Structural Technical Proposal

By Robert Whitaker





Executive Summary

The current structural system for Parkview at Bloomfield Station is composed of a light gage roof spaced 2' on center (oc) spanning front to back and panelized bearing light gage walls 4" and 6" wide continuously capped with a steel tube for load distribution purposes. These walls not only hold the 16" deep D500 Hambro[®] floor system but also act as the main lateral force resisting system for the building. Thin cross bracing straps attached to the light gage bearing walls give these walls the lateral capacity required. There are a total of 38 shear walls in the building: 17 in the North-South direction, 17 in the East-West direction, and 4 concrete masonry unit (cmu) stair towers that resist load mainly in the East-West direction. The precast garage is structurally separate, and only the 4" building separation will be considered for story drift in the lateral review.

Structural Proposal

This proposal covers the analysis and design of a steel braced frame as a replacement for the current light gage bearing wall system. Two different framing orientations for the bar joist floor will be investigated, and analyzed for efficiency and compatibility with the redesigned system. The use of the braced frame system will require less braced frames throughout the building than the current system, creating the use of leaning column frames at some unit separations. There are a total of 22 braced frames in the building: 12 in the North-South direction, and 10 in the East-West direction, along with 4 concrete masonry unit (cmu) stair towers that resist load mainly in the East-West direction.

Calculation Overview

Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) will be used in all spot checks. The analysis will be performed on structural components in the building using RAM, a finite element based analysis program. This program performs lateral load calculations, including seismic and wind from three directions, and will be used to compute the loads for the shear walls in the building. The results from previous Technical Reports will be used and verified in the proposed redesign. A building drift limit is to be computed using the RAM program, and the members will be sized using the Manual of Steel Construction $\sim 3^{rd}$ Edition. Finally, a Portal Frame analysis will be used to spot check calculated end reactions in specific frame members.

<section-header><section-header>

Parkview at Bloomfield Station is a uniquely shaped six story residential condominium building located in Bloomfield, NJ. The building is most noticeable for its long sprawling irregular footprint. The building also wraps around a precast parking garage that is only visible from the train

Garage:	152,748 ft ²
Building:	$300,725 \text{ ft}^2$
Per Floor:	$50,121{\rm ft}^2$
Total:	453,473 ft ²

station side. The building is nestled between the Second River to the south, Washington St. to the west, a tree filled lot in the east, and a train station for the Midtown Line to the north. There are 197 condominium units and 330 parking spaces included in the design of this building. Numerous storage facilities are located in the parking garage and an exercise room is also included, located above the lobby area. A drop off circle, located just off of the tree lined entry drive, allows for easy access for visitors and taxi services.

Structural Overview

The structural system for Parkview at Bloomfield Station is composed of a light gage roof spaced 2' on center (oc) spanning front to back with some hip conditions incorporated, bearing on exterior and corridor walls, and girder trusses at hip roof conditions. The bearing walls are panelized bearing light gage steel stud walls 4" and 6" wide continuously capped with a steel tube, HSS 4x4x5/16" and HSS 6x4x5/16" respectively, for load distribution purposes. Beams and transfer beams provide bearing points for the floor system, columns, and roof trusses. A 16" deep Hambro[®] D500TM

floor system makes up the composite rigid floor diaphragm and consists of joists spaced at 4' oc connected to a 3" concrete floor (3000psi). The 16" joists span the short direction of the living units (typically 30') and Hambro RTC joists (top cord only



joists) span the corridor (typically 6'). The total ceiling to floor depth is 21" and allows the mechanical duct work to pass through the open webs of the joists.

Along with the bearing light gage walls, there are two braced frame systems at the drive aisles that pass under the building. The upper floors in these sections are supported by a series of one or two story columns that are part of this W18 braced frame system. All 6 floors of the building have mainly the same floor plans with the exception of 4 locations: an entry/lobby unit, a 2 story drive aisle, a 1 story drive aisle, and a 1st floor exit route. In these areas, transfer beams are utilized requiring much larger beam

sizes. The two story braced frame system used in the 2 story drive aisle consists of nineteen W18 columns placed along bearing lines. There is a similar system at the one story drive aisle consisting of twelve columns. While these braced frames act as the lateral force resisting system in these two unique areas, the main lateral force resisting system for the building is a shear wall system provided by thin steel cross bracing straps attached to the light gage shear walls.



