

# **Andrew Simone**

Æ Structural The Pennsylvania University



PHILADELPHIA, PA

## Technical Assignment 2 October 27, 2006



©Brawer & Hauptman Architects

FACULTY ADVISOR

Dr. Memari

Andrew Simone Structural Option Dr. Ali Memari, P.E. The Hub on Chestnut Philadelphia, PA October 27, 2006



#### **Executive Summary** Structural Technical Report 2

Within this report, are five (5) preliminary floor systems designed to functional as alternates for The HUB on Chestnut, located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The original system is a post-tensioned two-way flat slab. The selected group consists of a hollow-core concrete slab, two-way flat plate, two-way flat plate with dropped panels, one-way concrete joist, and a composite steel beam. These options were selected to comply with the architectural and structure constraints of the designed building. With a repetitive design in levels 3 through 9, a critical bay was selected from the 7<sup>th</sup> level. This bay represents the largest spans and is nearly symmetrical in both directions. A few minor modification to the existing structural layout where incorporated to provide simplicity in the preliminary designs. Each alternate system was designed based on a 30' x 30' exterior bay. Several systems have been designed in accordance with applicable industry codes. Such codes include the 2002 CRSI Design Handbook, PCI Handbook 6<sup>th</sup> Edition, AISC 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition Manual, as well as manufacturers design manuals.

Many factors are considered in selecting a sustainable floor system. The system first and for most must provide a safe and adequate floor that can support all superimposed loading conditions. It is assumed the provided design aids have been incorporated to meet the requirements of deflection. Other criterion that affects the selection is constraints due to architectural aesthetics, fire rating, constructability, scheduling, and economical costs. The HUB is subjected to all of these features and each, along with others, will be incorporated into the selection process. Although five of the six systems are concrete structures, no bias opinions have been implemented towards either material. The application of a concrete design provides many alternatives that are suitable for the existing structure.

The alternative floor system will be selected using a points system. Each design will receive a point ranging from 1 to 6. The more efficient and desirable systems will be awarded a low value. The system which receives the lowest total point value will be considered to be the most applicable design. Each system can be evaluated and compared to other another based on their total tallied points.

Further research can involve several systems. Two feasible designs are the application of open-web joists and non-composite steel beams. Both designs are part of standard industry practice but were not incorporated for comparison. The composite steel beam was already considered. Although non-composite design may or may not be more economical, a lower floor depth will be controlled by composite design.



## **Table of Contents**

## Technical Report 2

INTRODUCTION	1
POST-TENSIONED FLAT SLAB [EXISTING]	
HOLLOW-CORE CONCRETE SLAB	4
TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB	4
TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB WITH DROP PANELS	5
ONE-WAY CONCRETE JOISTS	5
COMPOSITE STEEL BEAM	6
SYTEM SELECTION	6
CONCLUSION	7

## Appendix

Ι	SOURCES [WORKS CITED]
II	HOLLOW-CORE CONCRETE SLAB
III	TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB
IV	TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB WITH DROP PANELS
V	ONE-WAY CONCRETE JOISTS
VI	COMPOSITE STEEL BEAM
VII	COST TABLE

Andrew Simone Structural Option Dr. Ali Memari, P.E. The Hub on Chestnut Philadelphia, PA October 27, 2006



### **Structural Technical Report 2**

Study of Alternate Floor Systems

The objective of this study is to explore various flooring systems that can function as alternatives to the existing design. Within this report, the reader will be introduced to the five (5) systems that have been selected:

Hollow-Core Concrete Slab One-Way Concrete Joists Two-Way Flat Slab Two-Way Slab with Drop Panels Composite Steel Beam

Each flooring system provides both advantages and disadvantages when integrated with the admirations and requirements of the designers, as well as the owner. The systems will be compared among one another to provide a systematic selection process based on a 'points system'. The points system will provide a flooring system that will incorporate fundamental design criteria, construction restraints, architectural aesthetics, and economical costs.

For preliminary design purposes, the existing structural layout has been modified. The center column line has been shifted one-foot (12") in the East /West direction and the exterior columns have been transferred to the slab edge. No adjustments along each column line have implemented which will maintain their ambiguity within the partition walls. This slight revision will provide symmetry and simplicity in designing each system. In the illustration provided on the next page, the green area indicates the critical bay that will be analyzed in each flooring system.

The critical bay is located on the 7<sup>th</sup> level. This bay was selected for several reasons. The first reason is to account for the largest typical bay within the structure. Also, levels 3 through 9 provide the dominant common floor space. These seven levels serve as residential occupancy and have a redundancy in the architectural layout. The five flooring systems will be designed based on a typical 30' x 30' exterior bay required to support a superimposed dead and live load.

Dead Loads	Partitions	20	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>	Live Loads Residen	tial Use
	MEP	5	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>		
	<u>Collateral</u>	5	<u>lb/ft</u> <sup>2</sup>	[ASCE7-02]	40 lb/ft²
		30	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>		





## POST-TENSIONED TWO-WAY SLAB [EXISTING]

The HUB on Chestnut has been designed and constructed using a two-way posttensioned floor system. The typical 9-inch slab, with 5,000 PSI high compressive strength concrete, is supported by three column lines oriented on each exterior edge and through the middle in the long geometry of the building. The column grid creates 12, nearly square bays, with #4 bars spaced continuously at 30" in each direction. Additional reinforcement (5 - #8 bars) is placed at the top and bottom in both directions where mild reinforcing is needed. As illustrated above, the post-tensioning strands are placed along each long column line and at intermediate bays. The typical tendon is a half-inch 270k seven-wire strand. The jacking forces range from 85k to 435k. The lower stressed tendons are spanned in the short direction.

A post-tensioned system is a welled designed system. The tensioning provides a strong concrete slab that can withstand a substantially higher loading than other conventional concrete slabs. A thinner slab thickness is one of the most popular attributes of post-tensioning. The tendons and anchors can be well hidden in the case of architectural examination. A creditable aspect is the elimination of fireproofing. Fireproofing is an additional cost and can be beneficial to the building budget if eliminated or reduced. Some disadvantages also come with this design. Post-tensioning can be less effective during construction. Each tendon must be carefully placed causing longer construction intervals. The tendons can not be stressed until the concrete has reached its setting strength which is detrimental to the schedule. Although this system is very effective, the cost is excessive compared to other systems. The tendons and high strength concrete are very costly along with the hiring of another subcontractor to provide the jacking. Please refer to Technical Report 1 for any concerns with the existing structure.





