

Robert S. Whitaker Senior in Architectural Engineering Structural Option MAE/ BAE Pennsylvania State University

#### **Section I: Existing Building Description**

#### •Building Overview

a.Building Envelope
b.Type of Construction
c.Electrical System
d.Lighting System
e.Mechanical System
f.Fire Protection and Plumbing
g.Other Building Systems

#### •Original Structural System

a.Building Framing b.Hambro<sup>®</sup> Floor Framing c.Site and Foundations

#### •Building Parameters

a.Original Design Theoryb.Building Code Referencesc.Building and Site Restrictions

#### **Section II: Structural Depth Analysis**

#### •New Design Overview a.Architectural Changes b.Gravity Structural Changes c.Lateral Changes

•New Design

a.Design Criteria b.Structural Analysis c.RAM Model •Bar Joist on Steel Girders •Steel columns •Lateral Frames •Spread Footings

#### •Review of Design Criteria

•Vibration Analysis

#### Section III: Breadth Studies

•Cost Advantages

a.Footings b.Columns c.Floor System

- d.Wall System
- e.Conclusion

#### •EIFS Recommendations

a.Background

- b.Benefits
- c.Problems
- d.Possible Solutions
- e.Conclusion

#### **Section I:** Existing Building Description

#### •Building Overview

a.Building Envelope
b.Type of Construction
c.Electrical System
d.Lighting System
e.Mechanical System
f.Fire Protection and Plumbing
g.Other Building Systems

#### •Original Structural System

a.Building Framing b.Hambro<sup>®</sup> Floor Framing c.Site and Foundations

#### •Building Parameters

a.Original Design Theoryb.Building Code Referencesc.Building and Site Restrictions

#### **Section II: Structural Depth Analysis**

#### •New Design Overview

a.Architectural Changes b.Gravity Structural Changes c.Lateral Changes

#### •New Design

a.Design Criteria b.Structural Analysis c.RAM Model •Bar Joist on Steel Girders •Steel columns •Lateral Frames •Spread Footings

#### •Review of Design Criteria

Vibration Analysis

#### Section III: Breadth Studies

#### Cost Advantages

a.Footings

- b.Columns
- c.Floor System d.Wall System
- e.Conclusion

#### •EIFS Recommendations

- a.Background
- b.Benefits
- c.Problems
- d.Possible Solutions
- e.Conclusion



# Section I: Existing Building Description

Building Overview Original Structural System



# **Building Overview**

**Precast Garage** 

(not included)

**Lobby Unit** 

2 Story Drive Aisle

**Project type:** 

Location: **Occupancy:** 

R-2 (6 story residential and a parking garage) Bloomfield, NJ

197 condominium units and a 330 space garage Special Site Features: Located between Second River, Washington St, and a Midtown Line train station





# **Building Overview**





# **Building Overview**

**Cost:** 

Building: \$56,936,063 Pre-cast Garage: \$8,680,018 Overall Project: \$65,616,081

#### **Project delivery method:** Oualified Design-Bid-

Qualified Design-Bid-Build

### **Cladding**:

Exterior Insulation and Finish System (EIFS)

# **Original Structural System**

Lightgage framing

- ~ Bearing Walls with tube steel cap
- ~ Shear Walls

38 Total Lateral Shear Walls N-S direction: 18 shear walls E-W direction: 20 shear walls







# **Original Structural System**

### Hambro<sup>®</sup> floor framing system







# Section II: Structural Depth Analysis

New Design Overview New Design Review of Design Criteria Vibration Analysis





### **New Design Overview**





# **New Design Overview**

### **Architectural Restrictions**

- ~ Retain or increase the amount of rentable space
- ~ Retain the overall appearance of the building
- ~ Maintain the architectural detailing at the 2 story drive aisle



# New Design

Bar Joist Attachment

### **Bar Joist:**

~ 20K9 w/ 3 rows of bridging

### Deck:

~ 0.6C28 CSV Conform deck w/ 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" total slab depth





