The John Jay College Expansion Project
New York, NY

Michael Hopper – Structural Option
AE Senior Thesis 2009

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Project Information

General Information

- Transform JJC of Criminal Justice into a 1-block urban campus
- Expansion to Existing Harren Hall
- 620,000 Square Feet
- $ 457 Million
- 14 Story Tower
- 5 story Podium connecting tower to Harren Hall
- Design calls for:
    - Grand Cascade
    - Landscaped Podium Roof
    - Prefabricated Curtain Wall System

Project Information

Project Location

- 11th Avenue between 58th and 59th Street

Project Information

Site Restriction



Site Plan



Amtrak tracks pass beneath the tower!

South Elevation

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Existing Structural Systems

Foundation

- Reinforced Concrete Caissons
  - 18” to 36” diameter embedded up to 14’ in bedrock
  - Encased w/ ½” thick steel tubing

- Reinforced Concrete Piers
  - 20”x20” to 72”x42”
  - Typically extend 10’ to individual column footings that bear on bedrock



Existing Structural Systems

Gravity System

- Composite Steel System
  - 3” metal decking spans 12’
  - 3 ¼” L.W. Concrete
- Typical Floor-to-Floor Height is 15’
- Typical Bay Spacing



Existing Structural Systems

Lateral Force Resisting System

- Concentrically Braced Frame Core
  - Braces range from HSS 6x6x3/8" to HSS 16x8x1/2"





Existing Structural Systems

Transfer System Solution



Typical Steel Framing

Braced Frame Core

Plate Hangers

Penthouse Trusses

Built-Up Steel Girders

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Problem Statement

Inefficient Braced Frames



Difficult Construction Methods

- Use of temporary columns
- Use of stiffened plate hangers to prevent buckling
- Built-up girders above Amtrak tracks must support construction loads of all levels until penthouse trusses are complete
  - Cannot place concrete deck until trusses are complete
- Expensive premiums charged

Problem Solution

Design a New Transfer System

- Optimize the Braced Frame Core
- Allow Traditional Construction Methods
- Gravity Loads are transferred more efficiently
  - All loads transferred down

Typical Steel Framing

Braced Frame Core

Transfer Trusses

Built-Up Steel Girders



Project Goals

• Create a more constructible transfer solution than the existing design

•Design a series of transfer trusses which are architecturally exposed to building occupants

•Design custom built-up steel shapes for exposed truss members

•Perform an in-depth lateral analysis to develop an efficient design for the braced frame core

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Structural Depth Studies

Corner Column Relocation and Floor Framing Design



Existing Plate Hanger Location

2 Plate Hangers



New Column Location

1 Corner Column

Structural Depth Studies

Corner Column Relocation and Design

Existing Plate Hangers



- Total Weight: 107 kips
- Need to reinforce plates during construction to avoid buckling

New Columns



- Total Weight: 112 kips
- Typical steel framing can be used

Structural Depth Studies

Transfer Truss Layout



Structural Depth Studies

Transfer Truss Layout



20’ Floor-to-Floor Height

Structural Depth Studies

Transfer Truss Analysis





Truss 3

Loads	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7
Pu (kips)	804	1450	1668	876	1162	1753	1296

Structural Depth Studies

Truss Analysis – ETABS Gravity Model

- Diagonal web members are pinned at each end
- Top and bottom chords are continuous
- Floor diaphragms were not modeled
  - Top and bottom chords resist axial and bending forces
  - Chord unbraced lengths were taken as the distance between vertical web members
- Gravity Model was also used for deflection calculations



Structural Depth Studies

Truss Member Design

The Newseum “Megatruss”



Desired Truss Details

Design of all custom and built-up steel sections comply with the Specification of the 13th Edition AISC Steel Construction Manual

Structural Depth Studies

Truss 1 Final Design



Member	Section	Design Forces
Top and Bottom Chords	W40x362	2700 kips (T) 1960 ft-kips
Web Tension Member	18 x 4 ¼" Plate	2430 kips
Web Compression Member	(2) 16 x 3" Plates stitched at 2'	1960 kips
Common Truss Members	W36x441	3410 kips (C) 680 ft-kips

Structural Depth Studies

Truss 2 Final Design

Member	Section	Design Forces
Top Chord	W36x800	5800 kips (T) 4500 ft-kips
Bottom Chord	Built-Up Box	6000 kips (C) 9500 ft-kips
Web Tension Member	28 x 5” Plate	4850 kips
Web Compression Member	(2) 16 x 3” Plates stitched at 1’	3370 kips
Common Truss Members	W36x441	3410 kips (C) 680 ft-kips