## HOLLOW-CORE CONCRETE SLAB

Precast concrete planks have several advantages. The most beneficial use of precast is the quick and steady installation. The product can arrive on-site and be put into place. No down time is required for concrete to be finished and set. The concrete planks, although hollow, can provide



an adequate rigidity to resist lateral forces. Precast products provide the consumer with a quality product that is fabricated in a controlled working environment and can be installed in all weather conditions. The floor system devised will incorporate the use of precasted 8" x 4' hollow-core slabs spanning 30'. The planks will be reinforced using  $6 - \frac{1}{2} ø$ , 270k tendons within 5,000 PSI concrete. An additional 2" topping of concrete will be used to created an even finished floor which is an aspect desired by the design architect. The U.L. J917 is adequate for a  $1-1\frac{1}{2}$  hour fire rating. The entire supporting structure was not designed. The use of concrete ledger and inverted T beams will be needed. These beams can be integrated into the wall system which will allow for an exposed total depth of 10 inches. Please see Appendix II for loading, selection, and application.

### TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB

A two-way flat concrete slab provides the architect with a uniform floor system. There are no edge beams, dropped panels, and other obstructions to hinder his/her design. Both architects and engineers are subjected to using free column spacing and placement. This selection uses a 10" thick slab with 4,000 PSI compressive strength and 60 KSI reinforcing. The formwork is simple and uniform which allows for quick construction. Another advantage is the consistent and continuous



reinforcing in each direction. This design does not include the use of shearheads. Some disadvantages come into play with flat monolithic slabs. The slab is very sustainable to punching shear. Usual design resists this action by creating large columns and thicker slabs. Again, the use of a thickness concrete slab eliminates the application of fireproofing and provides an adequate 2-hour rating. Please refer to Appendix III for loading, selection, and application.



## TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB WITH DROPPED PANELS

The two-way slab with dropped panels is an alternate to flat slabs and slabs with beams. The dropped panels provide the slab with the strength needed to eliminate obstructive deep supports. Also, the dropped panels can be incorporated for architectural aesthetics. This design will reduce the action of punching shear and can reduce the size of the support columns. Dropped panel systems will sustain higher loading than the flat plat system. The selected design



indicates the use of 10' x 10' dropped panels 9" in depth. The concrete consist of 4,000 PSI compressive strength and 60 KSI reinforcing. A total depth of the system is 11.5". With the extra time to construct the formwork, the cost of this selection can be much higher than flat plate design. A dropped panel design can cause construction delays in placing the reinforcement. The discontinuity may hinder the schedule and quality of the finished product. Please refer to Appendix IV for loading, selection, and application

### **ONE-WAY CONCRETE JOISTS**

One-way concrete joists are an alternate to steel joists. The cost is substantially less compared to the current high cost of steel. The monolithic slab and joist integration provides a much more rigid flooring system than the flat slab. A deep pan between joists can provide a cavity to mount and hide mechanical duct work. Several disadvantages are associated with one-way joists. The cumbersome formwork is not very economical but the finished product may be



worth the extra time and cost. The selected system consists of 10" deep ribs with a width of 6" spaced 26" on center using 4,000 PSI. A monolithic 3" topping is applied to provide a stable uniform deck finish. All concrete is cast-in-place with 60 KSI integrated continuously at the top and bottom. A joist-band beam has also been designed for this application. The design requests a 24.5" x 24" doubly-reinforced member to act as an interior support. Please refer to Appendix V for loading, selection, and application.



### COMPOSITE STEEL BEAM

A steel beam with a concrete slab-ondeck composite system is a very common floor design. This applied design consist two W10 x 26 joists spaced evenly at 10' on center within the 30' x 30' bay. The girder is sized as a W18 x 46. A 2" United Steel Deck metal decking, model UF2X, is in filled with 6.5" of 4,000 PSI normal weight



concrete. The total depth above top steel flange is 6.6". A W4 x W4 welded wire mesh is placed in the slab system. The composite action is constructed of 12 shear studs attached to each joist beam and 15 studs attached to the girder. To avoid any design change with the stair opening, the joists are run parallel to the long geometry of the structure. This arrangement is also more economical because all the girders will be run in the long direction in each bay. The application of a steel joist with slab-on-deck system provides a quick and steady installation over concrete. No lead time is needed to allow for an acceptable strength to be acquired as there is in concrete construction. In today's economy, the price of steel is significantly higher than that of concrete. This type of system also includes an application of sprayed fiberous concrete fireproofing.

### SYSTEM SELECTION

As previously stated in the introduction, a particular floor design will be selected based on a points system. The group of systems, including the existing, will be compared based on fundamental design criteria, construction restraints, architectural aesthetics, and economical costs. Each item will be scored in a particular section and issued a point value between 1 and 6. The most desirable design with be given a 1, the next feasible design will be issued a 2, and so on. Each system with be ranked in accordance by ascending order. No two systems can share a common value. After the numbers are tallied, the floor system with the least amount of points will be chosen as the paramount design.

Table Key	Ι	Post-Tensioned Flat Slab
	II	Hollow-Core Concrete Slab
	III	Two-Way Flat Slab
	IV	Two-Way Flat Slab with Drop Panels
	V	One-Way Concrete Joists
	VI	Composite Steel Beam



System	Ι	П	Ш	IV	V	VI
Economic Cost	5	1	2	4	5	6
Floor Depth	1	3	2	4	5	6
Loading Capacities	2	4	6	5	3	1
Fire Proofing (Rating)	4	3	2	1	5	6
Design Flexibility	2	6	1	4	5	3
Mechinical Placement	3	1	2	5	4	6
Constructability	5	1	2	3	6	4
Installation	4	1	2	3	6	5
Time Elapse	5	1	3	4	6	2
Weather Conditions	5	1	3	4	6	2
Quality	4	1	3	5	6	2
Aesthetics	2	3	1	4	6	5
Maintenance	3	2	1	4	5	6
Total	45	28	30	50	68	54

## Alternate Systems Evaluation Table

### CONCLUSION

In the above selections, six (6) floor systems have been devised to serve the gravity loading conditions for The HUB on Chestnut located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. In the group, five (5) systems are concrete support structures while the other is steel framing. No bias is directed towards any material. Concrete structures have several applicable systems that would be functional. Two other steel systems may be applicable with steel construction. One is the open-web steel joist, and the other is non-composite steel beam. A steel joist could be further researched but the non-composite should be denied due to depth constraints compared to the other systems.

Using the evaluation table, the hollow-core concrete slab is best suited to be an alternate system to the existing design. This floor system is most applicable in all areas of design. The next selection could be the two-way flat slab. The most undesirable system is the one-way concrete joists. The existing condition is a well designed floor system but is out ranked by the other options.