# **New Design**

Bar Joist Attachment





































Unique Frames at the 2 story drive aisle







Typical Lateral Frames

**Typical Sizes** 

Columns: W10x33 Beams: W10x22, W12x22 and W16x26 Braces: 2L 8"x4"x7/8"









# **Review of Design Criteria**

### **Architectural Restrictions**

- $\checkmark$  Retained the amount of rentable space
- $\checkmark$  Retain the overall appearance of the building
- $\checkmark$  Maintain the architectural detailing at the 2 story drive aisle



# Section III: Breadth Topics

Cost Advantages EIFS Recommendations



# **Cost Advantages**

Comparing original and new design:

- ~ Foundation
- ~ Column
- ~ Floor System
- ~ Wall



NEW CONI	NEW CONDITIONS *All values based on RS Means Assembly Cost Data 31st ED 2006						ORIGINAL CONDITIONS *All values based on RS Means Assembly Cost Data 31st ED 2006							
NEW FOUN		to mound / t	oconibiy	oost bata	0100 200		0	RIGINAL	FOUNDA	TION	tooonnory e	Joor Data of	01 20 2000	
Spread	footing (	3 ksf soil)					Spread footing (3 ksf soil)							
· · ·	costs (per spread footing) spread							_ <b>^</b>	costs	(per spread f	footing)	spread		
capacity	material	installation	total	quantity	total			capacity	material	installation	total	quantity	total	
700k	4075	3100	7175	12	\$86,100			700k	4075	3100	7175	18	\$129,150	
500k	2575	2063	4638	31	\$143,778			500k	2575	2063	4638	8	\$37,104	
300k	1075	1025	2100	37	\$77,700			300k	1075	1025	2100	4	\$8,400	
200k	585	625	1210	33	\$39,930			200k	585	625	1210	1	\$1,210	
100k	214	282	496	35	\$17,360			100k	214	282	496	12	\$5,952	
50k	107	166	273	9	\$2,457			50k	107	166	273	0	\$0	on strip ftg
Total per floor \$367,325										per floor	\$181,816			
	Total for the 6 story building \$367,325					1611					<u>iji</u>	/ building	\$181,816	
NEW FOUR	NDATION						0	RIGINAL	FOUNDA	TION				
Strip	footing (3	ksf soil)						Strip	footing (3	3 ksf soil)	total length	n 2480	feet	
	costs (p	er foot of foo	oting)	strip length					costs	(per foot of f	ooting)	strip length		
capacity	material	installation	total	(feet)	total			capacity	material	installation	total	(feet)	total	
20klf	70.00	53.50	123.50	0	\$0			20klf	70.00	53.50	123.50	310	\$38,291	
15klf	45.00	40.00	85.00	0	\$0			15klf	45.00	40.00	85.00	868	\$73,792	
10klf	20.00	24.00	44.00	0	\$0			10klf	20.00	24.00	44.00	95	\$4,180	
5.1klf	12.15	18.80	30.95	232	\$7,180			5.1klf	12.15	18.80	30.95	232	\$7,180	
2.6klf	5.90	11.30	17.20	0	\$0			2.6klf	5.90	11.30	17.20	1302	\$22,398	
			Total	per floor	\$7,180					-	Total p	per floor	\$145,841	
		I otal for th	ne 6 stor	y building	\$7,180					l otal for	the 6 story	building	\$145,841	

Total for the 6 story building











assembly

EIFS

2002

0



# **EIFS Recommendations**

### Problems

- ~ Water penetration
  - ~ Wind
  - ~ Openings
  - ~ Improper assembly





# **EIFS Recommendations**

It is recommended to use Drainable EIFS

### Advantages

- A factor of safety against faulty workmanship
  - ~ Water resistant membrane
  - ~ Drainable system

~ Any color building is possible





### **Acknowledgements/ Credits**

I would like to thank the following people for their help and support with my senior thesis:

Professor ParfittProfeProfessor MemariProfeProfessor GeschwindnerProfesAll the PSU AE Faculty and Staff

Professor Hanagan Professor Schneider Professor Bowers I Staff

Cates Engineering ~ Structural Engineers Michael Stansbury ~ Consulting Structural Engineer Toll Brothers, Inc. ~ Project Owner Minno & Wasko ~ Project Architect

**AE** Colleges

Lauren Whitaker ~ my wife My family Jesus



# Questions

### or Comments?

or

Lunch?