The precast garage located at the center of the building, consists of precast double-T planks bearing on load bearing elements. The vertical elements in the garage transfers its' load to pile caps encompassing 100 ton H piles drilled to bedrock (ranging from 42-53 ft below the slab-on-grade surface). The precast garage is structurally separated from the main building by a 4" air gap and by 4" expansion joints at building connection points. Because of this the garage will not be considered in this building proposal and will remain as is.

Finally, continuous 2'-6" wide footings make up most of the building bearing wall support under the 4" slab-on-grade foundation. However, larger spread footings (typically 4'x4') are utilized below leaning column point loads. The spread footings at the drive aisle's braced frames merge together and resemble larger single spread footings. The precast garage's footings are separate from that of the main building and encompass a deep foundation system rather than the buildings shallow footing system.

Problem Statement

The original Hambro floor system performed better than any of the alternate system designs that were analyzed in Technical Report #2. The computer results of Technical Report #3 were somewhat vague due to the number of lateral force resisting elements and size of the building. The hand analysis did indicate that the original design performed adequately based on the lateral loadings; however, there were a few areas of failing performance established by the computer generated model. It was assumed that these inadequacies were a result of user input and modeling errors, not a negative reflection on the design of the lateral system. The aspect of the original structural design best suited for further investigation is the lateral force resisting system due to this analytical uncertainty.

Furthermore, due to the nature of the structure, it must be erected by specialized contractors not only for the light gage walls but also for the Hambro flooring system. Finding certified contractors for both of these specialized systems are hard to locate in some areas and may slow the speed of the project, increasing the overall cost of the project per Means Building Construction Cost Data (RS Means).

Proposed Solution

The proposed alternative to the light gage shear walls will be a braced steel frame. This new frame will necessitate less shear walls throughout the building while still maintaining the same architectural layout. The braced steel frame will allow more lateral strength capacity with less lateral force resisting members. The red lines in figure 1 below are the existing shear walls and the dark lines represent the braced frame.



The dark lines on figure 2 below represent the new steel braced frame locations in the building. The other unit separation locations (remaining red lines) are frames consisting of leaning columns, or the concrete masonry unit (cmu) stair tower.



The braced steel frame will only extend as far as the corridor and will have W18 columns. The W18 shape columns will be equal to or smaller than a W18x71 ($b_f = 7.64$) allowing them to fit within the 11" unit separation wall. The additional room needed in these walls to frame out around the columns will be taken out of the 6' corridor space, boxing out at column locations, and by extensions to the overall building length if necessary. The existing corridors are 1'-0" greater than required by the International Building Code (IBC) 2000 and therefore a reduction of up to 1' is allowed. The cross bracing, making up the lateral force resisting system, will be composed of C15x50 ($b_f = 3.72$) and bolted to the columns. This will allow for back to back attachment while remaining within the width of the column flange, see figure 3.



The beams will span the depth of the unit (typically 31') and cantilever the width of the corridor. The beams the throughout the building will be W18x65 beams and will require a 2" bulkhead to cover their extension below the ceiling line. These beams will support 16K5 steel bar joists at 2'-0" oc with 3 rows of bridging. The joists are topped with $5\frac{1}{2}$ " concrete over composite metal decking to act as the floor system. This floor system will have a ceiling to floor depth of $23\frac{1}{2}$ " which is approximately the same as the existing Hambro system's depth. The floor to floor height will increase by 2 inches to 10'-8", which will not interfere with any code restrictions. Gravity floor loads and lateral loads will be checked with loads calculated from chapters 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9 of ASCE 7-05 as opposed to the ASCE 7-98 code that was originally used. Using this updated code with higher strength requirements will be accommodated for in the deeper floor system and the more robust steel braced frame used to resist lateral loads.