## APPENDIX I SOURCES [WORKS CITED]



## SOURCES

## - Hollow-Core Concrete Slab

Nitterhouse Concrete Products

Precast and Prestressed Concrete Design Handbook [PCI 6<sup>th</sup> Edition]

### - Two-Way Flat Slab

Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute, 2002 [CRSI-02] Design Handbook

## - Two-Way Flat Slab with Drop Panels

Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute, 2002 [CRSI-02] Design Handbook

## - One-Way Concrete Joists

Concrete Reinforcing Steel Institute, 2002 [CRSI-02] Design Handbook

## - Composite Steel Beam

American Institute of Steel Construction, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition [AISC]

United Steel Deck, Inc. [USD] Deck Design Manual

## - Cost Analysis

Cost Works Database 2005

RS Means Assembly Costs 2006

## APPENDIX II HOLLOW-CORE CONCRETE SLAB



## **HOLLOW-CORE CONCRETE SLAB**

#### [In accordance with Nitterhouse Concrete]

#### Loading Conditions





Factored Load



*Select* 8" x 4' Span Deck® U.L. - J917 2" CIP Topping (6) Strand - ½ Φ, 270K

#### **103** PSF > **70** PSF

\*Load value is controlled by service stress











FRIDICAL FR	OFERITES
Comp	osite
A' = 254 in. <sup>2</sup>	S' <sub>b</sub> = 547 in. <sup>3</sup>
l' = 2944 in <sup>4</sup>	S' <sub>t</sub> = 1124 in. <sup>3</sup> (At Top of SpanDeck)
Υ <sub>b</sub> = 5.38 in.	S'tt = 637 in. <sup>3</sup> (At Top of Topping)
Y' <sub>t</sub> = 2.62 in. (To Top of SpanDeck)	Wt. = 330 PLF
Y <sup>*</sup> tt = 4.62 in. (To Top of Topping)	Wt. = 82.5 PSF



	8" SPANDECK W/2" TOPPING								ALLOWABLE SUPERIMPOSED LOAD (PSF)																	
STRAND PATTERN				SPAN (FEET)																						
STRAD	ND PA		RN .	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
Flexure	4	-	1/2°ø	795	718	650	590	500	426	366	317	275	240	210	184	162	142	125	110	96	84	73	60	49	39	$\sim$
Shear	4	_	1/2"ø	571	509	458	415	378	347	320	296	275	257	240	222	199	178	160	145	133	126	115	103	93	84	$^{\sim}$
Flexure	6	-	1/2"ø	155	1040	945	859	732	629	544	474	<b>41</b> 6	366	324	287	256	228	204	183	164	147	132	118	103	90	77
Shear	в	-	1/2 0	589	525	472	428	391	360	331	308	286	266	249	235	220	207	195	184	175	160	145	132	120	110	100



This table is for simple spans and uniform loads, design data for any of these span—load conditions is available on request. Individual designs may be furnished to satisfy unusual conditions of heavy loads, concentrated loads, cantilevers, flange or stem openings and narrow widths.

## APPENDIX III TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB

30'



## **TWO-WAY SOLID FLAT SLAB**

#### [In accordance with CSRI 2002]

#### Loading Conditions



FLA (WIT		ATE S	SYSTI RHEA	EM DS)				ſ	SOUA	ARE EI	DGE F	PANEL		
SPAN	Factored		1	Total F	anel Mo	oments		Reinf	orcing Ba	rs			End Panel	
Cols.	posed Load	Min. S Colu	íquare Imn	-M	+M	M	Co	Each Iumn Strip	,	Ea Middle	ch e Strip	\$	Steel (psf)	
(ft)	(psf)	(in.)	γ,	(ft-kip)	(ft-kip)	(ft-kip)	Tap Ext +	Bottom	Top Int.	Bottom	Top	E	EC EC	anel C
(10 in.	= TOTAI	THICK	NESS O	F SLA	B)			Otatom					0.833 c	.f./s.f.
26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	50 100 150 200 250 300 350	20 24 28 32 36 41 4/	0.762 0.724 0.685 0.677 0.612 0.613 0.610	115 136 157 175 192 205 216	230 272 313 350 384 411 431	309 367 421 471 517 553 580	12-# 5 4 12-# 5 5 14-# 5 4 16-# 5 5 12-# 6 2 13-# 6 3 19-# 5 3	12-# 5 14-# 5 9-# 7 10-# 7 20-# 5 9-# 8 10-# 8	15-# 6 13-# 7 12-# 8 13-# 8 15-# 8 16-# 8 17 # 8	10-# 5 10-# 5 11-# 5 12-# 5 10-# 6 11-# 6 11-# 6	10-# 5 10-# 5 10-# 5 10-# 5 11-# 5 12-# 5 9-# 6	2.72 2.96 3.33 3.70 4.11 4.47 4.72	2.74 2.98 3.37 3.73 4.14 4.52 4.77	2.74 2.96 3.42 3.83 4.29 4.71 4.96
27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	50 100 150 200 250 300 350	22 26 31 35 40 46 53	0.741 0.708 0.675 0.652 0.611 0.610 0.609	128 151 173 194 211 224 233	256 303 346 387 422 447 466	345 407 466 521 568 602 628	12-# 5 5 13-# 5 5 15-# 5 6 12-# 6 4 19-# 6 3 14-# 6 3 15-# 6 2	10-# 6 16-# 5 10-# 7 11-# 7 12-# 7 10-# 8 9-# 9	12-# 7 12-# 8 13-# 8 15-# 8 16-# 8 17-# 8 18-# 8	10-# 5 11-# 5 12-# 5 10-# 6 11-# 6 11-# 6 9-# 7	10-# 5 10-# 5 12-# 5 9-# 6 13-# 5 10-# 6	2.80 3.11 3.50 4.02 4.41 4.61 5.13	2.80 3.16 3.54 4.05 4.42 4.67 5.18	2.74 3.17 3.66 4.20 4.65 4.95 5.32
28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	50 100 150 200 250 300 350	24 28 33 37 44 52 59	0.706 0.722 0.665 0.668 0.616 0.609 0.608	142 168 192 214 230 241 252	283 335 383 428 460 483 504	381 451 516 576 619 650 678	13-# 5 4 15-# 5 6 17-# 5 5 19-# 5 6 20-# 5 5 15-# 6 3 16-# 6 2	11-#6 10-#7 20-#5 12-#7 10-#8 11-#8 11-#8	14-# 7 13-# 8 15-# 8 16-# 8 18-# 8 19-# 8 20-# 8	10-#5 12-#5 10-#6 11-#6 16-#5 12-#6 10-#7	10-# 5 10-# 5 11-# 5 13-# 5 10-# 6 10-# 6 11-# 6	2.86 3.33 3.80 4.24 4.49 4.90 5.25	2.89 3.36 3.81 4.26 4.56 4.97 5.32	2.95 3.50 3.94 4.50 4.82 5.18 5.46
29 29 29 29 29 29 29	50 100 150 200 250 300 360	26 31 36 42 50 57 65	0.730 0.665 0.644 0.611 0.609 0.608 0.607	156 184 210 233 248 261 270	312 369 421 465 496 521 541	420 496 566 627 667 702 728	14-# 5 7 16-# 5 5 13-# 6 4 15-# 6 2 16-# 6 2 23-# 5 4 17-# 6 2	12-# 6 14-# 6 12-# 7 10-# 8 11-# 8 10-# 9 10-# 9	15-# 7 14-# 8 16-# 8 18-# 8 19-# 8 20-# 8 21-# 8	11-# 5 13-# 5 11-# 6 16-# 5 10-# 7 10-# 7 10-# 7	11-# 5 11-# 5 13-# 5 10-# 6 11-# 6 11-# 6 16-# 5	3.03 3.49 4.03 4.39 4.91 5.22 5.43	3.05 3.53 4.08 4.45 4.97 5.29 5.50	3.07 3.69 4.14 4.69 5.11 5.59 5.88
30 30 30 30 30 30 30	50 100 150 200 250 300 350	28 33 39 47 55 63 71	0.699 0.692 0.642 0.616 0.608 0.607 0.607	171 203 231 251 267 280 290	343 406 502 534 560 579	462 546 622 676 718 754 780	15-# 5 6 18-# 5 7 20-# 5 6 16-# 6 4 17-# 6 2 18-# 6 1 19-# 6 0	10-# 7 12-# 7 10-# 8 11-# 8 10-# 9 10-# 9 13-# 8	17-# 7 15-# 8 18-# 8 19-# 8 21-# 8 22-# 8 23-# 8	12-# 5 10-# 6 16-# 5 10-# 7 10-# 7 14-# 6 20-# 5	11-# 5 12-# 5 10-# 6 11-# 6 11-# 6 12-# 6 12-# 6	3.21 3.77 4.21 4.74 5.14 5.35 5.58	3.23 3.78 4.26 4.79 5.20 5.42 5.68	3.26 3.86 4.41 4.95 5.46 5.77 6.00
31 31 31 31 31 31 31	50 160 150 200 250 300 350	30 35 43 52 61 69 78	0.707 0.705 0.655 0.609 0.608 0.608 0.605	188 222 250 270 287 300 310	376 444 500 541 573 600 620	506 597 673 728 772 808 835	17-# 5 7 14-# 6 6 16-# 6 5 17-# 6 4 18-# 6 3 19-# 6 1 20-# 6 D	14-# 6 13-# 7 11-# 8 12-# 8 13-# 8 17-# 7 11 # 9	14-# 8 17-# 8 19-# 8 21-# 8 22-# 8 23-# 8 24-# 8	13-# 5 11-# 6 13-# 6 14-# 6 20-# 5 15-# 6 12-# 7	11-# 5 13-# 5 11-# 6 16-# 5 12-# 6 13-# 6 13-# 6	3.28 3.92 4.53 4.93 5.19 5.50 5.89	3.33 3.97 4.58 4.99 5.26 5.58 5.58 5.95	3.46 4.16 4.75 5.14 5.62 5.88 6.34