#### Vibrations in Joist on Beam System Based on AISC Steel design guide 11 ex 4.6 & 6.2

20K9	)			N	/16x31
w <sub>self</sub> (plf)	10.8			w <sub>self</sub> (plf)	31.0
w <sub>total allow</sub> (plf)	279.0	for spans of	38 feet	A (in^2)	9.13
w <sub>joist design</sub> (plf)	233.1	ok		d (in)	15.70
d (in)	20.0			lx (in^4)	375.0
M <sub>allow</sub> (ft-k)	49.48				
A bottom (in^2)	1.04		f <sub>allow</sub> (k)	30	kip
A top (in^2)	1.30		$ ho_{ m conc}$ (pcf)	145	pcf
A <sub>cord</sub> (in^2)	2.34		Es (ksi)	29000	ksi
I <sub>cord</sub> (in^4)	208.9		f'c (ksi)	3	ksi
I <sub>comp</sub> (in^4)	466.0				
y <sub>c</sub> (in)	8.94		Ec	3024	ksi
			n	7.10	
t <sub>conc</sub>	3.00	in	*update W	s+d value	
t <sub>deck</sub>	0.50	in			
t <sub>tot</sub>	3.50	in	teff =	3.25	in

<u> </u>	g	g	g	g
۱ رې				
bay				
"				
Ī		i		
	ł	n = # 0	f bays -	
		Pla	n view	

Design Loads								
DL	17.55 psf							
LL	60 psf							
· · · · ·								

building	
n (# bays)	2 bays
h (# bays)	3 bays

LOADS		
Ws+d	39 psf	<== look
DL	4.0 psf	<== 4 psf
LL	11.0 psf	<== 11 ps

<== look up value in deck manual <== 4 psf typ office service load <== 11 psf typ office service load

	Length		
	Girder (Lg)	15	feet
	Joist (Lj)	38	feet
	Joist Spacii	2	feet
	joi	<u>st</u>	
L min =	24	182.4	
Leff =>	24	in	

	gir	der				
_ min =	72	456				
Leff =>	72 in					

### **Vibration** Analysis

### AISC Design Guide 11 criteria

Table 6.1 Vibration Criteria for Sensitive Equipment

Recom	Table 4.1 mended Values of I	Parameters in		Facility	Vibrationa	l Velocity*
Eq	uation (4.1) and <b>a</b> o	/g Limits	· [ ·····	or Use	(uin/sec)	(um/sec)
	Constant Force Po	Damping Ratio β	Acceleration Limit $a_0 / g \times 100\%$		(1.1.1.000)	(princes)
Offices, Residences, Churches	0.29 kN (65 lb)	0.02-0.05*	0.5%	Computer systems; Operating Rooms**; Surgery; Bench microscopes at up to 100x magnification;	8,000	200
Shopping Malls	0.29 kN (65 lb)	0.02	1.5%			
Footbridges—Indoor	0.41 kN (92 lb)	0.01	1.5%	Laboratory robots	4,000	100
Footbridges—Outdoor	0.41 kN (92 lb)	0.01	5.0%	Bench microscopes at up to 400x magnification; Optical	2,000	50
<ul> <li>* 0.02 for floors with few non-structural convolvements</li> <li>work areas and churches,</li> <li>0.03 for floors with non-structural comporting typical of many modular office areas,</li> <li>0.05 for full height partitions between floor</li> </ul>	omponents (ceilings, ducts onents and furnishings, bu	s, partitions, etc.) as can ut with only small demou	occur in open Intable partitions,	and other precision balances; Coordinate measuring machines; Metrology laboratories; Optical comparators; Microelectronics manufacturing equipment—Class A***		

