings, outriggers,				1				
435	0 21' high, to buy				Ła.	2,250		2,250	2,475
445	6 Kent/month = 5% of purchase cost	1	1	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	1	113		113	124