

Mathew Nirenberg

AE Senior Thesis Structural Option



Existing Building



- 28 Stories
- Primarily Residential
 Lofts, Condos, and Penthouses
- Includes Parking and Commercial Space
- Location
 - Suburban Miami, Florida
 - Part of a "New Downtown"





Current Building

Structure

- Concrete
 - 4 to 10 ksi
- Post-Tensioned Slabs
 - 8-9.5" thick
 - 5 ksi
 - All but S.O.G. and 22 floor
- Mat Foundation
 - 5' thick
 - Sitting on array of auger-cast piles



Current Building



- Elevated pool
- Trailer location
- Separated air handling systems





Proposal for Investigation

Depth

- Column Gridding
- Gravity Members
- Lateral Resistance
- Breadth
 - Electrical
 - Add recessed lighting into units
 - Calculate resulting changes to panel and feeder
 - -CM
 - Estimate difference in cost between Concrete and Steel Building



Column Gridding

- Only efficient way to use steel
- Goals:
 - Use good bay ratio
 - 1:1.25-1.5
 - Have all columns lie within walls / existing columns







Column Gridding









- Based on prescribed loads from existing building
- Largest loads on 8th floor for fitness facilities
- Composite construction is useful on nearly all members
 - Some larger perimeter beams overstressed the concrete



Lateral Loading



- Seismic is Minimal
 - Not even accounted for in original design
- Large Wind loads
 - 150 mph
 - Easily controlled design







- Tried to use as many braced frames as possible
- Limited number of column pairs within walls
- Forced to go to moment frames
- Began to engulf entire grid



Lateral Framing



*Red indicates lateral frame

Mathew Nirenberg - Structural Option



Lateral Framing





*Red indicates lateral frame





Lateral Deflections

- Total Building
 - -47.8"
- Individual Stories
 1.6"
- Neither is AcceptableMany Possible Solutions



Drift Solutions



- More Places for Braced Frames
 Grid friendly floor plans
- Location
 - Less Exposure
 - Lower Wind Speed





Drift Solutions



- When placed in 90mph wind region
 - 16" in critical direction
 - Compared to 13" of real structure
 - Some stiffer frames may be possible



Breadth Studies



- Electrical
 - Loads from increasing lighting within the unit
- Construction Management
 - Cost comparison between two structural systems







- New suspended ceilings encourage use of lights within space
- Added lights require more circuits in the space
- Also increase loads on some existing circuits



Layout





Circuit Comparison



Μ

TROPOI

at Dadeland

Proposed Electrical Panel

100.962 A



Estimate



- Concrete Structure
 - Forms = \$2.41 Million
 - Reinforcing = \$1.1 Million
 - Post-tensioning = \$4 Million
 - Concrete = \$1.2 Million
 - Finish and Cure = \$200,000
 - Total = \$9.1 Million



Estimate



- Steel Structure
 - Steel Members = \$2 Million
 - Deck = \$300,000
 - Concrete = \$300,000
 - Concrete Accessories = \$350,000
 - Total = \$4 Million
 - Plus Fireproofing, etc. = \$15 Million



Uncalculated Differences

- Savings from smaller foundation

 Lighter steel does not need 5' mat
 Approximately \$700,000
- Added cost from additional electrical components
 - Depends on quality of components



Conclusions



- Steel is not viable with given constraints
- Can work if building is originally catered to its use
- Electrical system is a reasonable addition if steel is used
 - Not necessary as the structure currently is
- Cost off steel offsets faster construction



Thank You



A special thanks to:

Gary Ferguson of Terra Developers Turner Construction & Eugene Crosby of Gorman Consuling Engineers For supplying me with information, documentation, and site access to enable me to do this project

All the AE Faculty who answered questions over the past year

And my fellow AE students who were always around to answer random questions as they arose