Stiffness ana	lysis <mark>(fn&lt; 9 Hz, r</mark>	no need to check stiffne	ess analysis)	Walking Ev	valuation	(fn= 4.30 Hz)				
using a	0.224 kip load			WPANELtot	43.9 kips					
$\Delta_{\rm j \; applied}$	0.04282 in			β	0.030 Resmic	l low damp table	4.1			
$\Delta_{\rm jpannel}$	0.00714 in			βW	1316.4 #					
$\Delta$ gPannel	0.00145 in	(fn= 4.30 Hz	z)	Po	65.0 #	table 4.1	compare	with table 4.	.1	
$\Delta_{\text{total}}$	0.00786 in	fn ok		a <sub>p</sub> /g =	0.01098 =	1.098% g fail	s > (	).5% <mark>fail</mark> s	s	
K <sub>floor</sub>	28.5 kip/in	>5.7kip/in limit ok		Fails, need	to increase joist size	or slab thickness	(delta j co	ontrols)		
MODERATE \	NALK <			SLOW WA	LK					
		-								
W person	185 #			W person	185 #					
step/min	75 step/min			step/min	50 step/min					
Em/W/	15	(table 6 2)	5500 # HzA2	Fm/\//	13	(table 6 2)	- 1500 +	+ H7A2		
Fm	277.5 #		3300 # 112 2	Fm	240.5 #		1300 #	11212		
		4				1				
f <sub>o</sub>	2.5 hz	(figure 6.5)	044	f <sub>o</sub>	1.4 hz	(figure 6.5)				
f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	1.718 >>0.5	use eq 6.4b 📶		f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	3.07 >>0.5	use eq 6.4b				
T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.4 sec			T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.7143 sec	1				
f <sub>n</sub> *T <sub>o</sub>	1.718 > 0.5		<b>n». R in/gee</b>	f <sub>n</sub> *T <sub>o</sub>	3.07 > 0.5	1				
Am	0.169			Am	0.053	1				
X max	1573 in x 10^-6	]		X max	428 in x 10^-6					
V	42,862 x 10^-6 in	/sec compare with	table 6.1 values	V	11,690 x 10^-6 ir	n /sec comp	are with ta	ble 6.1 value	es	

t <sub>conc</sub>			5.00 ii	n				1	1						-
t <sub>deck</sub>			3.00 ii	n			n si u Si nak								
t <sub>tot</sub>			8.00 iı	n											
Stiffness ana	lysis	(fn< 9 Hz, n	o need to	check sti	ffness analy	ysis)	Walki	ng Ev	aluation		( fn=	4.11	Hz)		
using a	0.224 k	ip load					$W_{PANE}$	Ltot	77.7	kips					
$\Delta$ j applied	0.02629 ii	n					β		0.030	Resmi	<mark>d low damp</mark> ta	able 4	.1		
$\Delta$ j pannel	0.00326 ii	n					βW		2330.9	#					
$\Delta_{\text{gPannel}}$	0.00105 ii	n	(fn=	4.11	Hz)		Po		65.0	#	table 4.1		comp	are with ta	able 4.1
$\Delta_{\text{total}}$	0.00378 ii	n	fn ok				a <sub>p</sub> /g	=	0.00662	=	0.662% g	g fails	>	0.5%	fails
K <sub>floor</sub>	59.2 k	(ip/in	>5.7kip/in	limit ok			Fails,	need	to increase	joist size	or slab thick	ness (	delta j	controls)	
Stiffness ana	lysis	(fn< 9 Hz, n	o need to	check sti	ffness analy	ysis)	Walki	ng Ev	aluation		( fn=	4.11	Hz)		
using a	0.224 k	ip load					$W_{PANE}$	Ltot	77.7	kips	1				
$\Delta$ j applied	0.02629 ii	n					β		0.040	Resmic	<mark>d high damp</mark> ta	able 4	.1		
$\Delta_{\rm j  pannel}$	0.00326 ii	n					βW		3107.9	#					
$\Delta_{\rm gPannel}$	0.00105 ii	n	(fn=	4.11	Hz)		Po		65.0	#	table 4.1		comp	are with ta	able 4.1
$\Delta$ total	0.00378 ii	n	fn ok				a <sub>p</sub> /g	=	0.00496	=	0.496% g	g .	<	0.5%	ok
K <sub>floor</sub>	59.2 k	ip/in	>5.7kip/in	limit ok							-				