Solution Method

Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) will be used to verify adequacy of the W18 trial sizes stated above through a truss analysis method. The design of the steel braced frame will be based mainly on chapters 3-5 (Tension, Columns, and Beams) in the Manual of Steel Construction ~ 3^{rd} Edition (LRFD Manual). The trial sizes for the columns and cross bracing will be checked for adequacy based on beam/column interactions found in chapter 6 of the LRFD Manual.

The bar joist system will be analyzed for structural strength capacity based on manufacturer's data. Likewise, the $5\frac{1}{2}$ " concrete and composite metal decking will be checked using load tables created by the deck manufacturer. Along with the calculations for the floor system, the uplift at the exterior corridor wall will need to be checked to see if the cantilevered beam will require camber.





Story drift will be spot checked using virtual work method and common braced frame assumptions outlined in the introduction to steel course at Penn State. The trial sizes will be inputted into RAM to compute interactions between gravity and lateral loadings over the entire building and compute the effects of live load patterns through a finite element analysis program. This data will then be checked with the hand spot check calculations to verify critical loadings and locations in the building. The torsion on the lateral system will be checked by the RAM model and will be used to determine the adequacy of the braced frame system.

Tasks and tools to be used in each solution

Braced Frame Alternative

Task 1. Establish Trial Member Sizes

- a. Determine beam sizes based on a 38' max span and 21" ceiling height requirements. Determine if the use of shear studs as outlined in chapter 5 of the LRFD Manual are required for span and loading requirements.
- b. Establish if 5¹/₂" thickness of concrete and composite deck meets strength and vibration requirements based on manufacturer's data. Check for adequacy of three hour fire requirements based on Underwriter Laboratories (UL) testing.
- c. Determine the most economical floor framing direction. There are 2 options for framing directions: 31' in the North-South direction or 38' in the East-West direction bearing on steel beams that cantilever across the corridor, see figure 6 below. The systems will be compared by costs found in RS Means.
- d. Verify that the exterior corridor cantilever is adequate for loading.



Corridor

Task 2. Determine Floor Loadings

- a. Find factored self weight based on member selections from Task 1.
- b. Establish superimposed dead loads based on building plans and use.
- c. Verify gravity loads established in Technical Report #2 with ASCE 7-98 chapters 3, 4, and 7.
- d. Verify lateral loads established in Technical Report #2 with ASCE 7-98 chapters 6 and 9.

- Task 3. Complete Computer Analysis
 - a. Input the steel braced frame members into a 3D RAM finite element analysis program.
 - b. Assign lateral and gravity loading to the model based on the loads calculated in task 2. Set the computer analysis to analyze full and partial live load conditions to establish live load patterns on the structure.
 - c. Verify adequacy of member sizes based on lateral loads occurring at cardinal directions and at 45 degree angles to the structure.
 - d. Compare results for member sizes, loading on the footing, and story drift with the results of the hand calculations.
- Task 4. Complete Hand Spot Checks

(To be used to verify specific members as needed)

- a. Using the Tributary Area Method for lateral loads, select the steel braced frame with the largest tributary area and calculate the seismic and wind story forces. Apply story forces to nodes and uniform gravity loads to horizontal beams.
- b. Adapting the Shear Wall Analysis excel spreadsheet created in Technical Report #3, calculate the axial and torsional loads on each braced frame in the system.
- c. Using the Truss Analysis, calculate axial and bending moments.
- d. Determine nodal loads using Portal Frame Analysis
- e. Select critical members within the truss and verify size using chapter 6 of the LRFD Manual based on interaction of the member's axial and bending loads.
- f. Determine story drift by the use of Virtual Work Method, as illustrated in the introduction to steel class at Penn State.
- g. Calculate new forces that are transferred to the foundation and size the foundation system based on the Terzaaghi or Meyerhof Bearing Capacity Methods.

Task 5. Final Analysis

- a. Design a cross brace-to-column connection capable of transferring the maximum calculated force. The connections chapter of the LRFD Manual and course notes from the Penn State master's connection course will be used to evaluate the connections. Calculate column to column splice connections for the frame in the same manner.
- b. Establish the final steel braced frame based on the RAM model.
- c. Verify that all calculated member and footing sizes in the final design of the structure correspond with the greatest loading of the structure.
- d. Prepare updated plans reflecting these changes to the structure.