## APPENDIX IV TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB WITH DROP PANELS



## **TWO-WAY FLAT SLAB WITH DROP PANELS**

#### [In accordance with CSRI 2002]

#### Loading Conditions





 $f_{\rm c}$  = 4,000 PSI Grade 60 KSI

Drop Panels  $\rightarrow$  10' x 10' 9" Depth

$f_c'$	= 4,0	000 psi		FLAT SLAB SYSTEM												
Gra	ade 60	) Bars			SC	QUARE	EDGE	PANE	L Beams	With	Drop	Panels	9			
	Factored		1			B	EINEO		PADE	(E )M()		5.4	OMENTS			
SPAN	Superim-	Square Dr	rop	Souare (	) Column		EINFOR		DANS	(E. VV.)		IVI	ONEN			
$C_{1} = \int_{-2}^{2}$	Load	Panel Deoth : M	/idth	Size		Ton	umini Strip (	Ton	Middle	Top	Total Steel	Edge	Bot. (+)	Int.		
(ft)	(psf)	(in.)	(ft)	(in.)	$Y_f$	Ext. +	Bottom	Int.	Bottom	Int.	(psf)	(ft-k)	(ft-k)	(ft-k)		
			h =	= 11.5 in	. = TOT	AL SLAB	DEPTH	BETWE	EN DROF	PANEL	.s					
29	100	7.00	9.67	12	0.786	13-#5 3	11-#7	14-#6	10-#6	12-#5	2.94	225.5	451.0	607.1		
29	200	9.00	9.67	16	0.673	13-#5 3	11-#8	16-#6	10-#7	15-#5	3.61	290.2	580.4	781.3		
29	400	9.00	9.67 9.67 i	21	0.640	14-#5 4 15.#5 3	11-#9 13_#9	15-#7	12-#7	10-#7 15-#6	4.50	355.0 420.6	710.0 · 841.2	955.8		
29	500	11.00 1	1.60	23	0.707	17-#5 3	15-#9	14-#8	12-#8	10-#8	5.87	485.4	970.8	1306.9		
30	100	9.00 1	0.00	12	0.698	14-#5_1	12-#7	14-#6	15-#5	13-#5	2.99	251.2	502.3	676.2		
30	200	9.00 1	0.00	16	0.721	14-#5 3	12-#8	18-#6	14-#6	16-#5	3.79	322.4	644.9	868.1		
30	300	11.00 1	0.00	19	0.636	14-#5 3	12-#9	15-#7	10-#8	20-#5	4.61	395.5	791.0	1064.8		
30	400 500	11.00 1	2.00 i	25	0.698	19-#5-6	17-#9	14-#8	12-#8	10-#8	5.55 6.52	467.8 536.9	935.7 1	1445.6		
24	100	0.00	0.92	10	0.740	14 #5 0	10 #0	10 #0	10.46	14.45	0.46	077.9	555 S	747.0		
31	200	9.00 1	0.33	16	0.740	14-#5 5	11-#9	15-#0	12-#0	13-#6	4.17	357.0	713.9	961.1		
31	300	11.00 1	0.33	19	0.678	16-#5 3	17-#8	22-#6	11-#8	12-#7	5.01	438.1	876.2	1179.5		
31	400	11.00 1	2.40	23	0.749	18-#5 6	16-#9	15-#8	13-#8	11-#8	5.89	517.8	1035.7	1394.2		
31	500	11.00	2.40	20	0.746	10-#0 4	19-#9	14-#9	12-#9	10-#7	0.92	200.0	1176.9	1584.3		
32	100	9.00 1	0.67	12	0.803	15-#5 5	15-#7	17-#6	13-#6	11-#6	3.36	306.2	612.4	824.3		
32	200	11.00   1	0.67	16	0.651	15-#5 2	12-#9	15-#7	13-#7	14-#6	4.31	394.9	789.8	1063.2		
32	400	11.00 1	2.80	26	0.718	20-#5 5	18-#9	17-#8	12-#9	12-#8	6.49	403.7	1134.4	1527.1		
32	500	11.00 1	2.80	31	0.692	16-#6 3	21-#9	15-#9	13-#9	11-#9	7.31	639.5	1278.9	1721.6		
33	100	11.00 . 1	1.00 :	12	0.710	15-#5 2	22-#6	17-#6	20-#5	12-#6	3.48	337.7	675.5	909.3		
33	200	11.00 1	1.00	16	0.754	15-#5 5	17-#8	16-#7	11-#8	12-#7	4.63	434.4	868.8	1169.5		
33	300	11.00   1	1.00	22	0.734	19-#5 5	17-#9	15-#8	13-#8	11-#8	5.84	528.0	1056.0	1421.5		
33	400	1.00	5.20	29	0.711	22-#J 0	20-#8	10-#0	10-#8	10-#0	0.03	010.2	1292.4	1059.0		
34	100	11.00 1	1.33	12	0.762	16-#5 4	14-#8	26-#5	12-#7	19-#5	3.77	370.1	740.2	996.4		
34	300	11.00 1	1.33	24	0.752	17-#5 6 20-#5 R	18-#8	18-#/ 17-#8	12-#8	13-#7	4.84 6.19	473.9 576.4	947.8 1152.8	1275.9		
34	400	11.00 1	3.60	31	0.689	17-#6 3	21-#9	16-#9	14-#9	14-#8	7.18	667.9	1335.8	1798.2		