- 4” thick flanges
- 1 ½” thick web
- 50” deep x 24” wide
- Weighs 1082 PLF

Structural Depth Studies

Transfer Truss Comparison

Criteria	Thesis Transfer System	Existing Transfer System
Number of Transfer Trusses	6	10
Perimeter Columns Transferred	11/20 (55%)	24/24 (100%)
Total Web Members	102	206
Avg. Truss Weight (kips)	230	152
Interior Truss Height	20’-0”	30’-0”
Number of Levels Being Transferred w/ Trusses	11	10

Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Analysis and Design

- Tower Braced Frame Core Re-design
- ETABS Lateral Model
  - Floors modeled as rigid diaphragms
  - Lateral loads distributed based on relative stiffness of each braced frame
- Lateral loads determined using ASCE 7-05
  - Wind: Method 2 of Chapter 6
  - Seismic: ELFP of Chapter 11 (SDC: B)
  - Wind governed for strength and serviceability



Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Analysis and Design

Braced Frame Configurations and Demand-to-Capacity Ratios



Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Analysis and Design – Braced Frame 1 & 2

Existing Typical Members

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x665	HSS 8x8x3/8	W16x67
4-7	W14x605	HSS 8x8x3/8	W16x45
7-10	W14x550	HSS 7x7x3/8	W16x36
11-14	W14x550	HSS 6x6x3/8	W16x36

Largest Brace is an HSS 8x8x3/8

Re-designed Typical Members

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x455	HSS 8x8x3/8	W16x67
4-7	W14x455	HSS 8x8x5/8	W16x45
7-10	W14x176	HSS 8x8x3/8	W16x36
11-14	W14x99	HSS 6x6x3/8	W16x36

Largest Brace is an HSS 20x12x5/8

New braced frame design resulted in saving 71 tons of steel in columns

Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Drift – ASCE 7-05

- Calculated Lateral Drifts
  - Wind:
    LC: 0.7W (App. C)
  - Seismic:
    $\delta_{xe}\ C_d / I$
- Lateral Drift Limitations
  - Wind:
    H/400
  - Seismic:
    $0.015h_{sx}$
- Lateral Drifts due to wind governed
  - Maximum drift is in N-S direction



Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Drift – New York City Building Code

• Necessary to compare re-design to the original design criteria



Structural Depth Studies

Foundation Impacts

- Perimeter columns not transferred using 5th level trusses now extend to the foundation

- Existing concrete caissons support 5 levels of gravity load, where the new design calls for 14 levels of gravity loads

	Existing	Thesis
Diameter	18”	36”
Reinforcement	(7) #14 Bars	(11) #14 Bars



Impacts are minimal as only 7 caissons need changed

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Architectural Breadth Studies

5th Level Floor Plan



5th Level Mezzanine Floor Plan



Architectural Breadth Studies

Section 1



Section 2



Architectural Breadth Studies

Interior Renderings

Architectural Breadth Studies

Existing Exterior Renderings

Architectural Breadth Studies

New Exterior Renderings

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Construction Management Breadth Studies

Cost Comparison

	Thesis (kips)	Existing (kips)
Cost of Steel	$ 5.91 Million	$ 6.15 Million
Increased Curtain Wall Cost	$ .820 Million	--
Total	**$ 6.74 Million**	**$ 6.15 Million***

*** Does not include premiums charged for difficult hanging construction**

Both systems cost about the same

Construction Management Breadth Studies

Schedule Comparison – Existing Sequence



Steel Erection Time: 63 Weeks



Total Superstructure Time: 70 Weeks



Michael Hopper – Structural Option
AE Senior Thesis 2009

John Jay College Expansion Project
New York, NY

Construction Management Breadth Studies

Schedule Comparison – New Sequence



Steel Erection Time: 60 Weeks



Total Superstructure Time: 64 Weeks



Michael Hopper – Structural Option
AE Senior Thesis 2009

John Jay College Expansion Project
New York, NY

Construction Management Breadth Studies

Construction Conclusions

	Thesis Transfer System	Existing Transfer System
Structural System Cost	$ 5.91 Million	$ 6.15 Million
Total Cost	$ 6.74 Million	$ 6.15 Million*
Steel Erection Schedule (Weeks)	60	63
Entire Superstructure Schedule (Weeks)	64	70

- Steel erection tops out 3 weeks earlier using the new transfer system
- Total superstructure schedule is 6 weeks less using the new transfer system

- **Less trusses and truss members**
- **Eliminating the use of temporary supports in tower construction**
- **Using typical steel framing**

Presentation Outline

- Project Information
- Existing Structural Systems
- Problem Statement and Solution
- Structural Design
- Architectural Studies
- Construction Studies
- Conclusions

Conclusions and Recommendation

- Braced Frame Core was optimized by relocating the transfer trusses to the 5th level

- Exposed steel transfer trusses with custom steel members compliment the 5th level dining commons

- A more constructible structure was achieved

Recommendation:

Use the new transfer solution

Acknowledgements

A special thanks to:

LERA
LESLIE E. ROBERTSON ASSOCIATES, R.L.L.P.