Project Schedule

<u>Task</u>	<u>Weeks</u>	Description	Exact Dates
	Week of December 04, 2005	Breadth topic research (EFIS)	•
	Week of December 11, 2005	Finals week	•
		Review of steel and steel connections	• Dec. 13-16
	Week of December 18, 2005	> Break	•
	Week of December 25, 2005	> Break	•
	Week of January 01, 2005	> Break	•
1	Week of January 08, 2005	Classes begin	 Jan. 9
		Review of steel	 Jan. 9-10
		Determine best framing direction based on ariteria from took 1	 Jan. 9-12
		on criteria from task 1	
		 Determine preliminary member sizes 	• Jan. 9-14
2	Week of Japuany 15, 2005	Adopt excel epresidebast for broad	• Jan. 9-14
2	Week of January 15, 2005	frame lateral loads	• Jan. 16-21
3	Week of January 22, 2005	Construct RAM model	• Jan. 23-28
	Week of January 29, 2005	Complete RAM model	• Jan. 30-
			Feb 2
	Week of February 05, 2005	Run computer analysis and process	 Feb. 6-11
		results	
	week of February 12, 2005	Update ram finite element building model using sizes established by calculations	• Feb 13-17
в		 Construct system sequencing diagram 	Eob 13-18
		for the braced frame system and	• 1 60 13-10
		compare with the light gage system	
4	Week of February 19, 2005	Spot check typical foundation sizes using	• Feb. 20-22
		Terzaaghi or Meyerhof bearing capacity	
		method	
В		Conduct cladding analysis to create weather registered for the EEIS evetore	• Feb. 22-25
5	Week of February 26, 2005	 Finish hand spot checks and finalize any 	• Ech 27-28
5	Week of rebruary 20, 2005	unresolved issues	• Feb. 27-20
	Week of March 05, 2005	Spring break	•
	Week of March 12, 2005	Update building plans to reflect typical	• March 13-18
		changes	
	Week of March 19, 2005	Begin typing final report	 March 20-25
	Week of March 26, 2005	Assemble and print reports	 March 27-31
	Week of April 02, 2005	Final report due	April 5
		Prepare presentation	April 6-8
	vveek of April 09, 2005	First round of presentations	• April 10-12
	Week of April 16, 2005	 Correct and update presentation 	• April 17-19
	Week of April 23, 2005	Second round / jury presentations	 April 28

Project Timeline

		_	_		_		_	_			_	We	ek	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Week	Description	1 2	e	4	S	9	7	80	6	10	11	12	13 1	14 1	5	6 1	7 18	3 19	9 20	21	
	Breadth Topic Research (EFIS)		Ц													\square					
2	Finals Week																				Ĕ.,
	Review of Steel and Steel Connections																				_
ო	Christmas Break																				_
4	> Break																				_
S	> Break		Ц													\square					_
9	Classes Begin																				_
	Determine Best Framing Direction Based on Criteria from Task 1																				_
	Determine Preliminary member sizes																				_
	Calculate Gravity Loadings	_													_	_					_
7	Adapt Excel Spreadsheet for Braced Frame Lateral Loads		Ц																		_
•••	Construct RAM model																				_
თ	Construct RAM model																				_
9	Run Computer Analysis and Process Results																				_
1	Establish Structural Steel Sequencing Diagram		Ц																		_
12	> Spot Check Typical Foundation Sizes Using Terzaaghi or Meyerhof Bearing Capacity Metho	ds														-					_
	Conduct Cladding Analysis to Create Weather Resistance for the EFIS System														_	_					_
9	Finish Hand Spot Checks and Finalize any Unresolved Issues																				_
14	Spring Break																				_
15	Update Plans to Reflect Typical Changes																				_
9	Begin Typing Final Report	\square																			_
17	Assemble and Print Reports																				_
9	> Final Report due		Ц													\square					_
	Prepare Presentation													_	_	_					_
9	First Round of Presentations													Η							_
20	Correct and Update Presentation																				_
2	Second Round / Jury Presentations													_	_	_	_				
		-		-	-								-	-			-	-	-	-	•