## APPENDIX V ONE-WAY CONCRETE JOISTS



## **ONE-WAY CONCRETE JOIST**

#### [In accordance with CSRI 2002]



End Span Condition 20" Form + 6" Ribs @ 26" c.-c.

Factored Load  $\rightarrow$  **118** PSF > **110** PSF

Joist System  $\rightarrow$  10" Deep Rib + 3" Top Slab  $\rightarrow$  Total Depth – 13"

Reinforcement (E.W.) Top Bars  $\rightarrow #5 @ 8.5$ " o.c.

Self Weight  $\rightarrow$  70 PSF (8-13)

Bottom Bars  $\rightarrow$  (1) #5, (1) #6 per rib

```
Total Steel \rightarrow 1.46 PSF
```



Andrew Simone

nub

STAN ONE-WAY MULTIPL	idard ' Joist .e Spa	rs 🗆 NS	FACT	20 ORED	" Form USAB	s + 6" SLE SU	Rib @ : PERIMF	26" c POSED	C. 121 LOAE	) (PSF)	$f_{v}^{*}$	= 4,0 = 60,0	00 psi 00 psi
					101 Dec	p Bib +	3.0° Top \$	5lab - 1	3.01 Tota	Depth	_		
TOP BARS	Size (it)	# 4 11	#4 85	# 4	# 5 8.5	# 6 10.5	End	# 4 10	#4 8	# 5	# 6 11	#6 9	Int.
BOTTOM	#	#4 #4	#4	#5 #5	#5 #6	#6 #6	Span Defl.	#3	#4	# 4	#5	#5	Span Defi.
Steel (psf)		76	.97	1.19	1.46	1.73	Coeff. (3)	.81	1.04	1.33	1.66	2.00	Coeff. (3)
CLEAR S	PAN			EN	D SPAI	K				INTER	OR SP/	AN	
18-0		229	316	403	420*	427	.913	272	378	470*	476*	487*	.562
19'0		0 196	0 273	0 352	503 385*	601× 391×	1.133	0 234	0 329	504 434*	631 439*	691 449* 640*	.697
20.0		167 0	237 0	308 0	355* 389	359* 471	1.391	201 0	287 0	389	407*	416* 596*	.856
21'-0		142 0	206	270 0 237	328* 343 304	332* 418 308*	2.037	174 0	252	344 0	378* 438 352*	386* 544 360*	1.041
23 0	μ	102	0	209	0 270	372 286*	2.433	128	0 193	270	.390 329*	487 336*	1.497
24.0	p.	0 86	134	0 184	240	332 266* 207	2.885	110	0 170	240	349 309*	437 315*	1.775
25-0	r	71	116	162 0	213 0	249* 266	3.397	93	149 0	214 0	280	295* 355	2.090
26'-0		59 0	100	142	190	233*	3.974	79	130	190 0	251	278*	2.445
28-0		47	0 73	125	150	192	5.345	0	0 98	150	226	290	3.289
29'-0			0 61	0 95	0 133	0 172	6.150	0 44	0 85	0 134	0 183	263 233°	3.785
30'-0			51 0	82 0	118 0	155 0	7.043	0	73	118 0	164 0	238	4.334
31'-0	*		41 0	71 0	104 0	138 0	8.030	1	62 0	105 0	148 0	196 0	4.942
<ul> <li>(1) For g</li> <li>(2) First</li> <li>(3) Comp (n/2)</li> <li>(4) Exclusion</li> <li>(4) Controll</li> </ul>	0       0												
		PRO	OPERT	IES FO	DR DE	SIGN (	CONC	RETE .	47 CF,	/SF) (4	0		
NEGATIVE N STEEL AREA	(SQ. IN)	.47	.61	.74	.95	1.09		.52	.65	.85	1.04	1.27	
STEEL % (UN	IFORM0	.58	.75	.91	1.16	1.34		.63	.79	1.04	1.28	1.57	

and the second second	PROPERTIES FOR DESIGN (CONCRETE .47 CF/SF) (4)													
NEGATIVE MOMENT														
STEEL AREA: (SQ. IN.)	.47	.61	.74	.95	1.09		.52	.65	.85	1.04	1.27			
STEEL % (UNIFORM)	.58	.75	.91	1.16	1.34		.63	.79	1.04	1.28	1.57			
(TAPERED)	.37	.47	.58	.74	.85		.40	.50	.66	.82	1.00			
EFF. DEPTH, IN.	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.7	11.6		11.8	11.8	11.7	11.6	11.6			
ICR/IGR	.165	.203	.235	.278	.304		.178	.212	.256	.294	.338			
POSITIVE MOMENT														
STEEL AREA (SQ. IN.)	.40	.51	.62	.75	.88	i	.31	.40	.51	.62	.75			
STEEL %	.13	.17	.20	.25	.29		.10	.13	.17	.20	.25			
EFF. DEPTH. IN.	11.8	11.7	11.7	11.6	11.6	1	11.8	11.8	11.7	11.7	11.6			
FICR/IGR	.176	.216	.257	.301	.345		.139	.176	.216	.257	.301			
					1									