MODERATE	WALK <				SLOW WAL	K				
W person	185 #				W person	185 #	]			
step/min	75 step/mi	in			step/min	50 step/min	I			
Fm/W	1.5	(table 6.2)	Uv=	5500 # H	 Fm/W	1.3	(table 6.2)	Uv=	1500 #	Hz^2
Fm	277.5 #				Fm	240.5 #	]			
f <sub>o</sub>	2.5 hz	(figure 6.5	)		f <sub>o</sub>	1.4 hz	(figure 6.5)			
f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	1.644 >>0.5	use eq 6.4	b		f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	2.94 >>0.5	use eq 6.4	c		
T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.4 sec				T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.7143 sec	I			
f <sub>n</sub> *T₀	1.644 > 0.5				f <sub>n</sub> *T <sub>o</sub>	2.94 > 0.5	T			
Am	0.185				Am	0.058	1			
X max	807 in x 10 <sup>7</sup>	^-6			X max	219 in x 10^-6	I			
V	21,045 x 10^-	6 in /sec	compare with ta	able 6.1 values	v	5,740 x 10^-6 ii	n /sec	compare	e with tab	le 6.1 values



# Vibration Analysis

31.0 9.13 15.70

375.0

	28k1	2			N	/16>
Wself	(plf)	17.1			w <sub>self</sub> (plf)	
W total all	<sub>low</sub> (plf)	461.0	for spans of	38 feet	A (in^2)	
W joist de	<sub>isign</sub> (plf)	319.1	ok		d (in)	
d	(in)	28.0			lx (in^4)	
M <sub>allow</sub>	(ft-k)	81.76				
A bottom	(in^2)	1.21		f <sub>allow</sub> (k)	30	kip
A <sub>top</sub>	(in^2)	1.51		$ ho_{ m conc}$ (pcf)	145	pcf
A <sub>cord</sub>	(in^2)	2.73		Es (ksi)	29000	ksi
I <sub>cord</sub>	(in^4)	490.5		fc (ksi)	3	ksi
I <sub>comp</sub>	(in^4)	1570.9				
Уc	(in)	12.50		Ec	3024	ksi
			-	n	7.10	

t <sub>conc</sub>	5.00 in	*update Ws+d value				
t <sub>deck</sub>	3.00 <mark>in</mark>					
t <sub>tot</sub>	8.00 in	teff = 6.50 in				

pullaing	
n (# bays)	2 bays
h (# bays)	3 bays

LOADS	]	
Ws+d	82 psf	<== look up value in deck manual
DL	4.0 psf	<== 4 psf typ office service load
LL	11.0 psf	<== 11 psf typ office service load