Jason Stone, PE
Patrick Hopple

Dormitory Authority
State of New York

Ramesh Rastogi

SOM

I also would like to thank my friends and family for their support over the past year, this project would not have been possible without you.

Questions?

Building Height Limitations



- C6-2 Special Purpose Zone – **No Maximum Building Height**
- However, NYC has building setback requirements
- Sky Exposure Plane
  - For a C6-2 Zone, vertical to horizontal ratio is 7.6 : 1
  - Existing design requires a setback of 20’ at the roof and only 15’ is provided
  - Assumed that a variance was obtained or the zone was changed

Braced Frames



1 & 2



3

4



5

6

Load Combinations

ASCE 7 – 05 Load Combinations

1. 1.4D
2. $1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5L_r$ ← Transfer System Members
3. $1.2D + 1.6L_r + (L \text{ or } 0.8W)$
4. $1.2D + 1.6W + L + 0.5L_r$ ← Braced Frame Members
5. $(1.2 + 0.2S_{DS})D + E + L$
6. $0.9D + 1.6W$
7. $(0.9 - 0.2S_{DS})D + E$

Scheduling Assumptions

Activity	Thesis (Duration in Days/Level)	Existing (Duration in Days/Level)
Erect Columns	1	1
Erect Braced Frames	1	1
Erect Typical Floor Framing	7	7
Decking and Detailing	10	10
Erect Temporary Columns	1	1
Erect Reinforced Plate Hangers	N/A	1
Erect Truss Bottom Chords	3	4
Erect Truss Top Chords	2	4
Erect Truss Web Members	3	6
Detail and Plum Trusses	5	10
Remove Temporary Columns/Reinforced Plates	1[1]	5[1]
Placing Concrete Decking	10[2]	2[3]

[1] - Unit is Total Days
[2] – Includes duration of embeds, box outs, rebar, and placing concrete
[3] – Includes placing concrete

Truss Cambers and Deflections



Maximum Live Load Deflections			
Truss	L	$0.5\Delta_L$	(L/250)
	(ft)	(in)	(in)
1	40	1.41	1.92
2	35	0.73	1.68
2a	35	0.35	1.68
3	35	0.53	1.68
3a	35	0.36	1.68

Existing Structural Systems

Transfer System Solution

- Floors 1 – 5 transferred using built-up girders



- Floors 6 – Roof are hanging and are transferred at the penthouse level using trusses





Existing Structural Systems

Transfer System Solution

- Floors 6 – Penthouse use perimeter plate hangers instead of columns



Perimeter Plate Hangers



Structural Depth Studies

Transfer Truss Analysis





Truss 4

Loads	P1	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7
Pu (kips)	804	1450	1668	876	1162	1753	1296

Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Analysis and Design

Existing Braced Frame 3 & 4

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x426	HSS 8x8x3/8	W24x84
4-7	W14x398	HSS 20x8x1/2	W16x67
7-10	W14x370	HSS 8x8x3/8	W16x36
11-14	W14x500	HSS 16x8x1/2	W16x36

Re-designed Braced Frame 3 & 4

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x426	HSS 12x8x5/8	W24x84
4-7	W14x550	HSS 20x8x5/8	W16x67
7-10	W14x132	HSS 8x8x1/2	W16x36
11-14	W14x132	HSS 12x8x3/8	W16x36

Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Analysis and Design

Existing Braced Frame 5 & 6

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x665	HSS 8x8x3/8	W24x84
4-7	W14x605	HSS 10x8x3/8	W24x94
7-10	W14x455	HSS 10x8x3/8	W24x94
11-14	W14x342	HSS 16x8x1/2	W24x94

Re-designed Braced Frame 5 & 6

Level	Column	Brace	Girder
1 - 3	W14x500	HSS 8x8x3/8	W24x84
4-7	W14x730	HSS 8x8x3/8	W24x94
7-10	W14x370	HSS 8x8x3/8	W24x94
11-14	W14x159	HSS 12x8x3/8	W24x94

New braced frame design resulted in saving 71 tons of steel in columns!

Structural Depth Studies

Lateral Drift – New York City Building Code

• Necessary to compare re-design to the original design criteria



Existing Structural Systems

Lateral Force Resisting System

- Concentrically Braced Frame Core
  - Braces range from HSS 6x6x3/8" to HSS 16x8x1/2"





Construction Management Breadth Studies

Weight Comparison

System	Thesis (kips)	Existing (kips)
Trusses	1380	1521
Perimeter Columns/Plate hangers	112	107
Braced Frame Core	1324	1304
Built-Up Girders	235	294
Total	**3051**	**3226**

New transfer system weighs 87 tons less than the existing design