			3-Inch 1	Top Slab					4.5-Inch	Top Slab		
(2) Joist	Gross Area <sup>(3)</sup> (in. <sup>2</sup> )	Wt. <sup>(4)</sup> (psf)	Y <sub>cg</sub> (3) (in.)	<sub>g</sub> (3) (in.4)	+M <sub>cr</sub> (ft-k)	–M <sub>or</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> (ft-k)	Gross Area <sup>(3)</sup> (in. <sup>2</sup> )	Wt. <sup>(4)</sup> (psf)	Y <sub>cg</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> (in.)	<sub>g</sub> (3) (in.4)	+M <sub>cr.</sub> (ft-k)	-M <sub>cr</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> (ft-k)
0 5 00	120.3	60	7.49	1,104	5.8	12.4	157.8	79	8.50	1,630	7.6	16.1
8 - 5 + 20	152.3		6.75	1,582		14.7	189.8		7.74	2.340		19.4
0.0.00	131.3	63	7.32	1,254	6.8	13.5	170.3	82	8.33	1,852	8.8	17.6
8 + 6 + 20	163.3		6.67	1,709		15.6	202.3		7.65	2,528		20.6
10.5	133.3	67	8.76	1.826	8.2	17.0	170.8	85	9.86	2,561	10.3	21.8
$10 \pm 5 \pm 20$	173.3		7.89	2,594		20.1	210.8		8.93	3,659		26.0
10 . 0 . 00	146.3	70	8.56	2,069	9.6	18.4	185.3	89	9.65	2,906	11.9	23.7
10 + 6 + 20	186.3		7.80	2,801		21.3	225.3		8.83	3,951		27.5
40 5 00	147.0	74	9.99	2,799	11.1	22.1	184.5	92	11.16	3,797	13.4	28.1
12 + 5 + 20	195.0		9.01	3,951		26.1	232.5		10.10	5,388		33.3
10.0.00	162.0	78	9.76	3.165	12.8	23.9	201.0	97	10.92	4,300	15.6	30.5
12 - 6 + 20	210.0		8.90	4,264		27.6	249.0		9.97	5,815		35.2
0 5 00	150.3	54	7.89	1,223	6.1	15.5	202.8	72	8.89	1,813	8.1	19.8
8 + 5 + 30	190.3		7.07	1,914		19.3	242.8		8.08	2,825		25.3
0.1.00	161.3	56	7.73	1,393	7.1	16.8	215.3	75	8.74	2,058	9.3	21.6
8 - 6 + 30	201.3		6.99	2,051		20.2	255.3		7.99	3,028		26.6
10.0	163.3	58	9.26	2,032	8.7	21.5	215.8	77	10.35	2,841	10.8	27.1
10 + 5 - 30	213.3		8.26	3,145		26.2	265.8		9.35	4,422		33.9
10 0 00	176.3	61	9.06	2,307	10.1	23.1	230.3	80	10.16	3,227	12.6	29.4
10 - 6 + 30	226.3		8.16	3,366		27.5	280.3		9.24	4.737		35.6
10 5 . 00	177.0	63	10.58	3,128	11.7	28.0	229.5	82	11.77	4,219	14.2	35.2
12 - 5 + 30	237.0		9.42	4,790		34.0	289.5		10.57	6,520		43.5
40.000	192.0	67	10.34	3,541	13.5	30.1	246.0	85	11.53	4,783	16.4	38.0
12 + 6 + 30	252.0		9.31	5,124		35.6	306.0		10.45	6,979		45.6
	191.3	68	11.86	4,549	15.2	35.0	243.8	87	13.13	5,986	18.0	44.1
14 - 5 + 30	261.3		10.56	6.905		42.4	313.8		11.76	9,174		53.8
14 6 1 00	208.3	72	11.59	5,135	17.5	37.5	262.3	91	12.86	6,773	20.8	47.4
14 - 6 + 30	278.3		10.44	7,382		44.4	332.3		11.62	9,812		56.4
10.00	225.3	78	12.81	7,127	22.0	45.5	279.3	97	14.15	9,238	25.8	57.5
16 + 6 + 30	305.3		11.55	10,197		54.1	359.3		12.78	13,295		68.1
10 7 00	244.3	83	12.55	7,890	24.9	48.3	299.8	101	13.88	10,246	29.2	61.2
16 + 7 + 30	324.3		11.43	10.844		56.6	379.8		12.64	14,137		71.1
00 0 00	261.3	91	15.18	12,469	32.5	63.0	315.3	109	16.65	15.768	37.4	79.4
20 - 6 - 30	361.3		13.74	17,741		75.8	415.3		15.05	22,454		93.9
00 7 00	284.3	96	14.88	13.769	36.6	67.0	339.8	115	16.33	17,433	42.2	84.3
20 - 7 + 30	384.3		13.61	18,864		79.4	439.8		14.89	23,861		98.1



## **JOIST-BAND BEAM**

#### [In accordance with CSRI 2002]

#### Loading Conditions

Dead Loads		
Partitions	20	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
MEP	5	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
Collateral	5	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
<u>Joists</u>	70	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
	100	lb/ft <sup>2</sup>
Live Loads		
Level $7 \rightarrow 1$	Residen	tial
	40 lb	/ft²

Superimposed Service Load

 $W_u = 1.4\text{DL} + 1.7\text{LL}$ = 1.4(100 lb/ft<sup>2</sup>) + 1.7(40 lb/ft<sup>2</sup>) = 208 PSF

Loading per Foot  $\rightarrow$  (30 ft)(208 lb/ft<sup>2</sup>) = 6240 lb/ft

CRSI Design Aid (12-105)

Select Clear Span – 30'-0" 24.5" Depth 24" Width

 $f_{\rm c}$  = 4,000 PSI Grade 60 KSI

 $\begin{array}{ccc} L_{\rm 1}={\rm 30'} & \textbf{9.10} > \textbf{6.24} & {\rm Self\text{-Weight}} \to {\rm 1.4(150\ lb/ft^3)(24.5/12)(24/12)} \\ & 858\ lb/ft \end{array}$ 