Length	
Girder (Lg)	15 feet
Joist (Lj)	38 feet
Joist Spaci	2 feet

	<u>joist</u>							
min =	24	182.4						
.eff =>	24 in							

	<u>girder</u>								
_ min =	72	456							
Leff =>	72 in								

Stiffness analysis (fn ok, no		o need to check stiffness analysis)			Walking Evaluation			(fn= 5.67 Hz)							
using a	0.224	kip load	_					WPANELtot	60.8	kips					
∆ <sub>j applied</sub>	0.01521	in						ß	0.030	Resmid	d low damp	table 4	4.1		
∆ <sub>j pannel</sub>	0.00196	in						β₩	1824.1	#					
∆ <sub>gPannel</sub>	0.00105	in	(fn=	5.67	Hz)			P。	65.0	#	table 4.1		compa	are with ta	able 4.1
$\Delta_{\text{total}}$	0.00248	in	fn ok		_			a <sub>p</sub> /g	= 0.00490	=	0.490%	g	<	0.5%	ok
K <sub>floor</sub>	90.4	kip/in	>5.7kip/in	limit ok											
MODERATE	WALK	<						SLOW W	ALK						
)0 <i>(</i>	105		1					10/	105	24	1				
vv person stop/min	105	# cton/min	{					vv person ctop/min	50	# cton/min					
step/min		step/mm	]					step/mm		step/min	l				
Fm/W	1.5		(table 6.2)	Uv=		5500 #	Hz^2	Fm/W	1.3		(table 6.2)	Uv=	1500	# Hz^2	2
Fm	277.5	#						Fm	240.5	#					
-			1								1				
fo	2.5	hz	(figure 6.5)					fo	1.4	hz	(figure 6.5)				
f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	2.269	>>0.5	use eq 6.4b	)				f <sub>n</sub> /f <sub>o</sub>	4.05	>>0.5	use eq 6.4t	0			
T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.4	sec						T <sub>o</sub> =1/f <sub>o</sub>	0.7143	sec					
f <sub>n</sub> *T₀	2.269	> 0.5						fn*To	4.05	> 0.5					
Am	0.097		]					Am	0.030						
X max	267	in x 10^6						X max	73	in x 10^6					
V	9 598	v 10^ 6 in	leac	compare	with toble l	6 1 values		V	2 6 1 8	v 104 6 i	n /sec	comna	ro with	tahla 6 1	valuas
-	3,350	× 10 -0 III		sompare .	mill tuble	0.1 901060			2,010	X 10 -0 I	1,300	compa	ie mitt	14010-0.1	101005

### Whitaker

#### Robert S. Whitaker Structural Option Parkview at Bloomfield Station Bloomfield, NJ

#### **Structural**

- •Floor system: 16" Hambro Floor System w/ 3" slab •Interior Bearing walls: 4" light gage shear walls w/
- tube steel top plates
- •Exterior Bearing walls: 6" light gage shear walls w/ tube steel top plates
- •Columns: HSS 3x3x1/4" to HSS 7x3x3/8"

Robert

- •Beams: typical beam is a W10x12, HSS 4x4x5/16", or HSS 6x4x5/16"
- •Roof: light gage roof trusses w/ portions of flat roof
- •Foundation: continuous grade beam footing
- •Garage foundation: 100 ton H piles 42-53 ft deep

#### **Mechanical**

- •Unit temperature controls
- •Gas fired furnaces
- Air handling unit/condensing unit refrigerant loop
  Individual unit water heaters

#### Size

 Total:
 453,473 ft<sup>2</sup>

 Building:
 300,725 ft<sup>2</sup>

 Garage:
 152,748 ft<sup>2</sup>

#### <u>Use Group</u>

•Building:R2

•Garage:S-2

#### Fire Protection

•Wet sprinkler in main building •Dry sprinkler in garage & attic •1,500 GPM fire & jockey pump <u>Special Systems</u> •15 panel point security system

#### **Architectural**

- 6 story residential building surrounding a pre-cast parking garage
- Long irregular footprint
- 197 condominium units & a 330 space garage
- •Building is nestled between Second River, Washington St, and a Midtown Line train station
- •The exterior wall cladding is an Exterior Finish and Insulation System (EFIS)
- •Gable roof with either a 12:12 or 8:12 slope

#### Codes •IBC 2000 NJ

•Fair Housing •ASCE7-02

#### **Transportation**

•(2) 2,500lbs & (1) 3,500lbs elevator •Six full stair towers

#### General information

•Cost:

Overall Project: \$65,616,081 Building: \$56,936,063 Pre-cast Garage: \$8,680,018 •Project delivery method: Qualified Design-Bid-Build •Construction start-finish: November 10, 2005-TBD

#### **Electrical**

- •Electric baseboard
- •125A 1P3W panels
- •2 building transformers
- •(2) 3000A switchboards •250 KW 120/208 diesel fired
- emergency generator
- Dust banks for CATV/Tale u
- •Duct banks for CATV/Tele utilities

#### Project Team: Owners:

Structural Engineer:

Pre-cast Engineer:

Civil Engineer:

MEP Engineer:

Contractor/ CM:

Architect:

Toll Brothers, Inc. Minno and Wasko Cates Engineering Unistress Corp. PMK Group R.W. Sullivan, Inc. Bovis - lend lease