*Check:* **9.10** > **7.10** 





nub

	Ξŝ	2	6 e e	187	192	157	143	125	128	106	<u>8</u>	66	94	685	74		ment	C x load	16
In the second se	-φW Ψ	-@M"-	(6) R-kip	281 368	350	547	288	377	299	787	956 1261	562	CH0 102	972	1164 1533	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	esign mor angular sei	n (in.) = tabulated	w aken as w/
			STEEL WGT Ib.	737 1345	1022 16/14	1621	1899 2581	1015	1380	2282	3725 3725	1478	5 <u>5</u> 5	692	3499 5174		M <sub>n</sub> are d es for rect	there w =	load" is ta
		34 ft	N, sq.	- 8	1 00			- 20		2 1 2	2 ' 2	, r c		2 3. 2 3.	3.6		d — ф	elastic ≜	service
		_"_"	$\varphi_{f_n}^{}$	18	2 ⇔ Ω	; ∞ ;	3 8 12	88	388	285	<u> 2</u> 29 23	48 89	2 49 ș	285	6 6 5		M, an hgth c	dspan 1.6) × 1. f. ir	erage
BEAN		SPAN,	STIR. TIES (5)	123H 215H	153H 715H	174H	184H 295E	123H	143H	174H	285F 185H 345D	133H	153H	164H 164H 206F	175H 175B		(6) +¢ stre b × d	¥888	.A.
			LOAD k/ft	3.5	4.9	1.7	8.1	5.2	6.6	10.6	12.0	7.8	9.7	12.7	15.5	:	12-4. At rups) of	NDED	
4 <b>-</b>			STEEL WGT Ib.	700	962	1526	1789 2454	962 1645	1308	2149	2937 2769 3507	1400	1864	2744	3369 3369		See Fig. (two stir	COMMEN	
		32 ft	Af in Sq.	, <u>6</u>	00		, <u>w</u>	6	1 1	17	27	10	0, 1	9.0	3.6		d ties. e 4 legs	OT RE	
	.7L <sup>(3)</sup>		$\varphi_{T_n}^{\ \ t_n^+}$	18	2 18	2 12	385	33	385	385	388	8 ŝ	<u>3</u> 49 §	9 <del>6</del> 6	1 <del>4</del> 8		r closer provide 3.	ES. N	
	4D+1	SPAN	STIR. TIES (5)	123H 196H	143H 195H	164H	174H 285E	123H 6436	143H	164H	265t 175H 325D	133H	143H	165H	485B 485B		ine is for 24 in., 3ge 12-1	13 INCH	N 10 VFc
	U = 1.		(4) kft	4.0	5.5	8.0	ð	2.9	7.5	12.0	13.5	80 80	11.0	14.3	17.6		. For b >	JIRED SS THAN	ER THAI DS ALLO
Ц.	ACITY		STEEL WGT Ib.	663 1169	910 1399	1448 2062	1679 2293	910	1225	96./ 50022	2600 2600 3290	1322	1894	2573 2573	4568 4568		n stirrups or Spans nenclatur	DT REQU	GREAT EXCEEI
	CAP/	30 ft	A( sq.	- 6,	۰ <del>م</del>	• •	1 8		] ' [	17	27	- c	0.0	2 P P	3.6		or oper "Interio	RE NO	ESS IS
	OTAL	(,	ΦT <sub>n</sub> ft- kips	18	82	: == £	18	85	8	58	585	49	8 <del>8</del> 8	£ 6 5	69 <u>6</u>		ine is f ted for	NUM S	R STR ION S'
	F	SPAN,	STIR. TIES (5)	123H	143H 185H	164H	164H 265E	123H	133H	165H	305D 305D 305D	133H	144H	765H	4558 4558		an. first is tabula ulated. F	- STIRF	- SHEA
NSNS			Kft (4)	4.5	6.2	9.1	10.4	6.7	8.5	13.6	15.4	10.0	12.5	16.2	20.0		sam desig se stirrup acing tab	- MA	1
SPA SPA			STEEL WGT Ib.	626 1099	849 313	1354 1016	1720 2132	848 1440	1153	2066	2430 2430 3304	1233	1768	2403 2403	2982 4265		or each be e ends, u te and sp	notation:	
N N N		28 ft	n sq.	. <u>6</u>	1 0			, a c	0 · 1	7.7	2.7	' C	י ה סירי	1.0	3.6		(5) Fc fre siz	Other	
BABA		= ") .	φT <sub>n</sub> Rips	18 73	¢ 21 ⊈	: ⇔ g	38.62	8 É	385	z s š	388	48	2 6 6 6 9 6 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	96 40 19 40	136 136		ders, ottom	stem	ss of /240 /180
NTE		SPAN	STIR TIES (5)	123H 175H	133H 175H	154H 246F	155H 245E	113H 563A	133H	155H	282U 345C	123H	134H	145H	175EIH 425B		For gir	p pers. act 1.4 x	in exce ction < f tion < f /180
or-			kft (4)	5.2	7.2	10.4	6 11	277	9.8	15.6	17.7	12	14.3	18.7	22.9		lg. 12-1. (b 2 ") sr of laye	ers ror ro city, dedu	eflection ) < deflec ) < deflec ction > ( <sup>n</sup>
		TOP		6 #5	4,011	4#14	5#14	5#10	5#11	6#14	7#14	11#9	6#14	7#14	9#14		tails", F inches numbe	d capac	1,/360 1,/360 1,/240
	ŝ	Lay-	ers (2)			•		* +-									lar Def th - 2 t line is	red loa	hus: + X X
sd 0	BAR	TOM	$l_n^{0.875}$	6 #[	1#10	2#11	1014	2# 9	2#11	2#14	2#14	3# 9	3#10	3#11	3#14		anded E am dep mn, firs	ed facto	s tabula gnated t
4,00		BOT	€n + 12 in.	2# 9	2#10	2#11	2#14	2# 9	2#11	2#14	3#14	3# 9	3#10	4#11	3#14		scomme lated be rs° colu	erimposi	pacities re desig
9	۶.	4	3.⊑			24				36				48			See 'R( se tabu 'Laye	or supe reight.	otal ca ,/360 a
$f_c'$ $f_y$	ST	-	. <u>≓</u>							24.5							(1)	3) F (3)	(4)

## APPENDIX VI COMPOSITE STEEL BEAM



## **COMPOSITE STEEL BEAM**



Andrew Simone **Technical Assignment 2** bers < 18 span = 7.5' 2 1/2 spacing = 10' arez = SQn/0.85566 = 190/0.85(4X7.5X/2%) = 0.62 \$ 1.0 (conservature) Perpendicular to Deck (1) Weak Stud per Rub \$3/4 > Qn = 17.2 - 190°/12.2' = (1.( -> 12 Select WIO × 26 [12] 2", 20G UFZX Deck 41/2" Slab above deck ~ Composite Steel Girder ~ Po P= 2VJ = 2(22K) = 54K A MU = 13PL = (13)(54)(30') = 540'K A Assume a = /"  $V_2 = 6.5 - 0.5 = 6.0"$   $V_2 = 6.5 - 0.5 = 6.0"$   $D_{CAS} \leq \frac{1}{2} SPACE = 30'$ Select WIBA40 EQn = 308 356" > 540" .. VOK

Andrew Simone

hub

Technical Assignment 2

arequired = Zian/0.85 fichase = 308 /0.85(4X7.5X12") = 1.00" 1.00 \$1.00 .: OK / Parallel Deck W/hr = 6/3 21.5 -> 3/4 \$ = Qn = 21.5 " # Studs Elan/on = 308/21.5 = 14.3 -> 15 Use WIBX400 [15] 20 Gauge UF2X Metal Deck 2" Deck Flute w/ 41/2" Stab above Total Depth 6.5" slab 24.5 "

L



cond	rete slabs	on U	<b>F2X</b> (	form	deci	- UNI	FORM I	LOADS,	PSF					L	-
										Spar	ıs, feet				
Slab	Mesh	+d	-d	+M	-М	4'6"	5'0"	5'6"	6'0"	6'6"	7'0"	7'6"	8'0"	8'6"	9'0"
4.0"	66 - W2.0 x 2.0* 66 - W2.9 x 2.9*	1.919 1.904	3.007 2.962	4.060 5.785	6.326 8.921	157 224	127 181	105 150	88 126	75 107	65 93	57 81	50 71	44 63	56
4.5"	66 - W4.0 x 4.0 44 - W2.9 x 2.9 44 - W4.0 x 4.0	2.387 2.404 2.387	3.412 3.462 3.412	9.975 10.893 14.708	14.062 15.463 20.585	386 ### ###	313 342 ###	259 282 381	217 237 320	185 202 273	160 174 235	139 152 205	122 133 180	108 118 160	97 105 142
5.0"	66 - W4.0 x 4.0* 44 - W2.9 x 2.9 44 - W4.0 x 4.0	2.887 2.904 2.887	3.912 3.962 3.912	12.135 13.242 17.948	16.222 17.812 23.825	### ### ###	381 ### ###	315 343 ###	264 289 389	225 246 332	194 212 286	169 185 249	149 162 219	132 144 194	117 128 173
5.5"	44 - W2.9 x 2.9* 44 - W4.0 x 4.0	3.404 3.387	4.462 4.412	15.591 21.188	20.161 27.065	### ###	### ###	392 ###	329 ###	281 377	242 325	211 283	185 249	164 220	146 197
6.0"	44 - W4.0 x 4.0	3.887	4.912	24.428	30.305	###	###	###	###	###	364	317	279	247	220
6.5"	44 - W4.0 x 4.0	4.387	5.412	27.668	33.545	###	###	###	###	###	###	351	308	273	244
7.0"	44 - W4.0 x 4.0*	4.887	5.912	30.908	36.785	###	###	###	###	###	###	385	338	299	267
								24 g	age —			22 g	jage —	20 g	jage —

FORM	DECK	S weights	<b>s and volu</b>	mes		
To	tal	UFS	UF1X	UFX	INV. В	UF2X
Slab	Depth	C <sub>v</sub> = .0234	C <sub>v</sub> = .0417	C <sub>v</sub> = .0547	С <sub>v</sub> = .0781	C <sub>v</sub> = .0833
2.5"	Wt Vol.	27 0.185				
3.0"	Wt Vol.	33 0.226	30 0.208	28 0.195		
3.5"	Wt Vol.	39 0.268	36 0.250	34 0.237	36 0.245	
4.0"	Wt	45	42	40	41	36
	Vol.	0.310	0.292	0.279	0.286	0.250
4.5"	Wt	51	48	46	48	42
	Vol.	0.352	0.333	0.320	0.328	0.292
5.0"	Wt	57	54	52	54	48
	Vol.	0.393	0.375	0.362	0.370	0.333
5.5"	Wt	63	60	59	60	54
	Vol.	0.435	0.417	0.404	0.411	0.375
6.0"	Wt	69	66	65	66	60
	Vol.	0.476	0.458	0.445	0.453	0.417
6.5"	Wt	75	73	71	72	67
	Vol.	0.518	0.500	0.487	0.495	0.459
7.0"	Wt	81	79	77	78	73
	Vol.	0.560	0.542	0.528	0.536	0.500



		S	ECTION	I PROPE	RTIES			ASD			💀 LRFD	
	Metal Ti	hi <b>ck</b> ness	Wt.	١,	s,	s,	v	R,	R <sub>2</sub>	٩V	¢R₄	∳R₂
G	iage	Inches	(psf)	) (in.4)	(in.3)	(in.3)	(lbs)	(ibs)	(ibs)	(ibs)	(lbs)	(lbs)
	24	0.0239	1.50	0.232	0.192	0.200	2360	360	836	3223	532	1156
	22	0.0295	2.00	0.300	0.252	0.263	4205	528	1484	5477	736	1992
	20	0.0358	2.00	0.379	0.325	0.339	6062	728	2224	8067	1004	3064
	18	0.0474	3.00	0.523	0.468	0.485	8796	1204	3948	11182	1648	5388
	UF2X	I		<u>-</u>	2"	k→	30" cover		;	> Th flar	e bottom nge can	
				2"		/ 	6" pitch			acc she	cept a ¾" ear stud. scale: 1½" = 1	1'0"
			U	NIFORM T	OTAL LOAD	/ Load tha	t Produces	I/180 Defi	ection. psf			
	-		Span					Span	,, p			
	Gag	e Co	ondition	6'0"	6'6"	7'0"	7'6"	8'0"	8'6"	9'0"	9'6"	10'0"
		-	Single	128/94	109/74	94/59	82/48	72/40	64/33	57/28	51/24	46/20
	- 77		Double	130/226	111/178	96/143	84/116	74/96	66 / 80	59/67	53/57	48/49
		- C	Triple	162/177	138/139	120/112	105/91	92/75	82/62	73/52	66/45	59/38
			Single	168/122	143/96	123/77	108/62	94/51	84/43	75/36	67/31	60/26
	- 727	2	Double	173/293	148/230	128/184	111 / 150	98/123	87/103	78/87	70/74	63/63
			Triple	215/229	184/180	159/144	139/117	122/97	108/81	97/68	87/58	78/49
¥.			Single	217/154	185/121	159/97	139/79	122/65	108/54	96/46	86/39	78/33
	- 20		Double	224/370	191/291	165/233	144 / 189	126/156	112/130	100/110	90/93	81/80
			Triple	279/289	238/228	205/182	179/148	158/122	140/102	125/86	112/73	101/63
			Single	312/212	266/167	229/133	200/109	176/89	155/75	139/63	124/53	112/46
		5	Double	320/510	2/3/401	236/321	206/261	181/215	160/1/9	143/151	128/129	116/110
			Inple	399/399	340/314	294/252	256/204	226/168	200/140	1/9/118	160/101	145/86
			Single	1///94	164//4	149/59	130/48	114/40	101/33	90/28	81/24	73720
	- 24		Double	154/226	142/1/8	132/143	123/116	116/96	104/80	93/6/	83/5/	/5/49
			Cingle	1/5/1//	162/139	1507 112	140/91	151/75	124/62	115/52	103/45	94/38
			Single	2457122	220/90	195777	170762	150751	133/43	110/30	100/31	96726
Ω			Triple	200/293	2537250	201/104	210/1150	105/123	171/04	122/0/	127/50	124/40
5			Single	225/154	2131100	2507 144	2107117	102/06	171/54	152/00	137/30	124/43
<b>H</b>	20		Double	353/154	301/201	252/3/	220779	200/156	177/130	152/40	1/2/02	124/33
			Triple	418/289	375/228	324/182	283/148	2007100	221/102	197/86	177/73	160/63
		<u> </u>	Single	494/212	421/167	363/133	316/109	278/89	246/75	220/63	197/53	178/46
	15	2	Double	505/510	431/401	372/321	325/261	286/215	253/179	226/151	203/129	183/110
			Triple	627/399	536/314	463/252	404/204	356/168	316/140	282/118	253/101	229/86

## APPENDIX VII COST TABLE

	FLOOR SYSTEM	Unit	Area	Material	Install	Total
Ι	Post-Tensioning Two-Way Flat Slab	S.F.	006	\$8.18	\$8.94	\$17.12
II	Hollow-Core Concrete Slab	S.F.	006	\$6.85	\$3.48	\$10.33
III	Two-Way Flat Slab	S.F.	006	\$5.85	\$7.35	\$13.20
IV	Two-Way Flat Slab with Drop Panels	S.F.	006	\$7.50	\$8.55	\$16.05
Δ	One-Way Concrete Joist	S.F.	006	\$7.10	\$9.45	\$16.55
Ν	Composite Steel Beam	S.F.	006	\$13.65	\$6.25	\$19.90